1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA				
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,				
3	Plaintiff,				
4		MINAL ACTION NUMBER: 1:17CR51			
5	JAMES L. LAURITA, JR.	THAT ACTION NOTIBLE. 1.170101			
6	· ·				
7	Defendant				
8		- DAY 2			
9	Proceedings had in the <u>Trans</u>	<u>ial</u> of the above styled action			
10	on January 30, 2018 before The	e Honorable Irene M. Keeley,			
11	Senior Judge, at Clarksburg, N	West Virginia.			
12	APPEARANCES:				
13		D J. DOUGLAS, ESQUIRE DLPH J. BERNARD, ESQUIRE			
14	Assi	stant United States Attorney Box 591			
15	Wheel	ling, West Virginia 26003 234-0100			
16	FOR THE DEFENDANT: JOHN	A. CARR, ESQUIRE			
17	Char	Summers Street, Suite 209 leston, West Virginia 25301 344-4822			
18		AEL B. HISSAM, ESQUIRE			
19	Baile	ey & Glasser Capitol Street			
20	Char	leston, West Virginia 25301 345-6555			
21	The defendant was present in				
22					
23	Proceedings recorded by stenomask, transcript produced by official court reporter.				
24					
25					

LINDA L. BACHMAN, CCR, CVR-M, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER P.O. BOX 969, CLARKSBURG, WEST VIRGINIA 26302-0969 304-282-0395

3 (For the Government)	1	I N D	E X		
4 Kevin O'Dell 108 133 139 14 5 Brian Osborn 143 168 176 6 Rick Usery 181 194 198 7 Suzanne Crane 201 231 8 Kent Lindsay 241 252 9 Charles Huguenard 257 267 10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	2 WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
5 Brian Osborn 143 168 176 6 Rick Usery 181 194 198 7 Suzanne Crane 201 231 8 Kent Lindsay 241 252 9 Charles Huguenard 257 267 10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	3 (For the Government)				
6 Rick Usery 181 194 198 7 Suzanne Crane 201 231 8 Kent Lindsay 241 252 9 Charles Huguenard 257 267 10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23	4 Kevin O'Dell	108	133	139	142
Suzanne Crane 201 231 Rent Lindsay 241 252 Charles Huguenard 257 267 Christopher Stecher 292 298 James Lafferty 303 319 Steven Polce 321 337 William Raney 345 360 William Raney 345 360	5 Brian Osborn	143	168	176	
8 Kent Lindsay 241 252 9 Charles Huguenard 257 267 10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	6 Rick Usery	181	194	198	
9 Charles Huguenard 257 267 10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	7 Suzanne Crane	201	231		
10 Eric Grimm 270 285 11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	8 Kent Lindsay	241	252		
11 Christopher Stecher 292 298 12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	9 Charles Huguenard	257	267		
12 James Lafferty 303 319 13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	10 Eric Grimm	270	285		
13 Steven Polce 321 337 14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	11 Christopher Stecher	292	298		
14 William Raney 345 360 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	12 James Lafferty	303	319		
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	13 Steven Polce	321	337		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	14 William Raney	345	360		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	15				
18 19 20 21 22 23	16				
19 20 21 22 23	17				
 20 21 22 23 	18				
212223	19				
22 23	20				
23	21				
	22				
24	23				
	24				
25	25				

1		I	N	D	E	X

2	EXHIBITS			ADMITTED
3	Government	Exhibit	12	119
J	Government			120
4	Government			129
	Government	Exhibit	2	155
5	government	Exhibit	3	156
	Government	Exhibit	14	188
6	Government			192
	Government			204
7	Government			207
	Government	Exhibit	1-110	208
8	Government			209
	Government			210
9	Government			211
1.0	Government			213
10	Government Government			213 216
11	Government			216
T T	Government			218
12	Government			219
1 2	Government			220
13	Government			221
	Government			222
14	Government			223
	Government	Exhibit	1-85	224
15	Government			225
	Government			226
16	Government			228
4.5	Government			247
17	Government			248
1.0	Government Government			263 276
18	Government			277
19	Government			278
1)	Government			279
20	Government			281
_ 0	Government		7	283
21	Government		4	295
	Government	Exhibit	5	296
22	Government	Exhibit	1-2	309
	Government			309
23	Government			309
	Government			309
24	Government			309
0.5	Government			309
25	Government Government			309
	Government	TXIIIXI	1-72	309

1	EXHIBITS	ADMITTED	
1	EARIBIIS	ADMITIED	
2	Government Exhibit 1-53 Government Exhibit 1-54	309 309	
3	Government Exhibit 1-64 Government Exhibit 1-68	309 309	
4	Government Exhibit 1-80 Government Exhibit 1-90	309 309	
5	Government Exhibit 1-107 Government Exhibit 1-139	309 309 309	
6	Government Exhibit 1-140	309	
7	Government Exhibit 18 Government Exhibit 18-8	310 310	
8	Government Exhibit 41 Government Exhibit 43	312 312	
9	Government Exhibit 20 Government Exhibit 22	314 314	
10	Government Exhibit 24 Government Exhibit 26	314 314	
11	Government Exhibit 28 Government Exhibit 30	314 314	
12	Government Exhibit 32 Government Exhibit 34	314 314	
13	Government Exhibit 36 Government Exhibit 38	314 314	
14	Government Exhibit 16 Government Exhibit 17	330 333	
15	Government Exhibit 1-15 Government Exhibit 1-18	351 355	
16	Government Exhibit 1-19 Government Exhibit 1-21	357 358	
17	Defendant's Exhibit A	170	
18	Defendant's Exhibit B	255	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

PROCEEDINGS

2.1

2 (01-30-2018, 8:35 o'clock a.m. Defendant present)

THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel and Mr. Laurita. All jurors are now present and I--we owe them a note of thanks because they came through some rough weather to get here this morning. The jurors, I think, are ready to come in. Are you all ready? Any preliminary issues?

MR. DOUGLAS: Your Honor, just at the end of the day yesterday we discussed a limiting instruction—

THE COURT: Uh-huh (yes).

MR. DOUGLAS: Counsel have now discussed it this morning and we're proposing that it only be included at the end during the final instructions and that it's not necessary during the case.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. CARR: Your Honor, the defense requested that. We do not want a limiting instruction read during the trial testimony and we'll discuss this I'm sure at the charge conference but we believe that the generic instruction is appropriate.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Now if there are any potential witnesses in the courtroom who need to be reminded about the sequestration order, I order them to leave. Nobody? All right. Thank you.

We can bring the jury in. Thank you. Oh, by the way,

because this courtroom is so small and cluttered, I don't ask you to stand. You can—I know that breaks your heart and violates all the rules but really you can be seated when the jury comes in. In the future I'm going to ask that you all leave an aisle for the alternates so they don't have to come through the middle there.

(Jury in 8:36 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Do we have all the jurors? Please be seated.

JUROR: One's in the bathroom.

THE COURT: Oh, one's in the bathroom. Okay. In the future don't come in until everybody is ready.

(Pause)

2.

2.1

THE COURT: Good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen. Welcome back and we salute you, the few, the hardy, the brave who were out on the highway this morning. I really appreciate your effort to be here on a timely basis. If you think eight-thirty is too early because of the tenuous nature of the weather, please let me know but I'll let you know this afternoon what the reports for tomorrow are before you leave so we can decide. Okay. All right. Thank you.

We're ready to resume with the second witness for the Government.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. The United States would call Kevin O'Dell.

O'Dell - Direct 1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Bernard. 2 MR. BERNARD: You're welcome. 3 (Pause) THE COURT: Mr. O'Dell, good morning. Would you 4 5 please approach the Clerk standing here in the front of the 6 courtroom. She will administer the oath to you before you 7 take the stand. She's in the gray suit. Thank you. 8 KEVIN O'DELL, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 9 THE CLERK: Thank you. The witness is Kevin 10 O'Dell, O-'-D-e-1-1. 11 THE COURT: Mr. O'Dell, if you'll please speak in a 12 loud, clear voice into the microphone which you may move 13 down to accommodate where you are. Thank you. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 THE COURT: You may proceed. 16 MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. BERNARD: 19 Q. Good morning, sir. A. Good morning. 20 21 Q. Could you please tell us a little bit about yourself, 22 your name, where you live, if you're employed? 23 A. My name is Kevin O'Dell. I live in Rock Springs, 24 Wyoming. I am employed by GenWyoming.

25

Q. Okay. In what capacity?

- 1 A. I am the Manager of Human Resources and Safety there.
- 2 Q. At some point in the past were you employed at a company
- 3 in or about the Morgantown area known as Mepco?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. When did you start working for Mepco?
- 6 A. I think 2004.
- 7 Q. How is it that you came to work for Mepco? Who
- 8 recruited you and for what position?
- 9 A. Jim Laurita for the Human Resources Director position
- 10 there.
- 11 Q. And moving forward to in or about 2010, what was your
- 12 position in or about 2010?
- 13 A. Vice President of Human Resources and Safety.
- 14 Q. Now at some point while you were employed in that
- capacity at Mepco did you and your wife become involved in
- 16 | making campaign contributions, political contributions?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. How is it that you came to become involved in making
- 19 | contributions?
- 20 A. Jim met with us and said that he was going to put
- 21 together a program where we would receive bonuses and be
- 22 asked to make contributions to various candidates.
- Q. And when you say Jim, you mean the defendant?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is in the courtroom today?

Case 1.17-0-40001-1111A-11-5 - Ducument of Filed 03/14/10 - Fage 3 01 2/1 - Fageto #. 350

O'Dell - Direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Seated at the table to my right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. All right. Now had you at any other time at Mepco been
- given a bonus or been asked to contribute by Mr. Laurita,
- 6 the defendant, to political campaigns prior to that date?
- 7 A. We received a bonus each month based on productivity,
- 8 but prior to that I've never been asked to make
- 9 contributions.
- 10 Q. And that bonus, that's the so-called tonnage bonus?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Did anybody ever direct you or suggest that you use your
- 13 tonnage bonus for--for anything?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. The tonnage bonus and let's say your salary--your salary
- and the tonnage bonuses, would it be fair to say those
- were--that was compensation that you chose to spend it on
- 18 | whatever you or your wife chose to spend it on?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. What about this new bonus? Did you--were you free to
- 21 | spend it on whatever you wanted to spend it on?
- MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor, calls for
- 23 speculation.
- 24 THE COURT: If it's rephrased to ask his
- 25 understanding, I'll allow it.

111

1 MR. BERNARD: Okay.

- 2 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 3 Q. Is it your understanding this new bonus--I'll call it
- 4 the second bonus because--
- 5 THE COURT: Without leading. You can just ask him
- 6 | what his understanding was.
- 7 MR. BERNARD: Okay, Your Honor.
- 8 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 9 Q. What was your understanding as to this second bonus?
- 10 A. As I said, Jim, when he presented us with the bonus, he
- 11 said there would be some contribution suggestions made to us
- 12 for us to contribute.
- 13 Q. And what was your understanding as to those suggestions,
- 14 whether you could follow them or choose not to follow them?
- 15 A. I felt like that I should follow them.
- 16 Q. Why is that?
- 17 A. I felt like that was the intent of the program.
- 18 Q. Did you feel you could not follow them?
- MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 22 Q. Did you ever not follow them?
- 23 A. No, I always followed them.
- Q. Why did you always follow them?
- 25 A. I felt like it was the right thing to do.

- 1 Q. The right thing, why?
- 2 A. For me. I felt like it was the right thing for me to
- 3 do.
- 4 Q. What would happen if you didn't?
- 5 A. I have no idea.
- 6 Q. What were you afraid would happen if--
- 7 A. I wasn't--
- 8 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 11 Q. Did you have any concern about what would happen if you
- 12 | didn't?
- 13 A. I wasn't fearful. I just felt like it was the right
- 14 thing to do. Jim asked and I do what he said.
- 15 Q. Okay. Why is it that you would do what the defendant
- 16 asked you to do?
- 17 A. I respected him.
- 18 Q. Was there any other reason?
- 19 A. I would say that was pretty much the main reason.
- 20 Q. Well who hired you at Mepco?
- 21 A. Jim.
- 22 Q. Who was responsible for promoting you?
- 23 A. Jim.
- Q. Who determined what your pay was going to be?
- 25 A. Jim.

1 Q. And who had the power to demote or terminate you?

- 2 A. Jim.
- 3 Q. Okay. Let's talk about the program a little bit. who
- 4 | was responsible -- to your knowledge who was responsible for
- 5 communicating the suggestions about the program to you?
- 6 A. Karen Hughes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And how did--how did that work? Specifically
- 8 during the program of political contributions at Mepco, how
- 9 did the whole process work?
- 10 A. As I remember Jim or Karen would provide a list of
- 11 candidates and suggested contributions for us to make.
- 12 Q. Okay. And in addition to--how were those usually
- communicated and in what manner?
- 14 A. I don't remember. I know I sometimes received an e-mail
- and I think sometimes we would receive like a written
- 16 statement or a--in an envelope, a statement of some sort.
- 17 Q. And did these communications, did they--well let me ask
- 18 you. Where did they usually occur, the communications that
- 19 you had with Ms. Hughes about the suggested contributions?
- 20 A. It would either be--as I said a communication, an e-mail
- 21 | which I would see in my office or she may sometimes I think
- leave an envelope with my name on it or we would maybe
- discuss it in her office as I remember.
- Q. Where were you physically located when these
- 25 | conversations were occurring or communications?

- 1 A. In the office.
- Q. Okay. And where's the office?
- 3 A. In Granville.
- 4 Q. Okay. In or about the Morgantown area?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Do you recall--in addition to the candidates that you
- 7 were asked to contribute to, what other information was
- 8 contained upon these requests from Ms. Hughes?
- 9 A. It would be the candidates and the amount to each
- 10 candidate.
- 11 Q. Did it--was your spouse also requested to make
- 12 contributions under this program?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. So would that -- would the communications you received
- delineate between whom or who should be the one?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you recall the -- any of the political campaigns to
- which you contributed under this program?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Why don't you tell us some of the--the names?
- 21 A. Manchin, Capito. I think Tomblin.
- 22 Q. Okay. I've got some exhibits that may refresh your
- 23 recollection as to some of those.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Now once you received that communication, the note on

O'Dell - Direct

- how you were--or to whom you were supposed to make the contribution and how much that was going to be, how is that
- you got your contributions to where they had to be? What
- were the mechanics with respect to that process?
- 5 A. I would typically write a check and my wife would write
- a check or we would use a credit card voucher type form and
- 7 most of the time give that to Karen to--I would give it to
- 8 her and I think she took it the rest of the way.
- 9 Q. What was your understanding what would happen to the
- 10 checks after you provided them to Karen?
- 11 A. They were taken to the campaign someway.
- 12 Q. Did you ever yourself have to deliver them?
- 13 A. I don't remember doing it myself but it could have
- happened. I just don't remember doing it though.
- 15 Q. Did you ever have any discussions, either early on in
- the program or at any time while you were at Mepco, as to,
- 17 | you know, why isn't Mepco just making these contributions?
- 18 A. I don't remember having that discussion.
- 19 Q. Now who was responsible -- while this program was going
- 20 on, who was the one responsible for tracking your
- 21 | contributions and reimbursements to you?
- 22 | MR. CARR: Objection. Foundation. Calls for
- 23 speculation.
- 24 THE COURT: Again, what is his knowledge of.
- MR. BERNARD: Yes.

1 THE COURT: That would be fine.

- 2 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 3 Q. Do you know who was responsible or do you have an
- 4 understanding who was responsible for tracking what
- 5 contributions you made and what reimbursements were made to
- 6 you?
- 7 A. Karen.
- 8 Q. How is it that this process would work? What would you
- 9 have to do in order to get advancements or reimbursements
- 10 for the contributions?
- 11 A. Karen would--kept track of the--we would receive a bonus
- 12 and Karen kept track of the requests for contributions and
- when that number -- when the amount was down then we would get
- 14 | an additional contribution.
- 15 Q. And these amounts, these deposits you were getting,
- other than political contributions were you doing any extra
- work at Mepco for this bonus, the second bonus?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. At any point did the defendant come to you and say, hey,
- 20 I want to give you an additional fifty thousand dollars to
- 21 increase your compensation?
- 22 A. I don't remember that conversation.
- 23 Q. And the conversation you had with the defendant about
- 24 this additional money, the second bonus, all related to
- 25 political contributions?

117

1 A. Yes, sir.

- 2 Q. Did you yourself--you or your wife at the end of the day
- 3 make any money off of this so-called second bonus program?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 6 | witness?
- 7 THE COURT: You may.
- 8 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 9 Q. Now, sir, I have put three exhibits in front of you so
- 10 | it would save me from going back and forth and risking
- 11 tripping like I usually do. I would go over those
- 12 | individually and ask you first of all if you could look at
- what's been marked as Exhibit 12 and just generally flip
- 14 through that and let me know what that is, that compilation
- of documents.
- 16 A. These are three--copies of three checks and one credit
- 17 | card statement.
- 18 Q. Okay. And whose checks are they? Without going into
- 19 specificity, in general whose--which checking account are
- 20 they from?
- 21 A. Oh, my checking account, yes.
- 22 Q. You and your spouse's checking account?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What about the credit card records, whose are those?
- 25 A. It's my credit card.

Q. And do these records relate at least in part to

2 contributions you made during the Mepco political

- 3 contribution program?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. These contributions that we are talking about are the
- 6 same ones that were directed or suggested by the defendant
- 7 and paid for by the defendant, is that correct?
- 8 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 11 Q. Who paid for the checks and the credit card charges that
- 12 | you have in front of you and again without getting into
- 13 specifics who paid for those?
- 14 A. I wrote the checks and it was on my credit card.
- 15 Q. And who ultimately paid for them, the contributions
- 16 | contained therein?
- 17 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 18 conclusion.
- 19 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 20 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 21 A. This--these payments would reconcile to the bonus that
- we have been discussing.
- Q. Okay. Again, who ultimately made the payments
- 24 | reflected--
- 25 A. From Jim or from the company.

119 O'Dell - Direct 1 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 2 12. 3 THE COURT: Any objection to the admission of 4 Government Exhibit 12? 5 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. United States Exhibit 12 is 7 admitted. 8 (Government Exhibit 12 admitted.) 9 BY MR. BERNARD: 10 Q. Let's talk about Exhibit 13. Do you have that in front 11 of you? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. All right. Again, if you could just flip through there and tell me in general what those are, without getting into 14 15 the specifics at this point? THE COURT: Which exhibit is this? 16 17 MR. BERNARD: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Exhibit 13. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 MR. BERNARD: You're welcome. BY MR. BERNARD: 20 21 These are copies of my bank account statement. 22 Q. Okay. And do those bank account statements relate in 23 any manner to deposits that you received from Mepco for 24 political contributions? A. Yes, sir. 25

1 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, at this time I would move

2 to admit Exhibit 13.

THE COURT: Is there any objection?

4 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 13 is admitted.

(Government Exhibit 13 admitted.)

7 BY MR. BERNARD:

3

- Q. Let's back up and turn to Exhibit 12 and publishing the first page of that exhibit please. Page one of Exhibit 12,
- 10 can you tell me what that item is?
- 11 A. That is a check from my account--from our joint account
- written by my wife to McKinley for Congress.
- 13 Q. Okay. And the date of that check is March 10th, 2010.
- 14 It appears to be the first check in the series so is--
- MR. CARR: Objection. Counsel is testifying.
- THE COURT: Well, yes, that's true, Mr. Bernard, be
- 17 | careful about that.
- 18 MR. BERNARD: I will.
- 19 THE COURT: I don't think it was for any ulterior
- 20 motive other than to move the case along but please be
- 21 careful.
- MR. BERNARD: I will, Your Honor, and that's
- exactly what it was for.
- 24 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. But let me ask you, this check that was made out by your

121

spouse, was that pursuant to this program we've been talking

- 2 to?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Did she make that contribution on her own initiative or
- 5 did she come up with the idea of doing a five hundred dollar
- 6 contribution to McKinley?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 O. Whose idea was that?
- 9 A. This was one of the contributions that we were suggested
- 10 to make.
- 11 Q. The defendant was the one that suggested you make it?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Who paid for it ultimately?
- 14 A. The company.
- Q. Go to page two please. Can you tell me what that is on
- 16 page two of Exhibit 12?
- 17 A. A copy of a check to Capito for Congress written by
- 18 myself.
- 19 Q. Okay. And who suggested or directed that you make this
- 20 contribution?
- 21 A. Jim.
- 22 Q. Who paid for it?
- 23 A. The company.
- Q. Going to page three of the exhibit, why don't you tell
- 25 me what it is?

122

1 A. This is a copy of a check written by my wife to McKinley

- 2 for Congress.
- Q. Okay. And who suggested or directed that your wife Dawn
- 4 make this contribution?
- 5 A. Jim.
- 6 Q. Who paid for it?
- 7 A. The company.
- 8 Q. Now going to the last page of that Exhibit 12, can you
- 9 | tell me what this is? It's a little bit different.
- 10 A. A copy of a credit card statement.
- 11 Q. Okay. Stacy, could you please go to the bottom of that.
- 12 Thank you. There is an April 12, do you see that charge to
- 13 Manchin for five thousand dollars?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And what is that?
- 16 A. That's a payment to the campaign.
- 17 Q. Okay. And who suggested or directed that you make that?
- 18 A. Jim.
- 19 Q. Who paid for that charge ultimately?
- 20 A. The company.
- Q. And we're talking Mepco?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When you say the company?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Mr. Bernard--

O'Dell - Direct

- 1 MR. BERNARD: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Just because of possible confusion for
- 3 the jury, I assume that that whole credit card statement
- 4 | will be coming in because I don't believe the date tells us
- 5 the year.
- 6 MR. BERNARD: I can--
- 7 THE COURT: If you can elicit that information or
- 8 at least show the jury what--
- 9 MR. BERNARD: I sure can, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: --what year you're talking about here.
- MR. BERNARD: Sure, Your Honor.
- 12 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 13 Q. And if we go to--on that exhibit, if we go to the very
- 14 top of the exhibit. Can you tell from looking at that
- 15 statement what year that was?
- 16 A. It says 6/6/12.
- 17 | O. That's the--
- 18 A. Oh, I'm sorry, that's the due date. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And if you look--Stacy, can you blow that up right
- 20 there, and if you see the opening and closing date in the
- 21 account summary, can you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And what is the date range for your statement at
- 24 that time?
- 25 A. 4/10/12 through 6/9/12.

O'Dell - Direct

- 1 Q. So the 4/12, what year was the April 12th Manchin
- 2 donation made according to your statement?
- 3 A. 2012.
- 4 MR. BERNARD: All right. Thank you. Thank you,
- 5 Your Honor.
- 6 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 7 Q. Now let's turn to Exhibit 13 and once again could you
- 8 briefly explain to the jury in general what these documents
- 9 are?
- 10 A. Copies of my bank account statement.
- 11 Q. And looking at page two, particularly on March 9th--let
- me ask you this first of all. Looking at--you don't need to
- bring this up, Stacy, but if you would turn to the--the
- 14 | first page of your statement, can you tell, Exhibit 13, page
- one and two, what year that statement was from?
- 16 A. The statement is dated February 26, 2010.
- 17 Q. All right. So let's turn to page 2 and a March 9th
- 18 payroll deposit, March 9th, 2010 payroll deposit of eleven
- 19 thousand four hundred and fifty-six dollars. Are you with
- 20 me?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. What does that deposit reflect?
- 23 A. That would be one of the bonus payments for the program
- we're discussing.
- 25 Q. The bonus payment that related to and was for political

125

1 contributions?

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. That money, as far as you know, the eleven thousand odd
- 4 dollars that was deposited, did you use any of that for
- 5 personal expenses?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. Pay any tuition with it?
- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. What did you pay with it?
- 10 A. I made contributions.
- 11 Q. Contributions suggested or directed by whom?
- 12 A. Jim.
- 13 Q. Let's go to page four of the statement and the previous
- page, if you look at that and tell me what year this
- 15 statement is from and if you have the specific date?
- 16 A. This statement is for October 27, 2010.
- 17 Q. Let's turn over to page four and if you look with me at
- 18 | an October 6 deposit of nine thousand nine hundred and
- 19 sixty-four dollars--are you with me?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Could you tell me what that is?
- 22 A. This is another bonus payment for the contribution
- 23 program.
- Q. Did you use any of that for personal expenses, tuition
- 25 or otherwise?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. What did you use it for?
- 3 A. Political contributions.
- 4 Q. And who suggested that you use it for those?
- 5 A. Jim.
- 6 Q. Let's turn to page six and again if you could look at
- 7 the page before that and just refresh yourself as to the
- 8 date of this statement, page five?
- 9 A. It says down below I think--I'm sorry, 3/28/11.
- 10 Q. Okay. Now looking at page six, March 17th, there's a
- deposit of fourteen thousand eight hundred and fifty-six
- 12 dollars. What is that?
- 13 A. It would be a contri--I mean a deposit for--from the
- bonus program for the contributions.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you use that for any personal expenses like
- 16 tuition or otherwise?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. What did you use it for?
- 19 A. Political contributions.
- 20 Q. Political contributions that were suggested by whom?
- 21 A. Jim.
- 22 Q. And paid for by whom?
- 23 A. The company.
- 24 Q. Mepco?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

127

1 Q. Turning then to--first of all, if you would look at page

- 2 seven of that exhibit and tell me the date of that
- 3 | statement?
- 4 A. August 29th, 2011.
- 5 Q. All right. If we go to page eight, August 12th there's
- 6 a deposit of thirteen thousand two hundred dollars. Can you
- 7 tell me what that is?
- 8 A. It is a bonus payment for the political contribution
- 9 program.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you--what did you use that money for?
- 11 A. Political contributions.
- 12 Q. Did you use it for any personal expenditures?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. The political contributions for which you used that
- money, who made the decision on the politicians that were
- 16 | supposed to receive that money?
- 17 A. Jim.
- 18 Q. Who paid for those contributions?
- 19 A. Mepco.
- 20 Q. Now if you'd turn with me to the last page and again,
- 21 before you do that, if you could look at page thirteen of
- 22 | the exhibit just to refresh what the date of the statement
- 23 is?
- 24 A. March 27, 2013.
- 25 Q. Looking at March 14th, 2013 on page fourteen, there's a

O'Dell - Direct

- 1 fourteen thousand one hundred and eighty-seven dollar
- deposit. Can you tell me what that is?
- 3 A. It is a bonus. It's a deposit from a bonus for the
- 4 political program.
- 5 Q. And did you use any of that fourteen thousand dollars
- for personal expenses like tuition or anything?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. What did you use it for?
- 9 A. Political contributions.
- 10 Q. Who made the decision as to which politicians would
- 11 receive that money?
- 12 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 13 THE COURT: If he knows.
- 14 MR. BERNARD:
- 15 Q. If you know.
- 16 A. Yes, sir; Jim Laurita.
- 17 Q. Who ultimately paid for those political contributions?
- 18 A. Mepco.
- 19 Q. Let me ask you, would you or your wife have made any of
- 20 | the political contributions we just talked about had the
- 21 | company or the defendant not reimbursed you or told you were
- going to be reimbursed for that money?
- 23 A. No, sir?
- Q. Did--when this whole program was being presented to you,
- 25 | did the defendant ever say to you or anybody on his behalf

O'Dell - Direct

- 1 say, hey, you know your salary just isn't commensurate with
- 2 | the industry so I'm going to give you an additional fifty
- 3 thousand dollars to bring you up to speed?
- 4 A. I don't remember any conversation like that.
- 5 Q. You have in front of you if you could look at
- 6 Exhibit--what's been marked for identification as 1-62. Do
- you have that in front of you?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Would you tell--tell the jury in general what that is?
- 10 A. It is a copy of an e-mail sent from--from Mr. Laurita to
- 11 myself.
- 12 Q. And in part, or in general, did this e-mail relate to
- contributions you were making pursuant to the defendant's
- 14 suggestions or directives?
- 15 A. Yes,
- 16 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit
- 17 1-62 be admitted.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 1-62 is
- 21 admitted.
- 22 (Government Exhibit 1-62 admitted.)
- 23 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. Would you pull that up please and blow up the bottom
- 25 | section of it? Thank you. Now it appears that, and I'll

1 let you explain it in more detail, but it appears that there

- 2 is a--two e-mails, one that's being forwarded and one from
- 3 Mr. Laurita to you. Is that a fair statement?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the lower e-mail mentions your name. Can you read
- 6 that, where it mentions your name?
- 7 A. Kevin O'Dell was going to send the remaining one
- 8 thousand via a post dated check from his wife Dawn.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that statement that Kevin O'Dell was going to
- 10 make the remaining one thousand dollars, was that something
- 11 you came up with on your own to make a one thousand dollar
- 12 | contribution to apparently the McKinley campaign?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Who made the decision that you were going to do that?
- 15 A. Jim.
- 16 Q. Who was alternately paying for that one thousand
- 17 dollars?
- 18 A. Mepco.
- 19 Q. Now the e-mail also says, if you can read right below
- 20 where it talks about you, what does that next line say?
- 21 A. I am following up with each of them as well but hoping
- 22 you could be helpful push as well--be a helpful push as
- 23 | well. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. During this program did you occasional get a helpful
- 25 push from the defendant or others to make sure you get your

- 1 contributions in?
- 2 A. I don't remember--I didn't remember this until I saw the
- 3 e-mail but, no, I don't think that happened on a regular
- 4 basis.
- 5 Q. Okay. Why is that?
- 6 A. I tried to do what I was asked.
- 7 Q. Tried to get your contributions in on time?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And if you--in this instance it appears that you got a
- 10 push?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you know why you hadn't gotten it in on time at that
- 13 | time?
- 14 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. And this talks about—this e-mail is dated when?
- 16 A. April 4th, 2011.
- 17 Q. Okay. And it is a thousand dollars donation supposedly
- 18 | from your spouse?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Stacy, could you bring up Exhibit 12 page three? Blow
- 21 that up. This appears to be and I--the e-mail is dated, as
- you have indicated, April 4th, 2011?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. This check--who wrote this check?
- A. My wife.

- 1 Q. Okay. It appears to be post dated to March 31st, 2011,
- 2 | is that a fair statement?
- 3 A. The date is March 31st, I can see that for sure.
- 4 Q. That's a thousand dollars to McKinley?
- 5 A. It is.
- Q. Did that relate to this e-mail as far as you know or can
- 7 | tell?
- 8 A. As far as I would know, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. So the push worked in this instance?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. In fact, let's go back to Exhibit 1-62 because I kind of
- went over it quickly but at the top of that e-mail is--you
- reference a message from Mr. Laurita, the defendant?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. That's the message basically what--he's asking--help
- 16 moving your donation along?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. BERNARD: Excuse me, Your Honor.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 21 Q. Now at some point while you were at Mepco, did the
- 22 | contribution program and/or the deposits for contributions
- 23 end?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you know why?

133

1 A. No. 2. Q. After--while you were at Mepco, after the deposits ended 3 or the reimburses ended, did you make any other 4 contributions? 5 A. No, sir. 6 Q. Political contributions, I meant. 7 A. I understand, yes, sir. 8 Q. Thank you. 9 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I pass this witness for 10 cross-examination. I'm happy to retrieve the exhibits 11 unless--12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Do you want the 13 exhibits left up there for his use, Mr. Carr? 14 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, may I have 15 a moment please?

16 THE COURT: Yes.

17 (Pause)

18 MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: You're welcome.

20 CROSS EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. CARR:

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. O'Dell, during some of the questions there was a compound verb in there which was and who made—or who made the suggestions or directed. Is it correct that the campaigns and the amounts were always suggestions?

- 1 A. The term suggestion was always used as I remember; yes,
- 2 sir.
- 3 Q. At no time were you ever told from Jim Laurita that it
- 4 | was mandatory?
- 5 A. I don't remember being told that it was mandatory.
- 6 Q. Did you also receive an anniversary bonus?
- 7 A. I may have, I don't remember that.
- 8 O. And a wellness bonus?
- 9 A. Yes. I do remember that; yes, sir.
- 10 Q. There's a health bonus which was initiated?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And are you aware of whether or not those are
- discretionary bonuses that Jim Laurita is authorized to
- 14 | qive?
- 15 A. I assume they are. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Did you ever disagree with giving money to a certain
- 17 | campaign?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Did you ever disagree with the amount?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Are you aware of other executives that did and were you
- 22 present for any of those discussions?
- 23 A. Not that I remember.
- Q. At any time did Jim Laurita ever tell you to hide the
- 25 | fact that the additional bonuses had something to do with

1 political contributions?

- 2 A. I don't remember being told that.
- 3 Q. You were never told to destroy documents or e-mails?
- 4 A. I don't remember being told to do that.
- 5 Q. And the payments were made to you through the normal
- 6 payroll system, just like all other payments from Mepco, is
- 7 | that correct?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And they showed up on your bank statements in the same
- 10 | way?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. The payments were also made a few times a year in a lump
- 13 | sum payment?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. At no time was there anything such as, hey, we need a
- 16 thousand dollar check. We'd like to have a thousand dollar
- 17 | check. Here's a thousand dollars, now give me the check?
- 18 A. I don't remember it being like that; no, sir.
- 19 Q. All the money that you were paid by Mepco went into the
- 20 | same bank account, is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. If I can draw your attention to Government Exhibit 1-62,
- 23 the e-mail.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. Were you aware at the time that the campaigns were

O'Dell - Cross

- 1 tracking your contributions?
- 2 A. No. I was aware that Karen had a, you know, a sheet
- 3 that was tracking what we were asked to do and what we did
- 4 but I don't know about the campaigns themselves.
- 5 Q. Were you surprised to see this e-mail then when the
- 6 campaigns were keeping track of your donations?
- 7 A. I don't remember -- I don't remember the e-mail actually
- but I don't remember being surprised; no, sir.
- 9 Q. And as far as Jim forwarding that e-mail to you, with
- 10 | the statement, which the Government's already gone over in
- on direct, was there any other follow up from Jim?
- 12 A. I don't remember any.
- 13 Q. He just simply sent the e-mail and said any help would
- 14 be appreciated?
- 15 A. That's all that I remember.
- 16 Q. Is it accurate, Mr. O'Dell, that the vast majority of
- 17 | your household income comes from your--at the time in 2010
- 18 and 12, '13 came from your employment with Mepco?
- 19 A. I don't know what your term vast majority is but, yes,
- 20 sir, most of my income would be from employment.
- 21 Q. Did you have any other source of income?
- 22 A. I'm sorry. I thought you were differentiating between
- 23 the bonus and the other income. So, no, you're exactly
- 24 | right. It was all my income. I'm sorry. I misunderstood
- 25 you.

137

1 Q. No, no, no. It was a bad question on my part. All of

- 2 your income came from Mepco?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. My wife was employed from time to time but
- 4 for myself it was all my income.
- 5 Q. I'm going to ask that page three of Government Exhibit
- 6 | 12 be displayed. I apologize. Page four. If we could
- 7 | highlight the bottom portion of that statement. Mr. O'Dell,
- 8 this is your Marriott rewards credit card?
- 9 A. It is.
- 10 Q. And again we are referencing, for the record, page four
- of Government Exhibit 12. Mr. O'Dell, because all of your
- 12 income except for the times when your wife worked, came from
- 13 Mepco. Would you agree with me for instance that -- well
- 14 | would you agree with me the first entry is McDonalds of
- 15 Westover for two dollars and seventy-five cents? Is that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you decided to go to McDonald's, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. But ultimately Mepco paid for that?
- 21 A. That's fair to say; yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And on the next entry is Sheetz of Morgantown, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. It is.
- 25 Q. Seven dollars and sixty-two cents if I can read that

O'Dell - Cross

- 1 correctly?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And ultimately you used Mepco money to pay for that?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And 4/9, Bob Evans of Morgantown thirty dollars and
- 6 sixty-one cents?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And again, Mepco money paid for that?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. That's true of every entry on this credit card, is it
- 11 | not?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Under--
- 14 A. Yeah, I understand. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. There wasn't any other source of income you had?
- 16 A. Save the little bit--or what my wife may deposit from
- 17 | time to time but, yes, that's all.
- 18 MR. CARR: Your Honor may I have just a moment
- 19 please?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 21 (Pause)
- 22 BY MR. CARR:
- 23 Q. Mr. O'Dell, Jim Laurita never gave you any indication
- 24 that there was anything wrong with what has been referred to
- as the program, is that correct?

O'Dell - Redirect

139

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. And you never indicated to him that you believed there

3 was anything wrong with the program?

4 A. No, sir.

5 MR. CARR: No further questions at this time, Your

6 Honor.

9

7 THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?

8 MR. BERNARD: Briefly, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. BERNARD:

- 11 Q. Would you bring up Exhibit 12 page four again please?
- 12 Sir, during cross-examination there was a discussion about
- 13 your statement which is page four of Exhibit 12 and you also
- 14 talked--a little bit about Ms. Hughes and her spreadsheet
- 15 | concerning donations and tracking the same. Did Karen
- Hughes, to your knowledge, track how you spent your salary
- in a spreadsheet?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Did she track how you spent your tonnage bonus?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. But she did track how you spent this additional bonus?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Why?
- MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- MR. BERNARD: If you know?

O'Dell - Redirect

1 THE COURT: Thank you. With the correction I'll

- 2 allow it.
- 3 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 4 A. I would assume--well I know it was to make sure that
- 5 when we--there was enough money to cover the contributions
- 6 that were suggested.
- 7 Q. Did she also track the contributions that were made?
- 8 A. I assume she did.
- 9 Q. Did the defendant ever send you an e-mail saying, hey,
- 10 | you haven't been to McDonald's lately, you should probably
- go there on this date and spend your salary?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. But he did send you an e-mail, as we've discussed, when
- 14 your contribution was a little late, political contribution?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now there was a question about legality and whether the
- defendant -- and I don't know the exact language so I'll
- paraphrase, whether the defendant ever indicated that there
- 19 was anything unlawful or untoward concerning this program.
- 20 Do you remember that discussion with Mr. Carr?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. When the defendant was proposing this program to you,
- did you trust the defendant and whether the program--whether
- 24 | there was any problem with the program?
- 25 A. I did.

3.3 D 1'

141

O'Dell - Redirect

Q. And you trusted him--it was his idea. He came up with

2 the program and this reimbursement program, for lack of a

- 3 better term?
- 4 A. I--
- 5 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 6 MR. BERNARD: I'm asking whether he trusted the
- 7 defendant, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 9 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 10 A. I trust Jimmy.
- 11 Q. Now just so we're clear, everything else aside, to your
- 12 knowledge the defendant was aware and had even made
- 13 suggestions to you about donations to political campaigns.
- 14 Is that a fair statement?
- 15 A. So that again please.
- 16 Q. The defendant, Mr. Laurita, was aware that you were
- 17 making campaign contributions?
- 18 THE COURT: Are you summing up or are you tracking
- 19 on redirect what was on the cross examination?
- 20 MR. BERNARD: A little bit of both I think.
- 21 THE COURT: Limit it to the cross examination.
- 22 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 23 Q. Whether the defendant ever talked to you about the
- legality of this program or the appropriateness of the
- 25 program, to your knowledge was he aware of the fact that you

142 O'Dell - Recross 1 were making the contributions and the company was 2. reimbursing them. 3 A. He was--4 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation. 5 MR. BERNARD: To your knowledge. 6 THE COURT: It's to his knowledge. Overruled. 7 BY MR. BERNARD: 8 A. Yes, he was aware. 9 MR. BERNARD: Okay. Thank you. I have no further 10 questions. 11 THE COURT: Any further cross-examination? 12 MR. CARR: Just a brief moment, Your Honor. 13 (Pause) 14 MR. CARR: Briefly, Your Honor. 15 RECROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. CARR: 17 Q. Mr. O'Dell, why did you trust Jim Laurita? 18 A. I had worked with Jim for several years. He had proven 19 to be, and I believe is, a very honest and good man. 20 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Is the witness excused?

United States. I don't know if he's subject to recall or

MR. CARR: Your Honor--no, Your Honor.

MR. CARR: The witness is excused on behalf of the

21

22

23

24

25

not.

Osborn - Direct 1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. O'Dell, you are free to 2 go. You may step down. You are excused as a witness. 3 (Witness excused) 4 THE COURT: The Government may call its next 5 witness. MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, the United States would 6 7 call Brian Osborn. 8 THE COURT: Brian Osborn. Mr. Bernard, do you want 9 to retrieve the exhibits you used with the witness? 10 MR. BERNARD: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 MR. BERNARD: You're welcome. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Osborn, good morning. I'm Judge 14 Keeley. Would you please come forward right through the 15 middle there and approach the Clerk standing in front of me 16 and she will administer the oath to you before you take the 17 witness stand. 18 BRIAN OSBORN, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 19 THE CLERK: Thank you. If you would please have a 20 seat in the witness stand. The witness is Brian Osborn, 2.1 0-s-b-o-r-n. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. BERNARD: 24 Q. Good morning, sir. Could you please give us your name, 25 a little bit of your background, where you're employed,

- 1 where you live?
- 2 A. My name is Brian Matthew Osborn. My background is that
- 3 I'm from--originally from Bridgeport. I'm a mining
- 4 engineer. I graduated from WVU in 1985 and I currently am
- 5 employed with Mepco LLC in Morgantown.
- Q. And when did you, if you recall, first become employed
- 7 with Mepco?
- 8 A. 1997.
- 9 Q. And in approximately 2010 what role did you serve at
- 10 Mepco?
- 11 A. I was the Vice President of Engineering.
- 12 Q. I don't know if you said, if you did I missed it, but
- where are you currently employed and what is your title?
- 14 A. I am currently employed at Mepco LLC and my current
- 15 title is Senior Vice President of Operations.
- 16 Q. It's fair to say that you know the defendant
- 17 Mr. Laurita?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall in or about 2010 being approached by
- 20 Mr. Laurita, the defendant, concerning making political
- 21 contributions?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How did you--how were you approached? What happened?
- 24 A. He had called a meeting of the officers.
- Q. Okay. What happened at that meeting?

1 A. Jim mentioned to us that we were needing to have access

- 2 to certain elected officials and he explained that to do
- 3 that, political contributions would help us as a company do
- 4 that.
- 5 Q. Did he specifically tell you how political contributions
- 6 | correlated to access the politicians?
- 7 A. I don't really recall that part.
- 8 Q. Okay. But his statement was in order to have access we
- 9 | need to make contributions?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. What specifically or how did he describe this
- 12 process? How was it going to work?
- 13 A. The mechanics of it?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. I don't recall him making any statements to that effect
- 16 at that meeting.
- 17 Q. At some point did somebody, either the defendant or
- somebody on his behalf, tell you how it was going to work?
- 19 A. No. The way it happened was I received money and
- 20 requests from other folks at the company to make
- 21 contributions.
- 22 Q. Specifically tell me how that happened then. You
- received the money how?
- 24 A. I received the money through my payroll and it was
- deposited by direct deposit into my checking account or

- 1 wherever it was at the time. I had two bank accounts over
- 2 the years.
- 3 Q. So at this time--let's break your salary or your
- 4 compensation down a little bit. You indicated that you had
- 5 two bank accounts?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Where was--was your salary deposited into either or of
- 8 | those accounts?
- 9 A. It was either one or the other. I had just changed
- 10 accounts.
- 11 Q. And how was it deposited?
- 12 A. It was direct deposit and it was deposited periodically.
- 13 | Well I shouldn't say periodically. It was deposited--there
- was no rhyme or reason to it. It was sometimes before the
- 15 contribution, sometimes after the contribution was made.
- 16 Q. Let me back you up a little bit. I'm talking in general
- 17 | your salary, how was that--your overall salary?
- 18 A. It was paid every two weeks.
- 19 Q. Okay. And did you receive any bonuses--prior to this
- 20 | contribution program were you receiving regular bonuses?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Were those the so-called tonnage bonuses?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. How often did you receive a tonnage bonus?
- 25 A. Monthly.

1 Q. So during a particular month, before this contribution

2 program, how many deposits for salary would you get per

- 3 month?
- 4 A. Two.
- 5 Q. Okay. And how many deposits for bonuses would you get?
- 6 A. I would get one for tonnage.
- 7 Q. And then once this program began, I think what you just
- 8 said, is additional deposits would--would show up on a
- 9 | sporadic basis?
- 10 A. That's correct. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if I call this the second bonus, do you know what
- 12 I'm talking about?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. The second bonus being the political contribution
- 15 fund?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now once--do you recall initially when the program
- 18 began, did you start making contributions out of the gate?
- 19 Did you receive funds out of the gate, if you recall?
- 20 A. I do not recall that.
- 21 Q. When you were to make a political contribution, what
- were the mechanics of that? How is it that you knew to make
- 23 a political contribution to whomever?
- 24 A. I would receive an e-mail or I would receive a written
- 25 request from other folks.

- 1 Q. Is it fair to say--did you ever--let me ask it this way.
- 2 Did you ever, during this program, just decide, hey, I'm
- going to write a check to this campaign for twenty-five
- 4 hundred dollars on your own volition?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Whose direction did you wait for before you made a
- 7 | contribution?
- 8 A. It was Karen Hughes or Suzanne Crane.
- 9 Q. And at whose direction, to your knowledge, were they
- 10 making those decisions?
- 11 A. I think that came directly from Jim. That is my
- 12 understanding.
- 13 Q. At the meeting or when it was discussed with you, who
- 14 was the one that was going to be the, I guess the one in
- 15 charge of this program making those decisions?
- 16 A. It was my understanding it was Jim.
- 17 Q. It wasn't going to be you?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. And who was the one, as far as you knew, that was going
- 20 to pay for those contributions?
- 21 A. The company.
- 22 Q. Mepco?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Who was the one that decided that Mepco was going to pay
- 25 for those?

- 1 A. Jim.
- 2 Q. Did you have any concern about, you know, where is this
- money going to come from, that if I'm going to be making
- 4 political contributions where am I going to get the money
- 5 from?
- 6 A. I knew that I would be made whole; that I would be
- 7 reimbursed for those contributions.
- 8 Q. So you weren't worried about losing money?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You weren't worried about losing compensation?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Had you ever made a political contribution, either you
- or your wife, prior to the Mepco program?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you have any--did you voice any concerns or--or you
- 16 voice any hesitancy about the program when it was first
- 17 addressed with you?
- 18 A. No I didn't.
- 19 Q. Why not?
- 20 A. I didn't think there was anything wrong with it.
- 21 Q. Why not?
- 22 A. I trusted Jim, that he would make good decisions like he
- had my whole career.
- Q. You were relying on him?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. During this time frame at Mepco, who was
- 2 responsible for promoting you?
- 3 A. Jim.
- Q. Who determined what your pay was going to be?
- 5 A. Jim.
- Q. And who had the power to demote or terminate you?
- 7 A. Jim.
- 8 Q. Let's talk a little bit about the communication during
- 9 this program. How is it that you learned or were going to
- 10 learn which candidates and how much you were supposed to
- donate? How was that going to work or how did it work?
- 12 A. It worked by--through e-mails from Karen or Suzanne or I
- would receive a slip of paper with the contribution form
- 14 attached.
- 15 Q. What were--what information was contained in these
- 16 e-mails or forms or whatever communication you received?
- 17 A. It would say something like suggested contribution to
- such and such elected official or one running for office.
- 19 Q. Did it—did it delineate in those communications who
- 20 | should be making the contribution, whether it is you or your
- 21 spouse?
- 22 A. Yes, it would.
- 23 Q. Do you recall, during the program, some of the campaigns
- 24 to which you contributed through the Mepco program?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Would you tell us some of those if you recall?

- 2 A. Yes, I remember Senator Manchin, Representative
- McKinley, Mr. Altmire, Mr. Critz, Ms. Capito. Those are the
- 4 ones that come to mind.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now I think you indicated a few minutes ago that
- among the ways the wishes or desires of the defendant
- 7 | concerning political contributions -- among the ways those
- 8 | were communicated to you included e-mails, are you with me?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And I believe -- who sent those e-mails to you?
- 11 A. Karen Hughes or Suzanne Crane.
- 12 Q. Do you recall among those e-mails ever being asked to
- delete them after you read them or were received them?
- 14 A. I do recall one, yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. And had you ever received a request by Karen or
- anybody at Mepco to delete an e-mail that had been sent to
- 17 you--to specifically delete an e-mail?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what was that?
- 20 A. That was from Karen Hughes. I don't recall exactly what
- 21 the e-mail said but I do recall the e-mail.
- 22 Q. Did it relate to this campaign contribution program?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Other than that e-mail that you recall--had you
- 25 | ever in your entire time at Mepco been asked to delete an

152

1 e-mail specifically in the body of an e-mail?

- 2 A. No.
- Q. What was your reaction being asked to delete the e-mail?
- 4 MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance.
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 7 Q. Who--when you got the contribution together, whether it
- 8 be a check or credit card charge, how was it then delivered
- 9 to the campaigns or how did the process next work?
- 10 A. I would--whether it was check or credit card application
- I would turn those into the person who requested them.
- 12 Q. So--well let me ask you. Who were the individuals
- 13 typically under this program that collected your
- 14 | contributions?
- 15 A. Karen Hughes or Suzanne Crane.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know what happened to them after you
- delivered them to either Ms. Hughes or Ms. Crane?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you have--was there ever indication that the checks
- 20 that you made, the credit card contributions that you made
- 21 never reached the campaigns or went somewhere else other
- 22 than to the campaigns?
- 23 A. Could you repeat that please?
- 24 Q. Yes. To your knowledge, the money that you wrote, the
- 25 checks that you wrote, the credit card payments that you

153

1 made to political campaigns, do you have any knowledge that

- 2 | they never made it to the campaigns?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. As far as you know they did?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Who--how did you keep records of contributions you made
- 7 and how much you were owed or how much you had pulled off an
- 8 advance? Did you keep records?
- 9 A. I did.
- 10 Q. So you would then do what with your records?
- 11 A. I just would mention to Karen if I thought I was short
- or, you know, ahead too far.
- 13 Q. Did she also keep records, to your knowledge?
- 14 A. I believe she did but I don't have any evidence of that.
- 15 Q. Did you ever receive any spreadsheets or anything along
- 16 those lines from Ms. Hughes concerning the campaign
- 17 | contribution program?
- 18 A. I don't recall specifically if it was a spreadsheet but
- 19 I do recall receiving some information to that effect, yes.
- 20 Q. Did you--other than your bank statements, did you keep
- 21 any specific records concerning your tonnage bonuses?
- 22 A. No I did not.
- 23 Q. Now, did you, as part of this program, attend any
- 24 fundraisers?
- 25 A. I did.

154

1 Q. And were other Mepco executives there at some of these

- 2 fundraisers?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Was the defendant at those fundraisers?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. During the fundraisers who was the voice of the company,
- 7 for lack of a better term?
- 8 A. It was Jim.
- 9 Q. Did you run any of the fundraisers or who was in charge?
- 10 A. I did not run fundraisers and Jim was in charge.
- MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 12 | witness?
- THE COURT: You may.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 16 Q. I have set in front of you two exhibits and I will ask
- 17 | about them individually. Turning first to what's been
- marked as Exhibit 2, could you tell me what that compilation
- 19 exhibit represents in general, without getting into
- 20 specifics right now?
- 21 A. These are records of contributions to political
- 22 candidates.
- Q. Okay. And I guess a little more specifically would they
- 24 include checks as well as credit card payments?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And those were payments made pursuant to this program
- 2 | we've been talking about, the Mepco program?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, at this time I would ask
- 5 that Exhibit 2 be admitted.
- 6 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 7 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 2 is admitted.
- 9 (Government Exhibit Number 2 admitted.)
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 12 Q. The--we'll get into this more specifically, but these
- contributions, the checks and the credit card charges made
- 14 to political campaigns, at whose direction did you make
- 15 | these?
- 16 A. As I said before it was at Karen Hughes or Suzanne
- 17 | Crane's direction.
- 18 Q. Okay. And, again, where were they receiving their
- instructions, to your knowledge?
- 20 A. Yes, from Jim.
- 21 Q. And all of these contributions, who ultimately paid for
- 22 them?
- 23 A. The company.
- Q. Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 3 please and in
- general again, just identify what that includes?

- 1 A. These are my bank records.
- 2 Q. And do those bank records reflect payments made by Mepco
- 3 as reimbursements or advancements for the political
- 4 contributions we have talked about?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Let me ask you to keep Exhibit 3 in front of you and
- 7 look at page one. First of all, can you tell me, from
- 8 looking at page one, what is this and what time frame does
- 9 | it concern?
- 10 A. This is a bank record from my United Bank checking
- 11 account. It is from February 2010 through March of 2010.
- 12 Q. Looking specifically at a deposit March 9th, 2010 of
- eleven thousand dollars two hundred and twenty-six dollars.
- MR. BERNARD: Oh, I'm sorry. Your Honor, I'd ask
- 15 that this Exhibit 3 be admitted.
- 16 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- 17 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 18 MR. BERNARD: I got ahead of myself.
- 19 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 3 is admitted.
- 20 (Government Exhibit Number 3 admitted.)
- 21 MR. BERNARD: I apologize.
- 22 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 23 Q. Do you see that eleven thousand two hundred and
- 24 | twenty-six dollar deposit?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

157

1 O. What is that?

- 2 A. That would've been a--either a pre-payment or a post
- 3 payment for a political contribution or contributions.
- Q. Did you use any of that money, that eleven thousand two
- 5 hundred and twenty-six dollars for any personal expenditures
- 6 like tuition or anything else?
- 7 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 10 Q. Did you use any of that money for personal expenditures?
- 11 MR. CARR: Renew my objection.
- 12 THE COURT: You're suggesting the area. You should
- ask a general question. Sustained again.
- 14 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 15 Q. Did you use any of that money for anything other than
- 16 political contributions?
- 17 THE COURT: How about what was the money used for?
- MR. BERNARD: All right.
- 19 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. What was the money used for?
- 21 A. It was used for political contributions.
- 22 Q. Anything other than that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Thank you. Looking at page two, there is a--and again
- could you tell us what the date of that statement is, the

- 1 date range?
- 2 A. This is a bank statement from October 1st through the
- 3 29th of 2010.
- 4 Q. Okay. There is a deposit October 6th of nine thousand
- 5 nine hundred and thirty-four dollars. Could you tell us
- 6 | what that is?
- 7 A. That appears to be reimbursement for a political
- 8 | contribution.
- 9 Q. What did you use that for?
- 10 A. For political contributions.
- 11 Q. Did use it for anything other than that?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Turning next to page three I believe, could you tell me
- 14 what the date of that statement is or at least that portion
- of the statement?
- 16 A. That was March 1st through the 31st of 2011.
- 17 Q. Looking at March 17th there's a deposit of fourteen
- 18 | thousand eight hundred and fifty-six dollars. Could you
- 19 | tell us what that is?
- 20 A. That is a reimbursement for political contribution.
- 21 Q. What did you use that for?
- 22 A. Political contributions.
- 23 Q. Anything other than political contributions?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Turning then to page four please, and again if you could

159

look and tell us what the date range is of this statement or

- 2 portion of the statement?
- 3 A. That is a bank record of mine from July 30th of 2011 to
- 4 August 31st of 2011.
- 5 Q. Looking at August 12th there is a deposit of thirteen
- 6 thousand two hundred dollars. What is that?
- 7 A. That would have been a reimbursement for a political
- 8 | contribution.
- 9 Q. What did you spend it on?
- 10 A. Political contributions.
- 11 Q. Anything other than political contributions?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Then finally if you will flip to page seven of that
- 14 exhibit and first of all could you tell me what the date
- range is with regard to this statement?
- 16 A. March 1st through the 29th of 2013.
- 17 Q. Looking at March 14th there's a fourteen thousand five
- hundred and eighty-seven dollar fifty cent deposit. Can you
- 19 | tell me what that is?
- 20 A. That was a reimbursement for a political contribution.
- 21 Q. What did you use those funds for?
- 22 A. Political contributions.
- Q. Anything else?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. And all the contributions you made pursuant to these

- deposits we just talked about, at whose direction did you
- 2 make those contributions?
- 3 A. From Karen Hughes and Suzanne Crane.
- 4 Q. And who did they receive their direction from to your
- 5 knowledge?
- 6 A. Jim.
- 7 Q. And who--obviously the money came from your bank account
- 8 and your checking account--excuse me, your credit cards.
- 9 Who ultimately paid for all of those payments reflected by
- 10 your contributions?
- 11 A. The company.
- 12 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 2 which I believe has been
- 13 admitted. We will go through these rather quickly. Page
- 14 one is what?
- 15 A. That is a check for political contribution.
- 16 Q. Okay. And that is made apparently by your spouse?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. At whose direction did she make that contribution?
- 19 A. At my direction.
- 20 Q. And from whom did you receive your direction?
- 21 A. From Karen Hughes I believe at that time.
- 22 Q. And ultimately who directed her to do that?
- 23 A. Jim.
- Q. Who paid for this contribution?
- 25 A. The company, Mepco.

1 Q. Turning to page two there are a total of four checks but

- 2 I'm interested in looking at the three checks on the bottom.
- 3 Can you read those?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 0. What are those three checks?
- 6 A. Those are three checks to political candidates.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what candidates are contained or being--are
- 8 receiving these contributions?
- 9 A. Two were to David McKinley and the third was to
- 10 Oliverio.
- 11 Q. Same question as before, ultimately who directed that
- 12 | these contributions be made?
- 13 A. Jim.
- 14 Q. And ultimately who paid for them?
- 15 A. Mepco.
- 16 Q. Let's turn over to page three--and actually page four
- 17 | more specifically. What does this represent, this page
- 18 | first of all?
- 19 A. This is a credit card statement.
- 20 Q. Are you able to tell approximately what year this
- 21 is—this is for?
- 22 A. This is for 2010.
- Q. And more specifically with regard to this exhibit, I
- 24 | believe it's August 19th, there is a charge Manchin for West
- 25 Virginia. Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And it appears to be a thousand dollar charge?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What is that?
- 5 A. That's a political contribution to Senator Manchin.
- Q. Ultimately who directed that that be made?
- 7 A. Jim did.
- 8 Q. And ultimately who paid for it?
- 9 A. Mepco.
- 10 Q. Turn to page five please, page five of Exhibit 2, I'm
- 11 sorry. What is that way?
- 12 A. That's a political contribution to Senator Capito.
- 13 Q. Okay. And, again, who ultimately directed this be made?
- 14 A. Jim.
- 15 Q. Who ultimately paid for it?
- 16 A. Mepco.
- 17 Q. Let's go to page six. What is this?
- 18 A. This is a political contribution to David McKinley.
- 19 Q. Okay. And, again, who directed ultimately that that be
- 20 made?
- 21 A. Jim.
- 22 Q. And who ultimately paid for it?
- 23 A. Mepco.
- Q. Let's go to page seven. Can you tell me what that is?
- 25 A. This is a political contribution to David McKinley.

163

Q. Okay. And it's interesting on the memo line it says for

- 2 Lory Osborn. Do you know what that means?
- 3 A. That is not my handwriting so someone must have put that
- 4 on the check on her behalf.
- 5 Q. Do you know why it was put there on her behalf?
- 6 A. Probably so--
- 7 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 8 Foundation.
- 9 MR. BERNARD: If you know?
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 12 Q. Do you know? If you don't--
- 13 A. I don't.
- 14 Q. Okay. Ultimately who directed that this check be
- written and the contribution made--be made?
- 16 A. Jim.
- 17 Q. Ultimately who paid for this contribution?
- 18 A. Mepco.
- 19 Q. Let's go to page eight of the same exhibit. Could you
- 20 | tell me what that is?
- 21 A. This is a political contribution to David McKinley.
- Q. Okay. This is made from what I can see, and correct me
- 23 | if I'm wrong, on the same date as the prior check for
- twenty-five hundred dollars?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Do you know why there were two checks written on that
- 2 date?
- 3 A. One was for--on my behalf and one was for my wife's.
- 4 Q. Looking at the check on page eight, check number eleven
- 5 seventy-two, who ultimately directed that you make that
- 6 | contribution?
- 7 A. Jim did.
- 8 Q. Who ultimately paid for that?
- 9 A. The company.
- 10 Q. Let's look at page nine. Can you tell me what that is?
- 11 A. This is a credit card statement.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you know what year--month or year that it
- 13 relates to?
- 14 A. I'm sorry, I don't see the date on the sheet there.
- 15 Q. If you look up at the top below the days in the billing
- cycle, purchases this year, there's a statement date. I'll
- 17 try to help you out a little bit. Do you see that?
- 18 A. Oh, yes I do.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. It's from March the 10th of 2012.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 A. I'm sorry. May 10th of 2012.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. There is a charge, if you look down
- 24 at the purchases, April 12 for Manchin for West Virginia
- 25 | five thousand dollars. What is that?

- 1 A. That is a political contribution to Senator Manchin.
- 2 Q. And at whose ultimate direction was that made?
- 3 A. From Jim.
- 4 Q. Who ultimately paid for that?
- 5 A. Mepco.
- 6 Q. Turning to page eleven, can you tell me what that is?
- 7 A. That's a credit card statement.
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Page ten. Could you tell me
- 9 once again what date this statement relates to?
- 10 A. This is from October the 10th of 2012.
- 11 Q. Looking down at September 14th and 15th, there's a
- 12 | couple charges to Tomblin for Governor. What are those?
- 13 A. Those are political contributions to Governor Tomblin.
- 14 Q. Okay. And who ultimately directed that these be made?
- 15 A. Jim did.
- 16 Q. And who alternately paid for those?
- 17 A. Mepco.
- 18 Q. Now we're at page eleven. Could you tell me what that
- 19 | is?
- 20 A. This is a political contribution to Mr. Snuffer.
- 21 Q. Okay. And is it a political contribution, did you say?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. At whose ultimate direction was this contribution
- 24 made?
- 25 A. Jim.

- 1 Q. And who ultimately paid for that political contribution?
- 2 A. Mepco.
- 3 Q. Finally the last--well actually it's the next to the
- 4 last page. We've got two more. If you look at page twelve
- 5 and first of all tell me, if you can, what month and year
- 6 this statement related to?
- 7 A. This was from April of 2013.
- Q. And then looking down at the actual details, a few
- 9 PayPal transactions and it appears to be Morrisey on--can
- 10 you tell me what those four charges were for?
- 11 A. Yes. These were political contributions to Morrisey. I
- 12 am not sure what the election was though.
- 13 Q. It says AG, would that be Attorney General?
- 14 A. That's fair.
- 15 Q. At whose ultimate direction were these made?
- 16 A. Jim's.
- 17 Q. And who ultimately paid for those?
- 18 A. Mepco.
- 19 Q. Now we're on the last page. What is the date of that
- 20 statement?
- 21 A. This is from April of 2005. I'm sorry I think---I can't
- see that very clear. It may be April of 2009.
- 23 Q. Actually if you look at--there's total fees charged in
- 24 2013, if that helps you.
- 25 A. I'm sorry. Yes, I see it now. 2013.

Case 1.17-ci-duust-iiiik-jes Ducumentot Filed 03/14/18 Page 00 01/2/1 PageiD#. 587

Osborn - Direct

- 1 Q. April of 2013?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And if you look at April 1st there's a couple
- 4 charges for twenty-six hundred dollars, two separate
- 5 charges. What are those?
- 6 A. Those are political contributions to Dave McKinley for
- 7 Congress.
- 8 O. At whose ultimate direction were these made?
- 9 A. Jim's.
- 10 Q. And who ultimately paid for those?
- 11 A. Mepco did.
- 12 Q. Let me ask you. All these contributions that we have
- just discussed and gone over, would you or your wife have
- 14 | made any of those contributions but for the direction of the
- defendant and more importantly the reimbursement by the
- 16 defendant from Mepco?
- 17 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 20 A. No we would not have.
- 21 Q. Do you recall whether the program, while you've been at
- Mepco, at some point did the program end?
- 23 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Do you know why?
- 25 A. No I don't.

Osborn - Cross

- 1 Q. Did you ask, hey, what happened to my second bonus?
- 2 A. No I didn't.
- 3 Q. Because that would've been a cut in pay, right?
- 4 A. No, it wouldn't.
- 5 Q. Why not?
- 6 A. Because it wasn't my money.
- 7 MR. BERNARD: Thank you. I have no further
- 8 questions at this time.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Cross examination?
- MR. BERNARD: First of all, do I need to retrieve
- 11 the exhibits or are you going to use those.
- MR. CARR: You can retrieve them.
- 13 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. CARR:
- Q. Mr. Osborn, it is correct, is it not, that Jim
- 16 Laurita--neither Jim Laurita nor Karen Hughes nor Suzanne
- 17 | Crane said that the donations were mandatory?
- 18 A. No, sir. No, they did not.
- 19 Q. They did not. There was always suggestions?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Did you ever have a disagreement with the suggestion?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. You mentioned I believe on direct examination two
- 24 e-mails that you recall in which either Karen Hughes or
- 25 Suzanne Crane mentioned something about deleting the

Osborn - Cross

- 1 e-mails?
- 2 A. I only recall one.
- 3 Q. One.
- 4 A. From Karen Hughes.
- 5 Q. That was the one from Karen Hughes?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And Jim Laurita never told you to delete anything
- 8 | concerning this program, did he?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Jim Laurita never told you to deny, if someone asked
- 11 you, what the second bonus was in relation to?
- 12 A. No.
- MR. CARR: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: You may.
- 15 BY MR. CARR:
- 16 Q. I am handing the witness what has been marked as
- 17 Defendant's Exhibit A and it's been shown to the Government.
- Do you recognize that document?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is it?
- 21 A. This is a request from Karen Hughes for me to make a
- 22 donation.
- Q. And what is the date on it?
- 24 A. October 4th, 2010.
- Q. And it's a two-page document?

56 1.17 -01-00031-1MR-3C3 -0000111610.01 - Elleu V3/14/10 - Eage 03 01 271 - Eage10 #. 330

Osborn - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 MR. CARR: Your Honor, I move for the admission
- 3 Of Defendant's Exhibit A.
- 4 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the admission
- of Defendant's Exhibit A?
- 6 MR. BERNARD: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit A is admitted.
- 8 (Defendant Exhibit A admitted.)
- 9 MR. CARR: Your Honor, if I may have the ELMO
- 10 turned on.
- 11 THE COURT: Yes. It may take just--okay. There it
- 12 comes up. Sometimes it takes a minute to warm up.
- 13 BY MR. CARR:
- 14 Q. Mr. Osborn this is an e-mail from Karen Hughes to you,
- 15 is that correct?
- 16 A. Yes it is.
- 17 Q. And is this one of the e-mail that--an example of an
- 18 e-mail that you referred to earlier where Karen Hughes was
- 19 talking to you about contributions?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And she indicates that there is a second page, is that
- 22 correct or an attachment?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm going to take the first page, the printed off,
- off. That is the attachment to the e-mail?

Osborn - Cross

171

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And she says the yellow highlights are the donations I
- 3 have not yet received from you. If you intend to make those
- donations, please fill in the amount and return to me. Is
- 5 that the typical type of language that Karen Hughes used
- 6 | when she talked to you about donations?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. She is in no way saying this is a mandatory donation,
- 9 where is your check, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. She's asking if you made the decision to make the
- donation, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And then asks, are you and Lory--and again, Lory is your
- 15 wife?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Both making a fourteen hundred dollar donation to
- 18 McKinley, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And, again, she is asking whether you and your wife have
- 21 decided about making a fourteen hundred dollar donation?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. CARR: If I could retrieve Government Exhibit
- 24 52?
- THE COURT: You may.

Osborn - Cross

- 1 BY MR. CARR:
- 2 Q. And again she says if you're not going to--if you and
- your wife are not going to make the fourteen hundred dollar
- donation to McKinley, make the adjustment on the attachment,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you recall, was the suggestion from Mr. Laurita that
- 8 both of you make a fourteen hundred dollar donation?
- 9 A. No, it was from Karen.
- 10 Q. I apologize. I thought in direct you had indicated
- 11 anything Karen told you regarding donations you believed
- 12 ultimately came from Jim, is that correct?
- 13 A. That's correct; yes.
- 14 Q. So the suggestion was two donations of fourteen hundred
- dollars, correct?
- 16 A. Yes. Uh-huh (yes).
- 17 O. And that's what was annotated on this attached
- 18 | spreadsheet?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Have you ever seen the spreadsheet that Karen Hughes was
- 21 keeping?
- 22 A. Other than the one we're looking at, no.
- 23 Q. You didn't see the one that she was ultimately keeping
- 24 in her office?
- A. Not that I'm aware of, no. I don't recall that.

Osborn - Cross

- 1 Q. And so are you aware that it was from the spreadsheet
- 2 that she was keeping that your bonus was calculated?
- 3 A. I wasn't aware of anything besides what we're looking at
- 4 right here.
- 5 Q. Do you recall whether you and your wife both made
- fourteen hundred dollar contributions to McKinley?
- 7 A. Don't recall.
- 8 Q. Consequently, if Karen Hughes' spreadsheet reflected
- 9 that your wife did not make a donation, you would have no
- reason to disagree with that?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. If I could please have Government Exhibit 2, page twelve
- 13 brought up.
- 14 MR. CARR: I'm going to return Government Exhibit
- 15 52 to the Court.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you.
- MR. CARR: And returning Defendant's A to the
- 18 Court.
- 19 BY MR. CARR:
- Q. As it relates, Mr. Osborn, to those four payments for
- 21 Morrisey and I believe you said it seems likely that's
- 22 Morrisey for Attorney General?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. If in--do you know whether Karen Hughes factored these
- 25 | four contributions in to any payment to you?

Osborn - Cross

- 1 A. I assume she did.
- 2 Q. If the spreadsheet that she used to calculate the second
- 3 bonus did not include any annotation for you for Morrisey
- 4 you would have no reason to disagree with that would you?
- 5 A. I would not.
- 6 Q. If I could please have the entire bottom of the
- 7 statement highlighted or brought up? Mr. Osborn, the bank
- 8 statements that you testified about and that were introduced
- 9 appear to indicate that all of your income came from Mepco,
- 10 | is that correct?
- 11 A. Nearly all, yes.
- 12 Q. More than ninety-eight percent?
- 13 A. I would say that's correct, yes.
- 14 Q. There appear to be a few small deposits?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. This is your Cabela's credit card statement?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Given that ninety-eight percent of your money came from
- 19 Mepco, it is true then, is it not, that for instance the
- 20 | bottom right-hand charge for Lowe's of Morgantown?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. That was ultimately--you used Mepco money for that,
- 23 correct?
- A. I considered it my own money.
- 25 Q. I understand but that money came from Mepco?

Osborn - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. The next entry for Walmart?
- 3 A. Same thing.
- 4 Q. But the money ultimately came from Mepco?
- 5 A. Yes it did.
- Q. And all of the money you received from Mepco, to include
- 7 the second bonus, was direct deposited into one account?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And at some point you mentioned you got rid of that
- 10 account and got a new one?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Did you also receive a wellness or a health bonus and an
- 13 anniversary bonus?
- 14 A. I don't recall the wellness bonus, but I did receive a
- 15 retention bonus. It was an annual retention bonus.
- 16 Q. And those were discretionary bonuses authorized by
- 17 Mr. Laurita?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You were aware that the bonuses were actually paid to
- 20 you in a few lump sum payments throughout the year?
- 21 A. Which ones are you referring to?
- 22 Q. Thank you. The second bonus.
- 23 A. Yes. It was not on a certain frequency. It was just
- however it worked out for contributions.
- 25 Q. During the time period in which the second bonus program

- Osborn Redirect 1 as it's been referred to, was in existence, Jim Laurita 2 never indicated to you in any way, shape or form that there 3 could be anything wrong or unlawful with the program, is 4 that correct? 5 A. That's correct. 6 Q. And you certainly didn't indicate to him that there 7 could be anything wrong or unlawful with the program? 8 A. No, sir. 9 MR. CARR: May I have just one moment, Your Honor? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 (Pause) 12 MR. CARR: Nothing further Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Is there redirect? 14 MR. BERNARD: Briefly, Your Honor. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. BERNARD: 17 Q. Mr. Osborn, had you ever received any e-mails from 18 either the defendant or Ms. Hughes or anybody at Mepco 19 concerning how you spent money other than political contributions? 20 21 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And I think you indicated that -- Counsel showed you an
- exhibit where Ms. Hughes was asking whether you intended to
- 24 make a contribution. Do you recall that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Osborn - Redirect

- 1 Q. Was there ever a time when you were instructed or
- directed to make a contribution that you didn't, to your
- 3 knowledge?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And had you not done that--let's say you decided I am
- 6 not going to contribute to McKinley and there was fifteen
- 7 hundred dollars in your account, what would happen to that
- 8 money?
- 9 A. I felt like I would need to--
- 10 MR. CARR: Objection. Calls for speculation and
- 11 relevance.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 14 Q. You indicated in cross that you believed your salary
- payment was your money. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What did you mean by that?
- 18 A. When I received my salary or a tonnage bonus, I felt
- 19 like that was my money. If it was not one of those things
- 20 or the retention bonus, as Mr. Carr suggested, I felt like
- 21 that was not my money.
- 22 Q. Okay. Did you feel there were strings attached to the
- bonus, the second bonus?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance.

Osborn - Redirect

	Osborn - Redirect
1	MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, he's opened up with
2	THE COURT: Overruled.
3	MR. BERNARD:voluntariness. He's opened up this
4	door throughout the trial.
5	THE COURT: Yeah. Overruled.
6	BY MR. BERNARD:
7	Q. What was your answer?
8	A. Yes.
9	MR. BERNARD: Okay. Thank you. I have no further
10	questions.
11	THE COURT: Any recross?
12	MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Is the witness excused?
14	MR. BERNARD: He is excused, Your Honor, and I'll
15	defer to counsel whether he is subject to recall.
16	THE COURT: Okay. Is the witness subject to recall
17	by the defendant?
18	MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Osborn, you're excused
20	as a witness and free to go. You may step down.
21	(Witness excused)
22	THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, as soon as the
23	witness leaves the courtroom we'll take our mid-morning
24	recess. During the recess I would request that you not
25	discuss the case among yourselves. The case hasn't been

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
given to you for deliberation so you can talk about anything
else but not the case. Please leave your notebooks face
down on your chairs. We thank you for your attention and
patience and let's resume at ten-thirty. Please be prepared
to return to the courtroom to be in your chairs at
ten-thirty. Thank you. Court Security please leave them
out.
   Now may I ask Government's table to move so that the
jurors can come through there. Thank you. Actually, why
don't the alternates just come through the door and go into
the jury box and come through. I think that's probably the
best way and why don't you return that way as well. Thank
you.
   (Jury out at 10:15 a.m.)
         THE COURT: Are there any matters to address before
we recess?
         MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
        MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: Thank you. Court stands in recess
until ten-thirty.
         (Recess from 10:15 a.m., until 10:30 a.m.)
         THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. We can
bring the jury in please. May the witness--or next witness,
I'm sorry. We finished with him, didn't we. Okay.
the next witness?
```

1 MR. DOUGLAS: Rick Usery. 2 THE COURT: Are you bringing the jury in or is 3 somebody--4 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: He's bringing them in, 5 ma'am. 6 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Usery, just wait back 7 there for a moment please until the jury comes in. 8 you. 9 (Jury in 10:31 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: You can be seated, Ladies and 11 Gentlemen. Ladies and Gentlemen, I should tell you, all the 12 lawyers and the parties wanted to stand for you each time 13 you leave and you come back in. That's a very good protocol 14 but in our courtroom, because we're so small, we're smaller 15 than the ordinary District Courtroom and we're so cluttered 16 with everything for this case, I've asked them not to do 17 that so you must know that that's not their--if you've been 18 in juries before where you're expecting the lawyers to 19 stand, it's at my directive that they're not standing, 20 afraid they're going to knock things over or something's 2.1 going to happen so please understand that. All right. 22 Thank you. 23 The Government may resume with its next witness. 24 MR. DOUGLAS: United States calls Rick Usery. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Usery, if you'll approach the Clerk

Usery - Direct

- standing in front of me in the front of the courtroom,
- 2 she'll administer the oath to you before you take the
- 3 | witness stand.
- 4 RICK USERY, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 5 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat in the
- 6 witness stand. The witness is Richard Usery, U-s-e-r-y.
- 7 THE COURT: Mr. Usery, if you'll speak in a loud,
- 8 | clear voice into the microphone which you can move closer to
- 9 you and down as well to adjust to where you are in the seat.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 13 Q. Sir, please introduce yourself to the jury, including a
- 14 little bit about your background, education and work
- 15 experience.
- 16 A. Hi. My name is Richard Usery. I go by Rick. I am a
- 17 Mining Engineer. I was born in Colorado and have lived in
- 18 Morgantown for the past twelve years.
- 19 Q. How are you currently employed?
- 20 A. I am the Vice President of Surface Operation and Coal
- 21 Sales for Mepco LLC.
- 22 Q. Around when did you join Mepco?
- 23 A. It was November 2005.
- Q. And in what position did you join Mepco?
- 25 A. I was the Technical Assistant to the President.

- 1 Q. Who was the President?
- 2 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 3 Q. Is he sitting in the courtroom here at the defense table
- 4 on my right?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Who hired you into that position?
- 7 A. Mr. Laurita did.
- 8 Q. Now around the time of 2010 were you promoted to another
- 9 position?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. What position was that?
- 12 A. My current position as Vice President of Surface
- 13 Operations and Coal Sales.
- 14 Q. Who promoted you?
- 15 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 16 Q. Throughout your time at Mepco where has your office been
- 17 physically located?
- 18 A. In--in--in Morgantown at the office on Dents Run Road.
- 19 Q. Now at some point while you were working for the
- defendant at Mepco, did you start making campaign
- 21 contributions?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And how did that begin?
- 24 A. It began as part of--I first found out about it via an
- e-mail that Mr. Laurita sent out.

Usery - Direct

- 1 Q. Was there a meeting that was being held by Mr. Laurita?
- 2 A. Yes there was but I was--I was not present at that
- 3 meeting as I was out of town.
- Q. Okay. Did you ultimately meet with someone to learn
- 5 what you had missed?
- 6 A. Yes. I met with Karen Hughes after I returned back to
- 7 work.
- 8 Q. Did you learn just the general substance of what the
- 9 meeting was about?
- 10 A. Yes, the general--yes.
- 11 Q. What was your understanding?
- 12 A. My understanding was that we were going to provide
- campaign contributions to different candidates and we would
- be reimbursed for those contributions.
- 15 Q. Did you then have a separate meeting with the defendant?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. Why did you have a separate meeting with him?
- 18 A. I wanted to understand more fully what the process was
- and I also wanted to express my--the fact that I was
- 20 uncomfortable doing that.
- 21 Q. What did you express to him with regard to your being
- 22 uncomfortable?
- 23 A. I--I told Mr. Laurita that I didn't like doing it
- because in general I don't like giving money to politicians.
- 25 Q. At that time had you ever done it before?

Usery - Direct

- 1 A. No, sir.
- 2 Q. Had your spouse ever made a contribution before that
- 3 | time?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. And you said that you wanted to get a better
- 6 understanding of why he wanted to do it. Did he give you a
- 7 better understanding of why he wanted to do it?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. He told me that it was all about access and
- 9 that when--we needed--when the company needed assistance for
- 10 permits or some other issue that may arise that we
- 11 | could--whenever he went in to talk to one of the
- 12 Congressional representatives, that the first thing they did
- was look at their roles to see who had contributed to
- 14 the--to the campaign.
- 15 Q. During that meeting with the defendant, did the
- defendant tell you how you would know to which candidates to
- 17 | contribute?
- 18 A. I don't recall that. I think in the initial meeting
- 19 | with Mrs. Hughes, that's when she--she told me that--that we
- 20 | would receive instructions to that.
- 21 Q. In that meeting you had with the defendant did he tell
- 22 you how the contributions would be funded?
- 23 A. That we would be reimbursed by the company.
- Q. I want to talk a little bit about that conversation
- 25 | specifically with regard to what you just said about the

1 reimbursements. During that meeting did the defendant tell

- 2 you how that reimbursement would be made?
- 3 A. No, sir.
- 4 Q. All right. Let's talk about the mechanics of how this
- 5 program worked. Was there someone who would communicate the
- 6 instructions to you about campaign contributions?
- 7 A. Yes. In most cases that was Mrs. Hughes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what generally would those instructions
- 9 include?
- 10 A. They would include the amount to be submitted, to which
- 11 campaign and how to make the check out to.
- 12 Q. And was there a time that your spouse also made campaign
- 13 | contributions?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. So how did you know whether your spouse is--
- 16 A. That would be--that would be included in the
- 17 instructions.
- 18 Q. Do you recall some of the candidates to whom you made
- 19 contributions under this program?
- 20 A. Ah, yes. Manchin, McKinley, Capito and other state and
- 21 federal candidates.
- 22 Q. How did you typically make these contributions you were
- requested to make?
- 24 A. Via personal check.
- Q. Okay. And what would you do with the check once it was

Case 1.17-ci-duust-iiiik-jes Ducumentot Filed 03/14/18 Page 85 0i 2/1 PageiD #. 606

Usery - Direct

- 1 | written?
- 2 A. Generally speaking I would give it to Mrs. Hughes.
- 3 Q. Do you recall learning whether anyone was keeping record
- 4 of the contributions versus how much the reimbursements
- 5 were?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What do you recall about that?
- 8 A. I recall that Mrs. Hughes kept those records.
- 9 Q. Do you recall whether Ms. Hughes ever sent you any of
- 10 those records?
- 11 A. I believe she did.
- 12 Q. Generally what was the gist of those types of records?
- 13 A. The gist was the amount and to who the--it was basically
- 14 | a spreadsheet with the amount and who the--who the
- 15 | contribution was to and what the reimbursement was.
- 16 Q. Let's talk a little bit about your pay. Generally, if
- we were to look at your bank statement, what kind of
- 18 deposits would there be from Mepco? And we will look at
- 19 | that in a minute.
- 20 A. Generally speaking there would be--we are paid every two
- 21 | weeks and there would be two--normally two direct deposits
- 22 for base pay and then a monthly deposit for a production
- bonus.
- Q. And did that production bonus predate or preexist this
- 25 political contribution program?

187

1 A. Yes, sir.

- 2 Q. Okay. Did the defendant ever tell you or suggest what
- 3 to do with your salary?
- 4 A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. Did the defendant ever suggest what you should do with
- 6 your performance bonus?
- 7 A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. What other types of payments were there in addition to
- 9 salary payments and the tonnage bonus?
- 10 A. The only other type of payment would have been the
- 11 campaign reimbursement payment.
- 12 Q. And if I say the second bonus, do you understand what I
- mean? Have you heard it called the second bonus?
- 14 A. I have heard it called that, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did the defendant suggest what you should do with
- 16 | that second bonus?
- 17 A. That was the bonus that was to be used for the campaign
- 18 | contributions.
- 19 Q. Did you attend any fundraisers during this program?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Why?
- 22 A. Because that was part of the instructions that we
- 23 received.
- MR. DOUGLAS: May I approach the witness, Your
- 25 Honor?

188 Usery - Direct 1 THE COURT: You may. 2. BY MR. DOUGLAS: 3 Q. I'm handing you what's been marked as Exhibit 14 and 15 4 for the Government. Could you take a look first at Exhibit 5 14 and just tell me what it is generally? 6 (No answer - witness looking at document) 7 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 8 Q. Mr. Usery, are these checks from your bank account, 9 written on your bank account? 10 A. Yes, sir, they are. They are checks written by me and 11 my wife. 12 Q. Okay. And do these checks relate to the contribution 13 program in that they are the contributions? A. Yes, sir. 14 15 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We move to admit 16 Exhibit -- Government Exhibit 14. 17 THE COURT: Is there any objection? 18 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 14 is admitted. 20 (Government Exhibit Number 14 admitted.) 21 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 22 Q. If we could bring up page one please. What are we 23 looking at on page one? 24 A. This is a check written to the McKinley campaign by my

25

wife.

1 Q. Why did your wife--did you direct your wife to write

- 2 this check?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. Why?
- 5 A. Because we followed the instructions as provided by
- 6 Mrs. Hughes.
- 7 Q. And ultimately did you have an understanding of where
- 8 Karen Hughes was getting her instruction, if you know?
- 9 A. My understanding is she was receiving her instruction
- 10 from Mr. Laurita.
- 11 Q. And how ultimately was this check funded?
- 12 A. By the second bonus or the campaign contribution bonus.
- 13 Q. If we take a look at the second page please. What are
- we looking at on the second page?
- 15 A. This is a check written by myself to the McKinley
- 16 campaign.
- 17 Q. Why did you write that check?
- 18 A. For the same reason, as instructed, to provide to the
- 19 campaign.
- 20 Q. How was that check ultimately funded?
- 21 A. It was funded through the campaign reimbursement.
- 22 Q. And what I'm going to do is we're going to flip through
- and show you each one of them and then I'm going to ask you
- 24 | a general question about them so that we don't go through
- 25 | each one. Is that okay?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So let's bring up page three, take a quick
- 3 look at that. Okay. Just identify generally what it is.
- 4 A. A check to the McKinley campaign written--signed by my
- 5 | wife.
- Q. Okay. And let's look at the next one. Page four
- 7 please. What is this?
- 8 A. It's a check to the Capito campaign signed by myself.
- 9 Q. Page five please. What is this?
- 10 A. This is a check, another separate check to the McKinley
- 11 campaign signed by my wife.
- 12 Q. Page six. What is this?
- 13 A. This is a check to the McKinley campaign signed by
- 14 myself.
- 15 Q. Page seven please. What is page seven?
- 16 A. This is a check to the Manchin campaign signed by
- myself.
- 18 Q. Page eight please. At the bottom. What is that?
- 19 A. This is a check to the Tomblin campaign signed by my
- 20 wife.
- 21 Q. Page nine. What is that?
- 22 A. This is a check to the Tomblin campaign signed by
- 23 myself.
- Q. Page ten. What is on page ten?
- 25 A. Page ten is a check to the Snuffer campaign signed by

191

1 myself.

- 2 Q. Page eleven?
- 3 A. This is a check to the McKinley campaign signed by
- 4 myself.
- 5 Q. What is page twelve?
- 6 A. This is a check to the McKinley campaign signed by my
- 7 | wife.
- 8 Q. What is page thirteen?
- 9 A. This is a check to the Capito campaign signed by myself.
- 10 Q. And finally page fourteen?
- 11 A. This is a check to the Capito campaign signed by my
- 12 wife.
- 13 Q. Now I'm going to ask you a couple questions with regard
- 14 to all of those checks. Why did you write or ask your wife
- 15 to write all of those checks we just looked at?
- 16 A. Upon receiving instructions provided by Mrs. Hughes.
- 17 Q. And what was your ultimate understanding of where those
- 18 instructions came from?
- 19 A. Those instructions came from--my understanding is they
- 20 came from Mr. Laurita.
- 21 Q. And what was the ultimate source of the funding for
- 22 those checks to your understanding?
- 23 A. It was company funds. Mepco funds.
- 24 Q. Thank you. Now I would like to ask you to look at
- 25 Government Exhibit 15 and just again tell us generally what

Case 1:17-cr_00051-IMK-JES Document 61 Filed 03/14/18 Page 91 of 271 PageID #: 612 192 Usery - Direct 1 Exhibit 15 is? 2. It's copies of my bank records. 3 And are these bank statements in specific? 4 A. Yes, sir, they are. 5 Q. And do they relate to that second bonus that you were receiving? 6 7 A. Yes, sir. 8 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Move to admit Government 9 Exhibit 15. 10 THE COURT: Any objection? 11 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 15 is admitted. 13 (Government Exhibit Number 15 admitted.) BY MR. DOUGLAS: 14 15 Q. If we could bring up page one please and first bring up 16 the top left corner. What is the date of the statement 17 depicted on page one? 18 A. March 10th, 2010. 19 Q. And then if we could bring up page three of the exhibit 20 and the deposits and if I could draw your attention to March

- 21 9. What is this March 9 deposit?
- 22 A. March 9 would be a deposit that was likely the initial
- 23 deposit for the campaign contribution program.
- 24 Okay. Now we're going to jump to page nineteen please
- 25 and bring up the top left corner please. What is the date

193

1 of this statement?

- 2 A. April 10th, 2013.
- 3 Q. And then we're going to go to page three of that
- 4 statement which is page twenty-one of the exhibit and bring
- 5 up the deposits and if I could draw your attention to the
- 6 March 14th deposit of fourteen thousand five hundred and
- 7 eighty-seven dollars and fifty cents. What is that deposit?
- 8 A. That would also be a deposit for the campaign
- 9 contribution program.
- 10 Q. And without going through all the other deposits, if you
- 11 received a deposit that wasn't salary and wasn't a tonnage
- 12 bonus, what generally was it from Mepco?
- 13 A. It was a reimbursement--campaign reimbursement deposit.
- 14 Q. Did you make any contributions or have your wife make
- any contributions during this entire time period we've just
- been looking at that wasn't part of the program?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. At some point did you stop making political
- 19 | contributions?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Why?
- 22 A. Because the instructions were never provided and nor
- 23 were the funds.
- Q. So without the instructions you didn't continue to make
- 25 political contributions?

Usery - Cross

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Would you have made any of these contributions if you
- didn't believe the defendant was asking you to do so?
- 4 A. No I would not have.
- 5 Q. You already mentioned that the defendant hired you and
- 6 promoted you. Did you believe he had the right to demote
- 7 you or fire you, or the power?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Since the program ended have you made any other
- 10 contributions?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 MR. DOUGLAS: One moment, Your Honor.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further. Should I retrieve
- 15 | the exhibits?
- 16 THE COURT: You should.
- 17 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination?
- 19 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. CARR:
- 22 Q. Sir, I apologize. Could you tell me again how to
- pronounce your last name because I think I've been
- 24 mispronouncing it.
- 25 A. Usery.

Usery - Cross

- 1 Q. Usery.
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Mr. Usery, you mentioned that during the initial few
- 4 | weeks or the initial period of time that you expressed to
- Jim that you were uncomfortable giving to politicians, is
- 6 | that correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. But when you say that it was just that you generally
- 9 don't like giving money to politicians period, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. It wasn't that you were--that you were concerned in any
- way, shape or form with the unlawfulness of the program?
- 13 A. No, sir, I wasn't.
- 14 Q. And at no time during the time--we keep calling it the
- program so I will continue, that the program existed, you
- 16 never communicated to Jim any concern about the lawfulness
- of the program?
- 18 A. That's correct. No, sir, I didn't.
- 19 Q. And Jim never indicated to you any concerns about the
- 20 lawfulness of the program?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Sir, do you also receive an anniversary and health or
- 23 | wellness bonus every year?
- 24 A. That program has since ceased but I--at one time it did.
- 25 Q. It's no longer in existence but during the years 2010 to

Usery - Cross

196

1 2013?

- 2 A. That's possible. I don't remember the exact timing of
- 3 that.
- 4 Q. Sir, would it be correct from a review of your bank
- 5 records that your primary and almost exclusive source of
- 6 income was payments or compensation from Mepco?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And the--all payments from Mepco, whether it be salary,
- 9 tonnage bonus, what we keep referring to as bonus two,
- 10 | wellness bonus, anniversary bonus, if those occurred during
- 11 those years, all of those payments were treated the same way
- 12 financially in that they were direct deposited into your
- designated account?
- 14 A. They were direct deposited into that account; yes, sir.
- 15 Q. At no time did you receive an envelope for the bonus two
- program of cash or a separate check. It was always direct
- deposited into your account?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And actually that amount was grossed up or plussed up
- 20 | just as your salary was, meaning that it--it included such
- 21 things as withholding tax, security security, et cetera, is
- 22 | that correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. Is it also correct that when you--when there was a
- 25 | suggestion made to you to make a donation, it wasn't

Usery - Cross

1 specified as to what account or even money to give. It was

- 2 just to please provide, if you want, the campaign donation?
- 3 A. I am not sure I understand the question regarding
- 4 account.
- 5 Q. I understand. You were just asked to provide a check,
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 O. A donation?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. At no time did Mr. Laurita or Karen Hughes or anyone
- 11 else in the company state that it was mandatory or required
- 12 that you give a donation. Is that correct?
- 13 A. That's correct. No one stated that.
- 14 Q. Are you aware that records reflect that there were times
- when you did not give donations that other members of the
- 16 executive team gave?
- 17 A. No I am not.
- 18 Q. If that happened, no one came to you and demanded that
- 19 | you write a check?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And no one ever told you that if asked deny why you are
- getting a second bonus or what it is in relation to?
- A. No one ever--no one ever stated that.
- Q. And is that correct that no one ever did ask you why you
- were getting the second bonus?

```
198
                             Usery - Redirect
1
           I'm sorry. No one meaning?
 2
           Anyone outside of the executive team?
 3
          No. No one asked me that.
 4
       Q. Or an independent accounting firm who might've been in
 5
      the office, simply no one asked you?
      A. That's correct.
 6
 7
                MR. CARR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
 8
                THE COURT: You may.
 9
           (Pause)
10
                MR. CARR: Nothing further at this time, Your
11
      Honor.
12
                THE COURT: Is there any redirect?
13
                MR. DOUGLAS: Briefly, Your Honor.
14
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
15
      BY MR. DOUGLAS:
16
      Q. Mr. Usery, did you trust the defendant that the program
17
      was lawful?
18
      A. Yes, sir.
19
       Q. Did you ever overcome your discomfort with making
20
       campaign contributions?
21
      A. No, sir.
22
      Q. So why did you do it?
23
      A. That's what was expected.
24
       Q. What was your understanding of who expected you to do
25
      it?
```

Usery - Redirect

- 1 A. Mr. Laurita did.
- 2 Q. Was he your boss?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Do you know what the other executives were specifically
- 5 being asked to give to specific candidates?
- 6 A. At times I did because the e-mail instructions were
- 7 | copied to those individuals.
- 8 Q. Did you always know?
- 9 A. Generally, whenever the--yes on the e-mail instructions.
- 10 Q. Is it--okay. Did General Power have access to your
- 11 personal bank account to see a second bonus?
- 12 A. I'm sorry?
- 13 Q. Did General Power have access to your bank statements to
- 14 | see a second bonus deposit?
- 15 A. I'm not familiar with General Power.
- 16 Q. The parent company of Mepco?
- 17 A. Oh, I do not know that.
- 18 Q. All right. Do you happen to know that Mepco was audited
- 19 by an outside firm?
- 20 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did that outside firm have access to your bank
- 22 | accounts to see a second bonus?
- 23 MR. CARR: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: I think the objection is this goes way
- 25 beyond the scope of the cross-examination and I sustain that

Usery - Redirect

1 objection and, Ladies and Gentlemen, any of those questions 2. about General Power are way beyond the scope of what can be 3 done on redirect and therefore I strike it. 4 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further, Your Honor. 5 MR. CARR: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: You're welcome. Is there anything 7 further? 8 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Then is the witness subject 10 to being called again by the Government? 11 MR. DOUGLAS: Not by the Government, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: By the defendant? 13 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Then the witness may step 15 down. Mr. Usery, you're excused as a witness and free to 16 go. Thank you. 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 (Witness excused) 19 THE COURT: The Government may cause it's next 20 witness. 21 MR. DOUGLAS: The United States calls Suzanne 22 Crane. 23 THE COURT: All right. Suzanne Crane. 24 (Pause) 25 THE COURT: Ms. Crane, good morning. I am Judge

Crane - Direct

- 1 Keeley directing you to the front of the courtroom where the
- 2 Clerk is standing in the gray suit. She will administer the
- 3 oath to you before you take the witness stand. Please raise
- 4 your right hand.
- 5 SUZANNE CRANE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 6 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in the
- 7 witness stand. The witness is Suzanne Crane, C-r-a-n-e.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 10 Q. Ms. Crane, could you please introduce yourself to the
- 11 jury?
- 12 A. I am Suzanne Crane.
- 13 Q. Could you tell them a little bit about your background,
- where you live and what your current employment is?
- 15 A. I currently live in Bruceton Mills, West Virginia and I
- am currently employed with AECOM and I work in safety and
- 17 permitting.
- 18 Q. What is AECOM?
- 19 A. It is a government contractor.
- 20 Q. Where were you employed prior to that company?
- 21 A. Mid-Atlantic Energy Services.
- 22 Q. And what was your position there?
- 23 A. Administrative Assistant.
- 24 Q. Who were you the Administrative Assistant for?
- 25 A. James Laurita, III, George McLaughlin, James Laurita,

- 1 II, Jim Laurita, Jr.
- Q. Okay. Is Jim Laurita, Jr. sitting in the courtroom at
- 3 the defense table on my right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. When did you work for him at Mid-Atlantic?
- 6 A. It would be 2014 to 2016.
- 7 Q. Had you worked for him previously at Mepco?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Around what time frame was that?
- 10 A. 2011 to 2014.
- 11 Q. And what was your position there?
- 12 A. Executive Assistant.
- 13 Q. When you were hired on at Mepco where had you just been
- 14 | working?
- 15 A. Human Resources at WVU Hospitals.
- 16 Q. Okay. And did you interview then for the Executive
- 17 Assistant position with the defendant?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Who was at the interview?
- 20 A. Kevin O'Dell and Mark Bunker.
- 21 Q. And the defendant?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And was there discussion at that interview about
- 24 your job responsibilities and what would be expected of you?
- 25 A. Yes.

203

1 Q. And what generally were those responsibilities?

- 2 A. Travel arrangements, managing the calendar, just
- 3 handling anything Mr. Laurita needed.
- 4 Q. And once you started the position did you learn that
- 5 there were additional expectations of you in your job
- 6 responsibilities?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. How quickly?
- 9 A. Within a couple weeks.
- 10 Q. Okay. And what were those additional responsibilities?
- 11 A. Some political fundraising.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- MR. DOUGLAS: May I approach the witness, Your
- 14 Honor?
- 15 THE COURT: You may.
- 16 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 17 Q. I'm handing you a stack of exhibits we hopefully will be
- 18 | going through in order and we're starting with Exhibit 1-65.
- 19 Could you take a look at 1-65 and tell us generally what it
- 20 is?
- 21 A. It is an e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 22 Q. In general could you describe, if there's an attachment
- 23 and what it is?
- 24 A. It is an invitation.
- 25 Q. Okay. Is it in regards to a political fundraiser?

Crane - Direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. All right. And does this relate to those additional
- 3 responsibilities we were just discussing about political
- 4 fundraisers?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We move to admit 1-65.
- 7 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- 8 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-65 is admitted.
- 10 (Government Exhibit 1-65 admitted.)
- 11 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 12 Q. If we could first just bring up the first page and zoom
- in please on just the top. Could you tell us what the date
- is of this e-mail from the defendant to you?
- 15 A. August 15th, 2011.
- 16 Q. Around when had you started at Mepco?
- 17 A. Sometime in August before this.
- 18 O. In that same month?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. And if we could jump to the second page. Now you
- 21 can tell us what this fundraiser was for.
- 22 A. It was for United States Congressman Jason Altmire.
- 23 Q. Did you have any responsibilities with regard to this
- 24 fundraiser?
- 25 A. I believe I was just asked to create the invitation,

- 1 possibly set up a tent, call.
- 2 Q. And where was this fundraiser--where did the fundraiser
- 3 take place?
- 4 A. At his residence.
- 5 Q. Okay. Was this part of your introduction to this
- 6 political involvement and these political responsibilities
- 7 you would have?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now at some point did these political
- 10 responsibilities include the executives making campaign
- 11 | contributions?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What generally was--were your responsibilities in that
- 14 regard?
- 15 A. I would send the invitation to the executive team and
- say this is the amount of money you need to contribute and
- 17 | collect the checks.
- 18 Q. And where would you be getting your instruction about,
- 19 you know, which candidates and what amount?
- 20 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 21 Q. As part of that program were the spouses of the
- 22 executives also requested to contribute?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And is that something that you would communicate on to
- 25 | the group?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Where would you get that instruction that spouses should
- 3 | also contribute?
- 4 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 5 Q. Did you have any knowledge as to how these contributions
- 6 were being funded, the executive contributions?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. So you didn't know whether they were paying for it or
- 9 the company was paying for it?
- 10 MR. CARR: Objection. Asked and answered. Calls
- 11 | for speculation. Witness has said she doesn't know.
- 12 THE COURT: I'm not sure I heard the question
- 13 specifically directed to her that way. If you rephrase, I
- 14 | will allow it.
- MR. DOUGLAS: I'll just move on, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay.
- 17 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 18 Q. Do you recall some of the candidates that were part of
- 19 the program being asked to contribute to--the executives
- 20 | contributing to them?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And could you name some of them please?
- 23 A. Capito. Altmire.
- Q. Okay. That's okay. That's okay. Let's take a look
- 25 then at some other exhibits. You had already indicated

1 that--actually let's just look at the exhibit, 1-109 please.

- 2 What is 1-109?
- 3 A. It is an e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 4 Q. Okay. And is this an example of an e-mail where he's
- 5 telling you the instructions to pass along to the group?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We would move to admit 1-109.
- 8 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- 9 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: 1-109 is admitted.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 1-109 admitted.)
- 12 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 13 Q. If we could bring up the first page please and zoom in
- on the bottom half. If you could direct your attention to
- 15 | the bottom e-mail, the one that's dated March 6th, 2013 at
- 16 8:33 a.m. If you could read the second and the third
- sentence, the one starting with also.
- 18 A. Also, we're going to talk about officer contributions.
- 19 What contribution amount were you thinking?
- 20 Q. And is this you asking the defendant that question?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then if you could look at the response e-mail
- of the same date and read the sentence that starts with ask.
- 24 A. I'm sorry, repeat that.
- 25 Q. The e-mail right above that in the chain.

Crane - Direct

- 1 A. Oh.
- Q. Yeah, if you start looking at the screen please.
- 3 A. Ask the officers to contribute twenty-five hundred by
- 4 here two-by two here too.
- 5 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at 1-110 please. What is
- 6 1-110?
- 7 A. It is an e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 8 Q. Is it again with regard to the contribution program?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-110.
- 11 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-110 is admitted.
- 14 (Government Exhibit 1-110 admitted.)
- 15 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 16 Q. And then if we could bring up page--on your computer
- monitor up there and bring up the top half, enlarge the top
- 18 | half please. And could you read your e-mail dated March 6,
- 19 2013 to him, the defendant?
- 20 A. The Capito fundraiser to be held April 8th, you had
- 21 | mentioned you would like the officers to make a
- 22 | contribution. Let me know what amount.
- 23 Q. And then at the top of that exhibit, could you read the
- defendant's response e-mail to you?
- 25 A. The maximum allowable is twenty-five hundred per person.

Crane - Direct

- 1 I'd like for them and their spouses each to contribute
- 2 twenty-five hundred.
- 3 Q. Let's take a look at 1-112 please. What is 1-112?
- 4 A. It is an e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 5 Q. Does it concern the political contribution program?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-112.
- 8 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 9 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: 1-112 is admitted.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 1-112 admitted.)
- 12 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 13 Q. If we could bring up just the top e-mail please. Could
- 14 | you read that e-mail from the defendant to you?
- 15 A. We will need to have the officers and spouses give
- 16 twenty-six hundred each rather than twenty-five hundred and
- 17 I think the invitations are fine as they are. If someone
- wants to give less, they are not going to turn them away.
- 19 Q. And I want to first of all ask you, did you have any
- 20 understanding of why there was an increase from twenty-five
- 21 hundred to twenty-six hundred?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding of the individual
- 24 | contribution limits?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Okay. And then with regard to this comment about
- 2 | they're not going to turn them away, let's look at the other
- 3 part of this e-mail please. And do you see how you're
- 4 referencing a McKinley contribution and a McKinley
- 5 | non-contribution?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Is that what you were referring to when you said--
- 8 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 11 Q. Okay. Let's bring up 1-115 please. I'm sorry. If you
- 12 | could take a look at it first. What is 1-115?
- 13 A. It is an e-mail from myself to Mr. Laurita.
- 14 Q. Is it in regard to the campaign program?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Move to admit 1-115.
- 17 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Admitted.
- 18 (Government Exhibit 1-115 admitted.)
- 19 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 20 Q. If we could bring up 1-115 please? And could you read
- 21 your e-mail to the defendant?
- 22 A. Jim, I will cut out the contribution section and send
- 23 them another invitation for the few that are
- 24 | non-contributors. I will change the officer request to
- 25 twenty-six hundred.

- 1 Q. Was there ever a time that you changed the amount on
- 2 your own accord?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. If you changed an amount, why were you changing an
- 5 amount?
- 6 A. I would only change it if he told me to change it.
- 7 Q. Okay. Please take a look at 1-134. What is 1-134?
- 8 A. It is an e-mail from myself to Mr. Laurita.
- 9 Q. Is this in regard to political fundraising at Mepco?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-134.
- 12 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: 1-134 is admitted.
- 15 (Government Exhibit 1-134 admitted.)
- 16 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 17 Q. If we could bring up 1-134 and start with the bottom
- 18 | e-mail. Could you read the defendant's e-mail to you with
- 19 | the subject Capito?
- 20 A. Suzanne, I will be bringing in two twenty-six hundred
- 21 dollar checks from Becky and I tomorrow morning. How about
- apologizing me to the Congresswoman and finding out what we
- raised in total for the event.
- Q. Okay. And if we can take a look at your response? If
- 25 you could read your response please?

- 1 A. It will end up around a hundred and ten thousand from
- 2 the Capito event.
- 3 Q. Do you recall whether this was an event that the
- 4 defendant was hosting?
- 5 A. I do not.
- 6 Q. Okay. Do you call him ever hosting a Capito event?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now in addition to this sort of communication role
- 9 between you and the group and you and some campaigns, were
- 10 you also collecting the contributions from the executives?
- 11 A. Sometimes.
- 12 Q. And how would that generally work?
- 13 A. Generally I would send them an e-mail or give them a
- form to fill out requesting contribution for the event and
- have them drop it off to me or I would pick it up.
- 16 Q. Once you received either a check or a credit card form,
- 17 | what would you do with it?
- 18 A. I would track it on a spreadsheet.
- 19 Q. What would you do with the actual contribution, the
- 20 check or the credit card form?
- 21 A. I would just keep it till I needed to meet with the
- 22 | financial coordinator for the event and hand it off to them.
- Q. Okay. So you started to mention that you would also
- 24 keep a record. What type of record would you keep?
- 25 A. Just an Excel spreadsheet.

- 1 Q. Okay. Could you please take a look at Government
- 2 Exhibits 44 and 56? What are those two exhibits?
- 3 A. Capito and McKinley contribution spreadsheet.
- 4 Q. Is that what you were just referring to, examples of
- 5 | what you were referring to?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And during the program were you regularly keeping
- 8 | certain records like this?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Move to admit Government's
- 11 Exhibit 44 and 56.
- 12 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the admission
- of these two exhibits?
- 14 MR. CARR: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- 16 (Pause)
- MR. CARR: No objection, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibits
- 19 144--1-44 and 1-56 are admitted.
- 20 MR. DOUGLAS: Your Honor, these are actually just
- 21 44 and 56.
- THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Government Exhibits 44
- 23 and 56 are admitted.
- 24 (Government Exhibit Number 44 and 56 admitted.)
- 25 BY MR. DOUGLAS:

- 1 Q. All right. If we could bring up Government Exhibit 44
- 2 please and zoom in on that spreadsheet? Actually if you
- 3 | could just zoom in on the first two columns on the left. So
- 4 what type of information were you keeping here in these two
- 5 | columns of this spreadsheet?
- 6 A. The contributor and the amount they contributed.
- 7 Q. Okay. And if we could look at--zoom in on the title of
- 8 the spreadsheet please. And who was this for, which
- 9 candidate?
- 10 A. Shelley Capito.
- 11 Q. Okay. Why were you keeping this spreadsheet?
- 12 A. So we would know who all has contributed.
- 13 Q. Would you share it with someone?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Who would you share it with?
- 16 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 17 Q. Did you just make it up on your own or did he ask you to
- 18 make the spreadsheets?
- 19 A. He asked me.
- 20 Q. Okay. Let's look at Government Exhibit 56. If we could
- 21 just start with the title this time. Who is this
- 22 spreadsheet for, which candidate?
- 23 A. McKinley.
- Q. Does it generally include the same information,
- 25 executives and amounts and method of payment?

Crane - Direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Why were you keeping this spreadsheet?
- 3 A. So we could keep track of contributions.
- 4 Q. And at whose direction again were you keeping this
- 5 spreadsheet?
- 6 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 7 Q. Moving on from records, did you also have any
- 8 responsibilities with regard to drafting of fundraiser
- 9 invitations or contribution forms?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What generally were your responsibilities in that
- 12 regard?
- 13 A. The invitation.
- 14 Q. Okay. And at whose direction were you drafting these
- documents?
- 16 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 17 Q. Would the defendant ever make any suggestions regarding
- an invitation and what it should say?
- 19 A. Sometimes.
- 20 Q. Okay. If we could take a look at Exhibits--or if you
- 21 | could take a look at Exhibits 1-72 and 1-73 please. What
- 22 are those two exhibits generally?
- 23 A. Example of an invitation.
- Q. Are these e-mails?
- 25 A. Yes.

216 Crane - Direct 1 Okay. Are they e-mails from the defendant to you? 2. Α. Yes. 3 Q. With regard to drafting invitations? 4 A. Yes. 5 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We move to admit Exhibits 1-726 and 1-73. 7 THE COURT: Any objection? 8 MR. CARR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 (Pause) 11 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibits 1-72 and 1-73 are admitted. 13 14 (Government Exhibits 1-72 and 1-73 admitted.) 15 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 16 Q. Let's bring up 1-72 please and if we could just bring up 17 the top e-mail. Could you read the first sentence of that 18 e-mail please? 19 A. Here is an example e-mail format for a fundraiser we may 20 use in the future. I like how they use an example they are 21 facing as a means to attract interest and potential 22 contributors. 23 Q. Okay. If we could back out of that please and if we 24 could go to the second page of that exhibit. Is this the 25 candidate and the invitation he was referring to?

Crane - Direct

217

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Let's bring up 1-73 please. If we could just bring up
- 3 the top part of the e-mail. Can you read the second
- 4 sentence for us that starts with I would also like?
- 5 A. I would also like to include the following message
- 6 included for invites sent via e-mail. Please feel free to
- 7 polish it up where you think best.
- 8 Q. So these are his suggestions with regard to an
- 9 invitation?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is this for Senator Manchin?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Please take a look at the paper copy of 1-81 and
- 14 | you can take that down. What is 1-81?
- 15 A. A sample invitation.
- 16 Q. First off is it an e-mail?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And is it sending you a sample invitation, particularly
- 19 the defendant is sending you a sample invitation?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-81.
- THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: I apologize, Your Honor, if I may have
- just a moment.
- 25 (Pause)

Crane - Direct

2 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 1-81 is

MR. CARR: No objection, Your Honor.

3 admitted.

- 4 (Government Exhibit 1-81 admitted.)
- 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 6 Q. Please bring up 1-81 and just zoom in on the top e-mail
- 7 alone. Is this an e-mail from the defendant to you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Could you read what he said to you?
- 10 A. Attached is a sample invitation that Stephanie may be
- 11 using that can be modified for our use.
- 12 Q. Is this in regard to Governor Tomblin?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Could we bring up the invitation that's attached, which
- is page three of the exhibit? Is this that example
- 16 invitation?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And did that happen sometimes that a campaign would send
- 19 along invitations if there was going to be a fundraiser that
- 20 | would be sponsored by the defendant?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. If we could zoom in on the bottom language here right
- 23 below the address that's listed. Could you read that
- sentence that starts with under West Virginia state law?
- 25 A. Under West Virginia state law, no person may make any

- contribution except from his, her, or its own funds, unless
- 2 such person discloses in writing to the person required to
- 3 report the name, residence, mailing address, major business
- 4 affiliation, and occupation of the person which furnished
- 5 the funds to the contributor.
- 6 Q. Take that down please. I promise not to ask you to read
- 7 that again but I am going to ask you about that sentence
- 8 again. Let's bring up 1-82 please and if you could look at
- 9 1-82, the witness. What is 1-82?
- 10 A. An e-mail from myself to Mr. Laurita.
- 11 Q. Is this in regard to further drafts of that Tomblin
- 12 invitation or your first draft?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-82.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-82 is admitted.
- 18 (Government Exhibit 1-82 admitted.)
- 19 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 20 Q. If we can bring up 1-82 and if we could zoom in on just
- 21 the top e-mail and if you could read just the first sentence
- of the second paragraph I drafted?
- 23 A. I drafted an invitation and donation form, attached.
- Q. Okay. Now let's look at what you drafted. First of all
- 25 | this is page two. Is this the invitation?

Crane - Direct

220

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And then page three. Is this the contribution form for
- 3 those that would be contributing?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. If you could bring up the language at the bottom of
- 6 that. Do you see how the under West Virginia state law
- 7 | sentence is still there?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. If you could take a look at the paper copy of
- 10 1-84. What is 1-84?
- 11 A. An e-mail from myself to Mr. Laurita.
- 12 Q. Is it further in regard to this Tomblin invitation
- 13 you're working on?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-84.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-84 is admitted.
- 19 (Government Exhibit 1-84 admitted.)
- 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 21 Q. Let's just go straight to the invitation. Did you
- 22 e-mail this invitation to the defendant?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And if we could bring up the bottom language. Do
- 25 you see how the under West Virginia state law sentence is no

221 Crane - Direct 1 longer there? 2. A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you know why it's no longer there? 4 A. I do not. 5 Q. Did the defendant ever ask you about why it wasn't there 6 any more? 7 A. No. 8 Q. Take a look at the paper copy of 1-89, the last one with 9 regard to this Tomblin invitation. Is 1-89 another e-mail 10 from you to the defendant including a version of the Tomblin 11 invitation? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. If you could look at what is page four of that exhibit. 14 MR. DOUGLAS: If we could move to admit 1-89. 15 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the admission 16 of 1-89? 17 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: The Court admits 1-89. 19 (Government Exhibit 1-89 admitted.) 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 21 Q. Now if we can bring up and go straight to page four 22 please and bring up the language at the bottom. This 23 invitation doesn't include the under state law language

24

25

either?

A. No.

- 1 Q. Okay. And if you go back to the e-mail, page one please
- 2 and bring up just the top half. Is this an e-mail from you
- 3 to the defendant?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you see where you say I will get this out today?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Does that mean this was the final invitation, to the
- 8 best of your knowledge?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did your drafting of invitations also include drafting
- of federal invitations?
- 12 A. I do not recall.
- 13 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at 1-95. Do you recognize 1-95
- 14 as an e-mail with another invitation from you to the
- 15 defendant?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-95.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Court admits Government Exhibit 1-95.
- 21 (Government Exhibit 1-95 admitted.)
- 22 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. Let's look at the e-mail, just the top half please. If
- you could read the first two sentences of your e-mail to the
- defendant?

- 1 A. I made a new invitation that will work better for us.
- 2 Please review the e-mail message and the attached
- 3 linvitation.
- 4 Q. Let's look at the invitation. Let's bring up 1-111.
- 5 Or take a look at 1-111. What is 1-111?
- 6 A. An e-mail from myself to Mr. Laurita.
- 7 Q. Is it—including the attachments, is it invitations?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-111.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Court admits 1-111.
- 13 (Government Exhibit 1-111 admitted.)
- 14 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 15 Q. Could we take a look at the invitation please? Which
- 16 candidate was this invitation for?
- 17 A. McKinlev.
- 18 O. And was the defendant one of the hosts?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And if we bring up the bottom language of that
- 21 | invitation? Right there. That's fine. Do you see the
- 22 sentence that starts with the maximum allowable?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you read it please?
- 25 A. The maximum allowable contribution for the primary

- 1 election is twenty-six hundred per person.
- Q. And the last invitation we'll look at, if you could look
- 3 at 1-85. What is 1-85?
- 4 A. An e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 5 Q. Does it include as an attachment a fundraiser
- 6 | invitation?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-85.
- 9 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit
- 12 1-85.
- 13 (Government Exhibit 1-85 admitted.)
- 14 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 15 Q. If we could bring up the bottom e-mail, part of what was
- 16 forwarded to you. Right there. Did you know Jaime Earl who
- 17 | sent that one?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. This is being forwarded to you ultimately by the
- 20 defendant?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And could you read what the defendant says to you in the
- 23 | e-mail?
- A. We need to mail this invitation out as well. Can you
- look for the last Critz invitation list and send it to me or

Crane - Direct

225

1 | we can possibly use the Tomblin list.

- 2 Q. Okay. Now in addition to fundraiser invitations and the
- 3 other responsibilities you've talked about, did you have any
- 4 responsibilities with regard to the defendant making his own
- 5 personal political contributions?
- 6 A. Sometimes.
- 7 Q. What would that involvement have been?
- 8 A. Mailing out a contribution.
- 9 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at 1-99. Tell us what 1-99 is
- 10 please.
- 11 A. An e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 12 Q. Okay. And is this in regard to one of his personal
- contributions he's asking you to send out?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-99.
- 16 | THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Court admits Government Exhibit 1-99.
- 19 (Government Exhibit 1-99 admitted.)
- 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 21 Q. If you could bring up just the top e-mail please. Could
- 22 | you read the defendant's e-mail to you?
- 23 A. I will leave a check on your desk for McConnell. Please
- send it to the designated address on the attached
- 25 invitation. Also can you send me the latest donation

Crane - Direct

226

1 summary after you have recorded this check.

- Q. Okay. Did you keep some type of donation summary of his
- 3 donations?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Please look at the paper copy of 55--Exhibit 55. What
- 6 is Exhibit 55?
- 7 A. It's an Excel spreadsheet of contributions made by
- 8 Mr. Laurita.
- 9 Q. Is this that donation summary he was referencing in the
- 10 | last e-mail?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government Exhibit
- 13 | 55.
- 14 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Court admits Government Exhibit 55.
- 17 (Government Exhibit 55 admitted.)
- 18 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 19 Q. If we bring up page one and just enlarge the top half.
- 20 Do you see how this starts with the date--a date in 2009?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. But you didn't start at Mepco until 2011 I think you
- 23 testified. How did you get that information from the
- 24 | earlier years?
- 25 A. He gave it to me.

- 1 Q. How did you get any of this information even for
- 2 contributions that were made while you were employed by him?
- 3 A. He provided me the information.
- 4 Q. What about like when you sent out that Mitch McConnell
- donation for him, would you add that to this spreadsheet?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did you have any idea--did he ever tell--did the
- 8 defendant ever tell you why he wanted you to keep this
- 9 spreadsheet?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you have any understanding of why he wanted you to
- 12 keep this spreadsheet?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. Were there any special times of years that he was
- 15 asking for the spreadsheet?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And would he sometimes make additions to the spreadsheet
- 18 | himself or make suggestions?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. If you could look at 1-113 and tell us what 1-113 is?
- 21 A. It is an e-mail from Mr. Laurita to myself.
- 22 Q. And does it attach a version of the spreadsheet we just
- looked at with some additions from the defendant?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-113.

- 1 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 2 MR. CARR: No, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-113 is admitted.
- 4 (Government Exhibit 1-113 admitted.)
- 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 6 Q. If we could bring up just the top e-mail please. Could
- 7 you read the defendant's e-mail to you?
- 8 A. I inserted some subtotals in my page that I want you to
- 9 continue to carry. In other words, insert lines for each
- donation and it should continue to carry the total.
- 11 Q. Okay. If we could bring up page six please. Had you
- 12 been adding the contributions made in 2013 that are listed
- at the bottom of that spreadsheet?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Does that include to McKinley for Congress in March of
- 16 | 13?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So was the defendant often himself also making personal
- contributions to the same campaigns that the executives were
- 20 making into?
- 21 MR. CARR: Objection.
- 22 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. To your knowledge?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, I'll sustain the objection unless
- 25 it's rephrased.

- 1 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 2 Q. Did--do you have knowledge as to whether the defendant
- made contributions to the same campaigns as the executives?
- 4 A. Sometimes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Finally I would like you to take a look at what's
- 6 | been marked as Government Exhibit 18-8 and first I want to
- 7 ask you, during your time that you worked for the defendant
- 8 did you have the opportunity to see his signature from time
- 9 to time?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How regularly would you have seen his signature? Would
- 12 you see his signature on a weekly basis?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. And did you obtain some type of familiarity with
- what his signature looks like?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. Can you look at the signature on that document of
- 18 | 18-8 and tell us whose signature you believe that is?
- 19 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. The witness is
- 20 not a handwriting expert.
- 21 THE COURT: That's true. Sustain the objection.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
- THE COURT: If you can lay a better foundation.
- MR. DOUGLAS: All right.
- 25 BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Crane - Direct 1 Q. Did you work for the defendant for at least three years 2 at Mepco? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And then did you work for him an additional two years 5 when you went to Mid-Atlantic? 6 A. Yes. 7 MR. CARR: Your Honor, may I have a moment please? THE COURT: Yes. 8 9 (Pause) 10 MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 MR. DOUGLAS: There's no need to pursue it any 13 further, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. DOUGLAS: One moment, Your Honor. 16 (Pause) 17 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further. May I retrieve--18 THE COURT: Are you admitting 18-8 or is that--19 MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. DOUGLAS: I'll retrieve the exhibits, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination? 24 MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 CROSS EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. CARR:
- Q. Ms. Crane, as Jim's Executive Assistant, was that the
- 3 | correct term?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You were, I know this is a vague term, but fairly close
- 6 to him?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you got to be exposed to certain personal
- 9 information that the other executives or the standard person
- 10 | within the company didn't know, didn't have access to?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. You need to speak into the
- microphone, Ms. Crane, so that the jury can hear your
- 16 answers. Thank you.
- 17 BY MR. CARR:
- 18 Q. Is it accurate though that you did not know the salaries
- of the executives?
- 20 A. I did not.
- 21 Q. And so when you were asked that you did not know about
- 22 | the--what's been referred to in the courtroom as the bonus
- 23 two program, you didn't know anything about the compensation
- 24 for the executives?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. And you didn't know anything about Jim's compensation?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. If you recall there was an e-mail that you reviewed in
- 4 which Jim said we need to change the maximum from
- 5 twenty-five hundred to twenty-six hundred on the flier. Do
- 6 you remember that e-mail?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Jim told you to do that because on the first flier the
- 9 | maximum personal contribution was incorrect, is that right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. There was also discussion of the Capito fundraiser and
- 12 total, which I think was a hundred and ten thousand dollars,
- if I'm not mistaken, from the fundraiser. Do you recall the
- 14 discussion?
- 15 A. I do not.
- 16 Q. It was Government Exhibit 115.
- MR. CARR: Retrieving Government Exhibit 134. May
- 18 I approach, Your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes. 134?
- MR. CARR: 134, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 BY MR. CARR:
- 23 Q. That is the e-mail in which you tell Jim that they will
- 24 end up around a hundred and ten thousand dollars from the
- 25 | Capito event?

Crane - Cross

233

1 A. Yes.

- 2 MR. CARR: Retrieving Government Exhibit 134.
- 3 BY MR. CARR:
- 4 Q. That was a very large Capito fundraiser, is that
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you were aware of the invitation list to it?
- 8 A. Somewhat.
- 9 Q. I'm not going to ask you who all was on it but it was--a
- 10 lot of different companies came and participated; it was not
- 11 | solely Mepco or Mepco employees, correct?
- 12 A. I cannot remember.
- 13 Q. You mentioned that there were times when you actually
- 14 | gave the checks to the people from the campaign; they'd stop
- by the office and you'd have an envelope and give them the
- 16 | checks?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You somewhat frequently interacted with the individuals
- 19 | from the campaigns, did you not?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. The people in charge of fundraising?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And is it not accurate that they'd talk--I shouldn't use
- double negatives like that. I apologize. It's accurate, is
- 25 | it not, that the campaigns talk in terms of we will get ten

- 1 thousand dollars from Mepco? We will get--you know, we will
- 2 stop by and pick up the twelve thousand dollars from Arch
- 3 | Coal. They talk like that, don't they?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And they'd prefer, your perception is, to stop by the
- 6 office and pick that up all at once?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you aware during this time period that the
- 9 campaigns were tracking whether individuals within
- 10 companies, one had donated, and two, to what amounts?
- 11 A. I was not.
- 12 Q. There were times when you were preparing fliers,
- campaign invitations, is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And when you were drafting those invitations, first off
- was one of the primary concerns you had was the format and
- 17 | how it looked?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Whether people would actually read it up on a bulletin
- 20 | board or open it up and be able to see what it is?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of whether there are any legal
- 23 requirements whatsoever for what goes on an invitation?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Did Jim ever indicate to you that there were any legal

- 1 requirements for what went on an invitation?
- 2 A. Not that I recall.
- 3 Q. And I take it then Jim never indicated to you that he
- 4 was aware of any legal requirements for what goes on an
- 5 | invitation?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Is it accurate that the samples that you used to draft
- 8 invitations, as well as contribution forms, came from the
- 9 campaigns themselves?
- 10 A. Sometimes.
- 11 Q. And often times you would use an invitation or a
- 12 | contribution form from a previous event or that a campaign
- had previously sent to you?
- 14 A. Sometimes.
- 15 Q. As it relates to the series of invitations that the
- Government went over with you on direct examination, there
- were four or five iterations of it, do you recall that
- 18 discussion?
- 19 A. Sometimes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall the discussion—the testimony that you
- 21 gave on direct examination regarding the variations of that
- 22 invitation?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And I will refer to the invitation--if I may have just a
- moment.

- 1 (Pause)
- 2 BY MR. CARR:
- 3 | Q. The Government mentioned that there was--I believe it
- 4 was Governor Tomblin's invitation, there was State Code
- 5 language which had been removed. Do you remember that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Jim didn't tell you to remove that, did he?
- 8 A. I don't recall.
- 9 Q. That final iteration of it you were sending to him,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And you mentioned in the e-mail that you thought that it
- 13 looked better?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The primary concern is formatting and making sure that
- 16 there's space so that people can read them?
- 17 A. For the most part, yes.
- MR. CARR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 MR. CARR: Nothing further.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Redirect?
- MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Is the witness excused?
- MR. DOUGLAS: By the Government, Your Honor.

Crane - Cross

THE COURT: By the defendant?

2 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Crane. You may step down. Your excused as a witness and free to go.

(Witness excused)

2.1

THE COURT: Ladies and Gentlemen, we will recess for lunch in just a moment. All right, Ladies and Gentlemen we will take the noon hour recess. I would ask that you be prepared to return to the courtroom at one o'clock and during the recess please follow my instructions that you are not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone with whom you have contact.

If a third party attempts to approach you to discuss the case, please walk away from them, instruct them you've been directed not to discuss the case with them and if they persist in trying to talk to you, please let me know through Court Security or Debbie at your earliest opportunity.

Please don't review any media coverage of the case, should there be any, whether on the telephone—telephone, excuse me, the radio, the TV or in the newspaper and don't consult Google or any other—Safari or anything else on your telephone or your tablet in order to do independent research. Please don't do any independent research at the library either.

Do you have any questions or concerns at this time? Is

1 an hour sufficient for your lunch? Okay. Very good. Then we'll see you back here ready to come in at one 2. 3 o'clock. Please leave your notebooks face down on your 4 chairs and thank you for your attention and patience. 5 The alternates will just come back into the jury box as you did before. Thank you. 6 7 (Jury out at 11:55 a.m.) 8 THE COURT: All right. I just want to advise that 9 while I don't think anybody actually went to sleep we had a 10 couple of jurors who were nodding off. In my lack of 11 attention, I apologize, I was reading over the final 12 instructions so I apologize for that. 13 Do you know how long the Government's case in chief will 14 take from this point on? 15 MR. DOUGLAS: We anticipate that it will last into 16 tomorrow but not past the lunch hour. 17 THE COURT: So through the rest of today and 18 tomorrow morning. How many more witnesses? 19 MR. DOUGLAS: Seven. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All on the same subject matter 2.1 and in the same manner? 22 MR. DOUGLAS: There are a couple that are not 23 related to Mepco but there's--there's Bill Raney who is the 24 West Virginia Coal Association President and there's Charles 25 Huguenard who worked for Longview so there's a little bit

different angles coming in through them.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I just think it's worth noting that the jurors—a couple of the jurors are nodding. I'm going to have to interject a comment to them if that continues or you can modify how you approach things to see if we can keep their interest.

MR. DOUGLAS: Just for the Court's information—

THE COURT: And I do appreciate the way you

compressed some of those exhibits' admission this morning.

MR. DOUGLAS: For your information, most of the remaining witnesses will be very few exhibits, not like Hughes or Crane.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And when the defendant's case begins, I know it's a rough estimate, what do you estimate your time frame to be, a day, longer?

MR. CARR: Your Honor, I would estimate a half a day.

THE COURT: A half a day. All right. As I said, I've been going over the charge and I think what we'll try to do is have something to you no later than noon tomorrow and that would include a draft of the verdict form with the special interrogatories. Of course at this point there are a lot of guesstimates by me as to what is actually going to happen but we do know you told the jury that Mr. Laurita's going to testify, so I'm going to prepare the charge that

```
1
       way.
 2
               MR. CARR: Absolutely, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Is there anything
 4
      else before we recess?
 5
               MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
               MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Court stands in recess until one
 8
       o'clock. Thank you.
 9
                (Recess from 11:58 a.m., until 1:00 p.m.)
10
                THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. All the
11
       jurors are back. We can bring the jury in. Is your next
12
      witness ready?
13
               MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Okay.
15
           (Jury in 1:03 p.m.)
16
                THE COURT: You can be seated. Welcome back,
17
      Ladies and Gentlemen. I hope the temperature here in the
18
       courtroom will be warm enough for you. We've been working
19
       on it during the noon recess. I can guarantee you it's
20
      warmer than it is outside. All right. We're ready to
2.1
      resume with the Government's next witness.
22
               MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. The United
23
      States would call Kent Lindsay.
24
                THE COURT: All right. What's the first name, Mr.
25
      Bernard?
```

Lindsay - Direct

1 MR. BERNARD: Kent. 2 THE COURT: Kent Lindsay, Ladies and Gentlemen. 3 (Pause) 4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsay, good afternoon. Would you 5 approach the Clerk standing here in the front of the 6 courtroom in the gray suit. She'll administer the oath to 7 you before you take the witness stand. KENT LINDSAY, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 8 9 THE CLERK: Thank you. You can have a seat in the 10 witness stand. The witness is Kent Lindsay, L-i-n-d-s-a-y. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lindsay, if you'll 11 12 speak in a loud, clear voice into the microphone and you may 13 adjust it to fit your needs. 14 You may proceed. 15 MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. BERNARD: 18 Q. Mr. Lindsay, could you give us a little bit of your 19 background, where you live, where you're from and some 20 employment and educational background please? 2.1 A. Yes. I live in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. I have 22 education in finance and economics. I have been a financial 23 analyst and manager of financial departments in the energy 24 industry since I graduated from college, up to the time of 25 employment with Mepco where I was Chief Financial Officer

242

1 and have continued. I am Chief Financial Officer of

- 2 Pittsburgh Water and Sewer today.
- Q. Okay. And I think you said this, but when did you first
- 4 become employed at Mepco?
- 5 A. It was the summer of 2009.
- 6 Q. 2009. All right. And you were the Chief Financial
- 7 Officer?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Who hired you at Mepco?
- 10 A. Jim Laurita hired me.
- 11 Q. And at some point during your employment with Mepco, did
- 12 you get involved in a program concerning political campaign
- 13 | contributions?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. How did that begin or commence?
- 16 A. There was a meeting called where Jim called the
- 17 executive team into a meeting and said that we needed to
- support some candidates that had positive views on coal and
- 19 he asked us to help him in contributing to some political
- 20 candidates that shared those views and would reimburse us
- 21 for any contributions that we made.
- 22 Q. Okay. So let me break that down. The--you said Jim,
- 23 that would be the defendant here in court?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. He indicated that he was interested in having you make

1 political contributions?

- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Did he tell you who was going to decide which candidates
- 4 who were going to receive those contributions?
- 5 A. He indicated that he would.
- Q. And I think you just indicated, who was ultimately going
- 7 to pay for those contributions?
- 8 A. Mepco would.
- 9 Q. At that first meeting did you discuss the mechanics of
- 10 how this was going to work, how your contributions were
- going to be made and any--any of the details at that first
- 12 meeting?
- 13 A. I don't recall any specific details; no.
- 14 Q. Could you tell us what were the details? How did it
- work? How is it that you began to make contributions?
- 16 A. Either Jim or more typically Karen Hughes would
- distribute either a piece of paper or tell us orally or send
- 18 | an e-mail indicating which candidates we were to make
- contributions to and how much.
- 20 Q. And did the program--was it also suggested that your
- 21 spouses would be involved in the program?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to this--this time when that first meeting
- 24 occurred when you first started making contributions, had
- 25 either you or your spouse contributed to political campaigns

1 in the past?

- 2 A. No, we had not.
- 3 Q. And at that time what was being explained to you, that
- 4 the company was going to reimburse you for these
- 5 contributions, did that make a difference to you?
- 6 A. No it didn't.
- 7 Q. Would you, if the company would have said, hey, I want
- 8 you to contribute to these political candidates but I'm not
- 9 going to reimburse you, would you've done that?
- 10 A. No, I would not.
- 11 Q. Let's talk about once you received the instructions for
- 12 those political contributions that you just indicated the
- information you received from Karen Hughes?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What next would happen?
- 16 A. We would cut a check or occasionally go out to the
- 17 | website, click the donate button, make a donation. We would
- 18 | hand the check or donation receipt to Karen and she would
- 19 track all of that and made sure we--we get paid.
- 20 Q. That was going to be my question, whether somebody kept
- 21 track of that and I think you just indicated Karen?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Did you receive, during the time that she was tracking
- 24 or recording the donations--obviously--did she record
- 25 | records regarding the donations you made?

1 A. I don't know exactly what she recorded but she did keep

- 2 track.
- 3 Q. What was the purpose of her keeping track of that
- 4 information?
- 5 A. To insure that we got reimbursed for those
- 6 contributions.
- 7 Q. During this time frame did you receive any spreadsheets
- 8 or any reports from her concerning your contributions?
- 9 A. Yes I did.
- 10 Q. And what was reflected on those spreadsheets, if I can
- 11 | use that term?
- 12 A. The contributions we made, the amounts, the dates and
- 13 then how much we had been reimbursed.
- 14 Q. Did you yourself ever go around collecting checks or
- delivering checks to campaigns?
- 16 A. No I did not.
- 17 Q. Did you attend fundraisers?
- 18 A. I attended one fundraiser; yes.
- 19 Q. Now it's my understanding that you were, without leading
- 20 you, is it fair to say you were a salaried employee?
- 21 A. Yes I was.
- 22 Q. How is it that the payroll deposits would appear in your
- 23 account--bank account?
- 24 A. They would get direct deposited like any other employee
- 25 compensation.

Lindsay - Direct

- 1 Q. How many times a month were your salary payments?
- 2 A. Twice a month?
- 3 Q. And did you also receive--prior to this political
- 4 campaign contribution program, were you already receiving a
- 5 so called tonnage bonus?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How often would you receive that in your account?
- 8 A. That would occur once a month.
- 9 Q. And any other--at least during the time of the campaign
- 10 | contribution program, any other deposits that appeared in
- 11 your account as payroll, would that -- would it be fair to say
- 12 that was the campaign contribution deposits?
- 13 A. Most of them would be. There would be an occasional
- 14 expense reimbursement.
- 15 Q. Okay. We'll talk about some of those.
- MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 17 | witness?
- 18 THE COURT: You may.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 21 Q. I'm going to set in front of you two exhibits marked 10
- 22 and 11 and ask you if you could look through those and then
- I have a few questions. Specifically if you could look at
- Exhibit 10 first and really my question is what is that
- compilation of documents?

Lindsay - Direct

- 1 A. This appears to be checks, credit card statements and
- 2 the like reflecting contributions to candidates.
- 3 Q. They reflect contributions to candidates pursuant to the
- 4 Mepco program that we've been talking about?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit
- 7 10 be admitted.
- 8 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the admission
- 9 of Government Exhibit 10?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 10 is
- 12 admitted.
- 13 (Government Exhibit 10 admitted.)
- 14 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 15 Q. Now could you please turn to Exhibit 11 and again, the
- same question, can you identify what that compilation of
- 17 documents is?
- 18 A. These are the--our checking account documents that
- 19 reflect payments by Mepco to my wife and I.
- 20 Q. All right. Thank you. Your Honor, I'd ask that
- 21 | the--let me ask you I guess first of all when you say
- 22 payments, do those records reflect payments that were made
- 23 to you, or advances made to you, pursuant to the Mepco
- 24 | political campaign contribution program we've been talking
- 25 about?

Lindsay - Direct

1 A. Among other deposits, yes.

2 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I would ask for admission

3 of Exhibit 11.

4 THE COURT: Is there any objection?

5 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit 11.

MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 (Government Exhibit 11 admitted.)

- 9 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 10 Q. Let's look at page three of Exhibit 11 please. Looking
- 11 at page three, do you see a deposit on March 9th, 2010?
- 12 A. Yes.

7

- 13 Q. And I know it doesn't say 2010 but can you tell that
- 14 | statement is from 2010?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. March 9th, 2010 there is an eleven thousand three
- 17 hundred and ninety-six deposit into your account. What was
- 18 | that deposit for?
- 19 A. Reimbursement for political contributions.
- 20 Q. Would you please turn to page six? Looking at page six
- of Exhibit 10 do you see a ten thousand dollar one hundred
- and eight and eighty cent deposit?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What is that for?
- 25 A. That's compensation under the program.

Lindsay - Direct

- Q. When you say compensation, that was money you received
- 2 to make--
- 3 A. Political contributions. I'm sorry.
- 4 Q. That's all right. Let's go to page nine please. Okay
- 5 on page nine do you see a deposit, this is March 17th and
- 6 again I'll represent to you that it's March 17th, 2011, is
- 7 | that accurate?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And do you see a fourteen thousand eight hundred and
- 10 twenty dollar deposit?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was that deposit made by Mepco for political campaign
- 13 | contributions?
- 14 A. Yes, it was.
- 15 Q. Turning then to page twelve of Exhibit 11, and if you
- 16 | look at August 12th and again I'll represent to you, and you
- correct me if I'm wrong, August 12th of 2011, is that a fair
- 18 statement?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you see the deposit for twelve thousand six hundred
- 21 | ninety-six dollars?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that also a deposit made for political contributions
- 24 by Mepco?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

Lindsay - Direct

- 1 Q. And with respect to all these deposits made into your
- 2 account, is it your understanding that all funds that you
- 3 received for political contributions went to political
- 4 contributions?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 10 please. I am just going to ask
- you quickly to identify each one of these pages and then
- 8 I'll have a few questions at the end of that little
- 9 exercise, all right?
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Okay. Let's look at Exhibit -- first page of Exhibit 10.
- 12 What is that?
- 13 A. That is a payment to McKinley by my wife.
- 14 Q. Okay. What about page two?
- 15 A. That is a payment to McKinley by me.
- 16 Q. Page three?
- 17 A. That's a payment to McKinley by my wife.
- 18 Q. And I didn't ask on the other ones, but this payment
- 19 June 24th, 2010?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. This check. All right. Let's turn to page five and if
- 22 you would zero in on transaction seventy please. Do you see
- 23 transaction seventy?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. What is that?

Lindsay - Direct

1 A. A payment to Manchin for a thousand dollars.

- Q. Let's go to the next item, next--page six. Can you tell
- 3 me what that is?
- 4 A. Payment to Capito for five hundred dollars.
- Q. All right. And the date of that is September 9th, 2010?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Next page, if you would look at transaction
- 8 thirteen and what are these records of? I don't know if you
- 9 told me that, but if you did I missed it.
- 10 A. They're checks cut from my personal checking account or
- 11 payments on my credit card.
- 12 Q. And page seven, would that be a payment from your credit
- 13 | card?
- 14 A. Yes it would be.
- Q. And let's look at transaction thirteen, what is that?
- 16 A. It's a payment to McKinley for twenty-five hundred
- dollars.
- 18 Q. Page eight, what is that item?
- 19 A. That is a check paid to McKinley for twenty-five hundred
- dollars.
- 21 Q. Okay. Dated March 31st, 2011?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go to page eleven and using transaction
- 24 fifty-three. Do you see transaction fifty-three?
- 25 A. Yes.

Lindsay - Cross

- 1 Q. What is that?
- 2 A. It's a payment to Manchin for five thousand dollars.
- 3 Q. And then finally the last page, page twelve, if you'd
- 4 look at transaction number six please. Can you tell me what
- 5 transaction number six is?
- 6 A. It's a payment to Snuffer for a thousand dollars.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now with regard to all of the contributions that
- 8 are set forth in Exhibit 10, were those all contributions
- 9 that you made at the direction of the defendant?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And who ultimately reimbursed you for all those
- 12 | contributions?
- 13 A. Mepco did.
- 14 Q. Did anybody ever tell you at Mepco that the--the
- 15 additional bonus we've been talking about, this--this
- deposit for political contributions was for anything or
- 17 | related to anything other than political contributions?
- 18 A. No.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
- questions at this time. I'll retrieve the exhibits.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination?
- 22 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. CARR:
- Q. Mr. Lindsay, the candidates as well as the amounts that
- 25 you gave during the time period of what has been referred to

Lindsay - Cross

- 1 as the program, those were always suggestions, is that
- 2 correct? No one ever told you that you had to?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And you never had any disagreement with the candidate
- 5 that was suggested?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Were you familiar before you started at Mepco with the
- 8 | coal industry?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you generally understand which candidates were
- 11 supporting the industry and which ones weren't?
- 12 A. Not really.
- 13 Q. Consequently those suggestions gave you that
- 14 | information, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. No one at any time told you to deny what your additional
- 17 | bonus was for, is that correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And did you also, by the way, receive an anniversary and
- 20 a wellness or health bonus?
- 21 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
- 22 Q. Some witnesses have testified that every year there was
- a bonus given to everyone just for being an employee of the
- 24 | company. You don't recall getting that?
- 25 A. There was a gift around Christmas time.

Lindsay - Cross

- 1 Q. And all the deposits from Mepco were treated within the
- 2 payroll system in an identical fashion, whether it be
- 3 salary, tonnage bonus, bonus two as it's been referred to or
- 4 any other money that Mepco gave to you ever during your
- 5 employment, it came through the payroll system and was
- 6 direct deposited?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Were you aware that the contribution amounts and the
- 9 campaigns varied amongst the executives?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Were you aware that at times there was a disagreement
- 12 amongst the executives as to which candidate to support?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. You weren't part of those discussions?
- 15 A. I was not.
- MR. CARR: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 17 THE COURT: You may.
- 18 BY MR. CARR:
- 19 Q. Mr. Lindsay, I'm handing you what's been marked as
- Defendant's Exhibit B. I'll give you a moment to review it.
- 21 Do you recognize that document?
- 22 A. I don't recall it specifically but it appears to be an
- e-mail from Karen to me.
- Q. You don't recall it specifically?
- 25 A. No.

255 Lindsay - Cross 1 Do you doubt that it is accurate? 2. A. No I don't. 3 MR. CARR: Your Honor, at this time I move for the admission of Defendant's Exhibit B. 4 5 THE COURT: Any objection? 6 MR. BERNARD: No, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: All right. Defendant's Exhibit B is admitted. 8 9 (Defendant Exhibit B admitted.) MR. CARR: 10 11 Q. Mr. Lindsay, Defendant's Exhibit B is an e-mail from 12 Karen Hughes to you, is that correct? 13 A. Yes. O. Dated October 4th of 2010? 14 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And the second page, and it was the attachment to the 17 e-mail, is that the spreadsheet that you mentioned on direct 18 examination that you occasionally got from Karen? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And in the e-mail she is asking--she's missing some 21 donations and is asking you if you intend to make those

Q. She's certainly not telling you that you have to you

22

23

24

25

A. Yes.

donations, is that correct?

better get them to her?

Lindsay - Cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And nobody did that?
- 3 A. Not to my recollection.
- 4 Q. In looking at your bank records it appears that nearly
- 5 all, if not all of your income, during the 2010-2013 time
- 6 period came from Mepco. Is that accurate?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And all of those Mepco payments went to a single bank 8
- 9 account?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. During the time period when the program was in
- 12 existence, Jim Laurita never indicated to you in any way,
- 13 shape or form that there was anything unlawful with the
- 14 program. Is that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And you never indicated to him that you had any concerns
- 17 that the program may be unlawful?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 MR. CARR: Just a moment, Your Honor.
- 20 (Pause)
- 21 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Your Honor. I'll
- 22 return Defendant's Exhibit B to the Court.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Is there any redirect?
- 24 MR. BERNARD: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is the witness

257 Huguenard - Direct 1 excused and free to go, Government? 2 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Parr--Carr, I do that every now and 4 then. 5 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Then the witness is free to step down. 6 7 Mr. Lindsay, you're excused as a witness. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 (Witness excused) 11 THE COURT: Government's next witness? 12 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, the United States would 13 call Charles Huguenard. THE COURT: Charles Huguenard. 14 15 (Pause) 16 THE COURT: Mr. Huguenard, would you please 17 approach the Clerk standing here in the front of the 18 courtroom? She will administer the oath to you before you 19 take the witness stand. CHARLES HUGUENARD, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 20 21 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in the witness stand. The witness is Charles Huguenard, 22 23 H-u-q-u-e-n-a-r-d. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Bernard, you may proceed. 25 MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 3 Q. Mr. Huguenard, could you give us a little bit of
- 4 background, your education and employment history please?
- 5 A. I have a Bachelors in Mechanical Engineering. My
- 6 background I worked in mostly coal-fired power plants as an
- 7 engineer and in various stages of supervision and
- 8 management.
- 9 Q. At some time did you become employed or affiliated with
- 10 Longview Power LLC?
- 11 A. Yes, November 2007.
- 12 Q. And what was Longview Power LLC?
- 13 A. They were a company that was building a coal-fired power
- 14 | plant near Morgantown, West Virginia.
- 15 Q. Okay. And with regard to Longview, did you at some
- 16 point become acquainted with the defendant Mr. Laurita?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And what was--what were your interactions?
- 19 A. Jim was president of Mepco, which is the coal supply--we
- 20 had a coal supply agreement with Mepco, Longview had the
- 21 supply agreement.
- 22 Q. And Mepco--that supply agreement, what specifically was
- 23 that agreement between Mepco and Longview?
- 24 A. To provide a certain amount of tonnage and a certain
- 25 quality of coal once Longview became operational.

- 1 Q. Now with respect to Longview, what were your specific
- 2 duties when you came on board?
- 3 A. My role was to transition the facility from construction
- 4 into operation, hire the staff, make sure they get the
- 5 training, make sure they have procedures in place in order
- 6 to commission the facility once the construction was
- 7 finished.
- 8 Q. And without getting into too much detail, just trying to
- 9 get a little bit of background, is it fair to say Mepco,
- 10 | there's going to be a conveyor belt and direct access to a
- 11 Mepco mine and the power plant?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Did you--did the plant have any problems? Were there
- any problems with it becoming operational?
- 15 A. Yes. There were—the contractors had some issues.
- 16 Q. And, again, without getting into too many details, what
- 17 | were those issues in general?
- 18 A. They got behind schedule for various reasons. They had
- 19 to repair some construction defects.
- 20 Q. Did any of the problems with Longview becoming
- 21 operational have anything to do with Mepco or Mepco's
- 22 | inability to provide coal?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you--once you became acquainted with the defendant,
- 25 did you become involved politically with him, and I say that

1 in a general sense?

- 2 A. Yes. I would attend some fundraisers.
- 3 Q. Did you do more than attend, did you co-host
- 4 fundraisers?
- 5 A. Yes, a few of them.
- 6 Q. Co-hosted them with the defendant?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you have discussions with the defendant about
- 9 candidates that should be supported?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you seek any advice from the defendant as far as
- 12 politics or political candidates?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Did you ever seek advice from the defendant concerning
- 15 | contributions and certain rules related to contributions?
- 16 A. At times.
- 17 Q. Okay. Was he able to provide you answers?
- 18 A. Sometimes.
- 19 Q. What happened in those times when he wasn't able to
- 20 provide an answer?
- 21 A. I would—he would either get information from somewhere
- 22 else or I would find someone else that could answer the
- 23 question.
- Q. All right. And did any of those discussions you had
- with the defendant--and let's put a time frame, would this

1 have been approximately 2009 through what?

- 2 A. 2012, 2013 possibly.
- Q. Okay. And during those times when you had discussions
- 4 about campaign issues did the questions of individual limits
- 5 ever come up?
- 6 A. At times.
- 7 Q. What are individual limits? I used that term. How do
- 8 you understand--when I use the term individual limit, what
- 9 is that?
- 10 A. The different states had different limits that you could
- 11 provide a candidate running for--even the different seats
- 12 they were seeking, how much you could provide during the
- campaign.
- Q. When you say you, what do you mean you?
- 15 A. An individual.
- 16 Q. Okay. Like you?
- 17 A. Like myself.
- 18 Q. Okay. And if you were going to give to a certain
- candidate, there are certain limits?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. That you could not exceed yourself?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Were there any discussions or did you have any
- 24 experience with direct or indirect payments if you know what
- 25 | I'm saying?

- 1 A. Ahh--
- Q. When you say--let me back up. When you say you, the
- 3 limits are for you individually?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And once you hit your limit--are you with me?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are you able then to make any further contributions in
- 8 any manner indirectly or directly to your knowledge?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. And do you know what I mean by indirectly?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. Okay. Tell me what I mean.
- 13 A. I think--I guess indirectly is providing money to
- someone else to provide that candidate.
- 15 Q. Did you ever do that?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with anybody at
- Longview, employees of yours, to make such contributions?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 23 witness?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you.

- 1 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. I'm handing you Exhibit 1-8. If you could look at that
- 3 and then in a general sense identify what Exhibit 1-8 is.
- 4 A. This an e-mail from myself to Jim Laurita.
- 5 Q. Okay. And what was it in reference to generally?
- 6 A. To an article that I had sent him.
- 7 Q. Okay. Let me ask you this. Did it relate in general to
- 8 the coal industry and the issues that you were all facing at
- 9 or about the time of this e-mail.
- 10 A. The discussion did, yes.
- 11 Q. And were those some of the topics that you discussed
- 12 | with regard to political campaigns and who to support, some
- of these issues that you're talking about in--in this
- 14 | e-mail?
- 15 A. In general.
- 16 MR. BERNARD: Your Honor, I'd ask that Exhibit
- 17 1-8--I'd move for its admission.
- 18 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Then the Court admits
- 21 Government Exhibit 1-8.
- 22 (Government Exhibit 1-8 admitted.)
- 23 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. If you could pull up the first section of that--the top
- 25 | section. Without reading the entire e-mail, do you see

- 1 where--let me ask you this, who--this portion of it, is it
- 2 fair to say this is an e-mail from the defendant to you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And in there he said I've historically not gotten
- 5 this much politically involved in the past. Were you aware
- of his political activity in the past versus at the time of
- 7 | this e-mail?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. So you can't comment as to what he meant by that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Okay. Let me ask you however, he does go on to say that
- 12 | we have too much at stake financially. What was your
- 13 understanding of what the defendant was referring to when he
- said we have two much at stake financially?
- 15 A. My understanding was that the capital investment in the
- Mepco mines, particularly Four West mine was over a hundred
- million dollars, was required to double the capacity, the
- output of the mine to supply Longview.
- 19 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that at that time, to your
- 20 knowledge, Mr. Laurita had a great concern about that
- 21 | financial investment in the Mepco mine?
- MR. CARR: Objection. Foundation.
- MR. BERNARD: I asked to his understanding and
- 24 based on his discussions.
- 25 THE COURT: Based on his understanding I'll allow

- 1 it. Overruled.
- 2 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 3 Q. Do you remember my question?
- 4 A. Could you repeat it?
- 5 Q. I can. Based on your understanding, your interactions
- 6 with the defendant, discussions, did he profess a concern
- 7 about the financial stake or the investment in Mepco that
- 8 you just mentioned?
- 9 A. In general he was concerned because of the things that
- 10 | were going on at the time.
- 11 Q. In other words, he and/or Mepco stood to lose--they
- 12 stood to lose a lot of money?
- 13 A. Mepco did, yes.
- 14 Q. If Mepco lost money, would the defendant lose money?
- MR. CARR: Objection. Foundation. Relevance.
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 18 Q. Let me switch to campaign contributions by Mepco
- 19 employees. During the time when you were interacting with
- 20 the defendant and let's say this same time frame, 2009
- 21 through 13, were you able to observe whether Mepco
- 22 executives were involved in fundraisers or contributing to
- 23 political campaigns?
- 24 A. They would attend some of the fundraisers, yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you, yourself, have any knowledge specifically

- about the origin of their donations or any directions by the
- 2 defendant concerning those donations?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. At some point did you become aware that there was a
- 5 concern about improper campaign contributions being made by
- 6 Mepco executives?
- 7 A. After the bankruptcy filing in August 2013 there was
- 8 another filing made that included some verbiage about the
- 9 potential of inappropriate contributions.
- 10 Q. Other than that, did you delve any further into what
- 11 happened or whether--you know, and able to form an opinion
- 12 as to what happened?
- 13 A. I asked--
- 14 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor, relevance as to
- 15 | the witness' opinion.
- THE COURT: He hasn't asked what that opinion is.
- 17 | I wouldn't allow it but--
- MR. BERNARD: I'll rephrase, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 20 Q. Did you have any knowledge factually of what occurred
- 21 pursuant to those concerns at Mepco with regard to political
- 22 | campaign contributions?
- 23 A. In the Fall of 2013 when that notice came out and Jim
- 24 Laurita resigned, I asked--
- MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

Huguenard - Cross

- 1 MR. BERNARD: I quess what I'm asking is--
- THE COURT: Overruled. It's appropriate background
- 3 | information. Answer the question.
- 4 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 5 Q. My question simply is, did you have any independent
- 6 facts yourself?
- 7 A. Prior to 2013. No.
- 8 Q. And even 2013, the facts you learned were based upon
- 9 reports of others?
- 10 A. Yes. Third or fourth hand.
- MR. BERNARD: I have no further questions at this
- 12 time, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination?
- 14 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. CARR:
- 16 Q. Mr. Huguenard—am I pronouncing that correctly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. During that time period of 2010 to 2013, was it--did you
- 19 receive a significant amount of requests—requests for
- 20 involvement or donations from elected officials as well as
- 21 those seeking office?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is it fair to say that there was a tremendous amount of
- 24 money pouring in on both sides on what was called at the
- 25 | time the war on coal?

Huguenard - Cross

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. There certainly was a lot of activity though, is that
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And you mentioned the importance to Mepco. That also
- 6 | threatened Longview, did it not?
- 7 A. Yes, we had the coal supply agreement with Mepco.
- 8 Q. And legislation that was pending was also--the
- 9 regulations restricting air quality out of the plant could
- 10 have significant impact on Longview's operation, is that
- 11 | accurate?
- 12 A. It could have.
- 13 Q. You mentioned you sought advice—advice at times on
- candidates and political issues from Jim. Is that correct?
- 15 A. At times.
- 16 Q. And you knew that he was an officer of the West Virginia
- 17 Coal Association, is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And so you expected him to have that knowledge about the
- 20 | candidates?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You were asked about generally seeking advice from Jim
- 23 on campaign contribution topics. Do you recall specifically
- 24 what topics you talked to Jim about?
- 25 A. Primarily we discussed the various candidates that were

Huguenard - Cross

- 1 running for the various seats.
- 2 Q. You did not ask him questions as far as details of
- 3 campaign finance law?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And in addition to the financial issues that were at
- 6 stake, both for Mepco and Longview, both organizations
- 7 employed a significant number of employees, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. CARR: May I have just a moment, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: You may.
- 11 (Pause)
- MR. CARR: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 14 MR. BERNARD: No redirect, Your Honor. Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Is the witness excused?
- MR. BERNARD: By the United States he is. Thank
- 17 you.
- 18 THE COURT: Is the witness excused by the
- 19 defendant?
- MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Sir, you may
- 22 | step down. You're excused as a witness and free to go.
- 23 (Witness excused)
- 24 THE COURT: Next witness.
- MR. DOUGLAS: United States calls Eric Grimm.

Grimm - Direct THE COURT: Eric Grimm. 1 2 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 (Pause) THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Grimm. Please step 4 5 forward to the front of the courtroom, I don't think you're 6 going to make that. I think you're going to have to come 7 down the middle and the Clerk standing here in the gray suit will administer the oath to you before you take the witness 8 9 stand. Please raise your hand, your right hand. ERIC GRIMM, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 10 11 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in the 12 witness stand. The witness is Eric Grimm, G-r-i-m-m. 13 THE COURT: You may proceed. Mr. Grimm, if you'll 14 speak in a loud, clear voice into the microphone. You may 15 adjust the microphone to your needs. Thank you. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. DOUGLAS: Q. Sir, please introduce yourself to the jury, including a 18 19 little background, work experience and education. 20 A. My name is Eric Grimm. I'm a degreed Mining Engineer 2.1 from the University of Missouri - Rolla. I have 22 thirty-three years in the mining industry, ten years in 23 operations and twenty-three years--I'm sorry, ten years in

engineering and twenty-three years in operation experience.

Q. How are you currently employed?

24

Grimm - Direct

- 1 A. Yes, I am.
- 2 Q. How are you currently employed, by whom?
- 3 A. I am employed by Murray Energy Corporation.
- 4 Q. Was there a time when you were employed by Mepco?
- 5 A. Yes there was.
- Q. And what was the time frame of that employment?
- 7 A. 2000--end of 2005 to about the end of 2013.
- 8 Q. And who hired you in 2005?
- 9 A. Mr. James Laurita.
- 10 Q. Into what position did he hire you?
- 11 A. I was the Superintendent of the Four West Mine.
- 12 Q. Is that one of the mines operated by Mepco?
- 13 A. Yes it was.
- 14 O. What are the names of the other ones?
- 15 A. There was Titus, Prime and Four West were the
- 16 underground mines and we had a contract operation called Red
- 17 Bum.
- 18 Q. And was it Four West Mine that was going to supply the
- 19 Longview Power Plant?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. At some point did the defendant promote you to a vice
- 22 president position?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 25 A. Ah, 2012 or 13 time frame.

- 1 Q. During your employment with Mepco, where was your office
- 2 located?
- 3 A. My office was in Pennsylvania at the mine site.
- 4 Q. Was there a time when you were working for the defendant
- 5 at Mepco that you began to make political contributions?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Could you tell the jury how that began?
- 8 A. We had a staff meeting somewhere 2010 and we--it was
- 9 brought up that we would make political contributions to
- candidates that were--supported the industry.
- 11 Q. Who brought that up?
- 12 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 13 Q. And what was your understanding from that meeting as to
- 14 what your involvement would be?
- 15 A. We would be told who to write checks to and what amount
- and then we would be reimbursed.
- 17 Q. You would be told by whom?
- 18 A. By Mr. Laurita.
- 19 Q. You would be reimbursed by what?
- 20 A. By Mepco.
- 21 Q. Prior to the contributions you made while working at
- Mepco, had you made political contributions before?
- 23 A. Yes I had.
- Q. And where were you employed when you were making
- contributions before this time frame?

- 1 A. At Murray Energy.
- 2 Q. What were the general amounts of the contributions that
- you would make when you worked at Murray Energy?
- 4 A. One, two, three hundred dollars.
- 5 Q. And did any boss of yours or somebody above you ask you
- 6 to make those contributions?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 11 Q. Let's talk a little bit about the mechanics of this
- 12 | contribution program at Mepco. Was there a certain
- individual that would communicate the instructions to you
- 14 about the candidate, the amount, whether a spouse would also
- 15 participate?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. And who was that?
- 18 A. Normally Karen Hughes.
- 19 Q. And how would she typically communicate that to you
- 20 being at the Four West Mine?
- 21 A. By e-mail.
- 22 Q. Okay. Can you recall some of the candidates to which
- 23 you and your wife contributed during this time frame at
- 24 Mepco?
- 25 A. Manchin, Capito, McKinley, Critz, ah--I can't remember

- 1 the others.
- 2 Q. That's fine. By what method did you or your wife
- 3 typically make your contributions?
- 4 A. By check.
- 5 Q. And what did you do with the check once you or your wife
- 6 had written it?
- 7 A. I'd have it delivered to the main office.
- 8 Q. Did you deliver to any one in particular?
- 9 A. I'd usually give it to either Jim or his assistant.
- 10 Q. On those occasions where you would give the checks to
- 11 the defendant, did he indicate what he was doing with those
- 12 checks?
- 13 A. Using--giving them to somebody in the campaign.
- 14 Q. Okay. Did the defendant ever ask you to deliver not
- only your checks but additional checks to a campaign?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How many times would you say?
- 18 A. Couple times.
- 19 Q. And on those two occasions or those couple of occasions,
- 20 | were you delivering other executive's checks as well?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you have any knowledge of anyone keeping record of
- 23 the contributions you were making, specifically someone at
- 24 Mepco?
- 25 A. I believe Karen kept track of them.

- 1 Q. Do you recall receiving any record that she kept, her
- 2 | sharing it with you?
- 3 A. She would tell us what we had contributed and if we're
- 4 maxed out or in that manner.
- 5 Q. Did you have any understanding of why she was keeping
- 6 the record, if you know?
- 7 A. I think because we--maybe certain limits.
- 8 Q. Now just to talk generally about your payroll deposits
- 9 while you were at Mepco. First of all, did you have a
- 10 salary?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And how would that come into your bank account?
- 13 A. Direct deposit.
- 14 Q. And how many times in a month?
- 15 A. I think we got paid every two weeks.
- 16 Q. Okay. And prior to this campaign contribution program,
- were you already receiving a bonus for performance or for
- 18 tonnage?
- 19 A. Yes. There was a tonnage bonus monthly.
- 20 Q. Now an occasion did you communicate directly with the
- 21 defendant regarding politics at all?
- 22 A. Sometimes.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- MR. DOUGLAS: May I approach the witness, Your
- 25 Honor?

- 1 THE COURT: You may.
- 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. I'm handing you a small stack of exhibits I want to go
- 4 over one by one. If you could just review that first on,
- 5 which is marked Government's Exhibit 1-14. What is Exhibit
- 6 1-14 generally?
- 7 A. It's an e-mail exchange between myself and James
- 8 Laurita.
- 9 Q. And are you discussing the political campaign
- 10 contribution program?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-14.
- THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-14 is admitted.
- 16 (Government Exhibit 1-14 admitted.)
- 17 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 18 Q. We're going to bring that up now on your computer screen
- 19 up there and we're going to enlarge the content of the
- 20 e-mail and I would like you to read your e-mail to the
- 21 defendant on July 17, 2010 please?
- 22 A. Jim, I owe you four checks. Are they to be out to
- Oliverio for Congress and McKinley for Congress? I will
- send them to the office Monday with Jessica. Eric.
- Q. What do you mean I owe you four checks?

- A. There were four checks requested that I make out and send to—to those campaigns.
- Q. And so you're asking him in addition to whom to make out
- 4 the checks. Does that mean you did not know to whom to make
- 5 out the checks?
- 6 A. I wanted to make sure that I had the names right.
- 7 Q. Okay. Thank you. We can bring that one down and I'd
- 8 like you to now look at the next exhibit in your stack up
- 9 there which is marked 1-51. What is 1-51 generally?
- 10 A. It is a e-mail exchange between myself and Jim Laurita.
- 11 Q. And are you forwarding him a campaign invitation--
- 12 A. Yes--
- 13 Q. --fundraiser--I'm sorry. A fundraiser invitation?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Exhibit 1-51.
- 16 THE COURT: Any objection to the admission of 1-51?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: The Court admits the exhibit.
- 19 (Government Exhibit 1-51 admitted.)
- 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 21 Q. If we could bring up 1-51 and if we could just go to
- 22 the--to the invitation. Why were you forwarding this Mark
- 23 Critz invitation to the defendant?
- 24 A. According to my e-mail--according to the e-mail that I
- received from James Critz, he called me about the event and

- so I forwarded it on to Jim to make sure that he had seen
- 2 | it.
- Q. Why did you want to make sure he had seen it?
- 4 A. Because he--he would decide whether not we would
- 5 participate in that.
- Q. Were you seeking his input before you would contribute
- 7 yourself to Mark Critz?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Why are you seeking the defendant's input before you
- 10 | would make a contribution?
- 11 A. Because he's the one--Mr. Laurita decided who we would
- 12 | contribute to and who we wouldn't.
- 13 Q. Okay. Thank you. Now if you would take a look at the
- 14 | next exhibit in your stack which is Exhibit 1-91. Could you
- 15 | tell me generally what that is, identify that please?
- 16 A. It's an e-mail to me from James Laurita.
- 17 Q. Is it in regard to a Critz fundraiser?
- 18 A. Yes it is.
- 19 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government's Exhibit
- 20 1-91.
- 21 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit
- 24 1-91.
- 25 (Government Exhibit 1-91 admitted.)

- 1 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. If we could bring up the e-mail itself please and we're
- going to enlarge the top part of the chain. Could you
- 4 | please read the e-mail from Mr. Laurita to you on August
- 5 22nd, 2012?
- 6 A. It says, Eric, I will be unable to attend this event as
- 7 it looks like I need the entire afternoon with BB&T Bank.
- 8 Are you willing to go? Would Cheryl want to go with you?
- 9 Q. And that's at 4:45 a.m., that e-mail?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. I want to look at--could you look at, in your
- 12 | stack 1-92. Does that appear to be an e-mail about the same
- event on the same day just some minutes later, 1-92?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-92.
- 16 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 17 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Court admits Government Exhibit 1-92.
- 19 (Government Exhibit 1-92 admitted.)
- 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 21 Q. Please bring up the e-mail and we're going to enlarge
- for you the top part of the chain. Could you please read
- 23 | that e-mail?
- 24 A. Eric, attached is the up-to-date Critz fundraising we
- 25 have done for Critz, with my edits to the general. In

- 1 essence I was only going to have Becky and I, and your and
- 2 Cheryl give to Critz. See attached. If you agree with
- 3 that, Karen will take care of adjusting your account. If we
- 4 need a different strategy, please advise.
- 5 Q. Thank you. If we could look at page two of that exhibit
- 6 and if we could enlarge that spreadsheet please. Did you
- 7 have any understanding why the defendant was forwarding to
- 8 you this spreadsheet of Critz contributions?
- 9 A. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. Did you agree to go to the Critz fundraiser that is
- 11 discussed here? Did you go, if you recall?
- 12 A. Yes I did.
- 13 Q. And is it fair to say he's sending you this spreadsheet
- 14 prior to going?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Does it indicate to you he wants you to take it with him
- or to be with you or to be knowledgeable of it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now I want you to please look at Exhibit 6, which
- 20 | I think 7 is next on your stack but if you could go to 6.
- 21 What generally is Government's Exhibit 6?
- 22 A. It is a check that I wrote to the McKinley for
- 23 Congress--
- 24 Q. Just, could you leaf through Exhibit 6 and tell us
- 25 generally what Exhibit 6 is?

- 1 A. They are different checks I wrote to different
- 2 campaigns.
- Q. Okay. And were these written pursuant to this program
- 4 | we've been discussing?
- 5 A. Yes they were.
- 6 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government Exhibit
- 7 6.
- 8 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 9 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Court admits Government Exhibit 6.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 6 admitted.)
- 12 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 13 Q. All right. And I just want to look at the first two.
- 14 | First of all, on page one, let's enlarge that check for you.
- Now can you please tell us what is on page one of Exhibit 6?
- 16 A. There's a check for McKinley for Congress for
- 17 twenty-five hundred dollars signed by myself.
- 18 Q. And why did you write that check?
- 19 A. To--as part of the program to donate to McKinley
- 20 campaign.
- 21 Q. And if we look at page two please and enlarge it. What
- is depicted on page two?
- 23 A. It's McKinley for Congress for twenty-five hundred
- dollars that I wrote and my wife signed.
- 25 Q. And could you note for us what the date is on this

Grimm - Direct

282

- 1 | check?
- 2 A. March 18th, 2011.
- 3 Q. And did you notice what the date was on the first check
- 4 | we were looking at? If not I'll bring it back up.
- 5 A. It was also March 18th, 2011.
- Q. And both to McKinley?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now is it fair to say that in general the rest of
- 9 these contributions were made pursuant to the program, that
- we don't need to go over each one of them?
- 11 A. Yes they were.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now the last exhibit I want you to look at up
- there please is Government Exhibit 7 and if you just leaf
- 14 | through it and tell us generally what Exhibit 7 is?
- 15 A. Exhibit 7 is a copy of my bank records.
- 16 Q. Are they monthly statements of your checking account?
- 17 A. Yes they are.
- 18 Q. Is it of the account into which your Mepco payroll
- deposits were made?
- 20 A. Yes it is.
- 21 Q. Including the additional deposits made for the program?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Exhibit 7.
- 24 THE COURT: Any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.

Grimm - Direct

- 1 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 7 is admitted.
- 2 (Government Exhibit 7 admitted.)
- 3 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 4 Q. And if we could please go ahead and cut to page two of
- 5 Exhibit 7 and first just enlarge--actually if you just
- 6 enlarge the top quarter there, the top--right there.
- 7 First, can you tell us the time period--where it says for
- 8 the period, what those dates are?
- 9 A. March 8th, 2011 to April 7th, 2011.
- 10 Q. And then if you look down into the deposits section, the
- 11 very first deposit, do you see the fifteen thousand
- dollar--five hundred and some dollar deposit?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | O. What was that for?
- 15 A. It was made on 3/17 and that would have been a--a bonus
- 16 for the--for the campaign contributions.
- 17 Q. Is it fair to say that's the day before the checks are
- 18 dated that we just looked at to the McKinley campaign?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now at some point did you stop making contributions
- 21 while at Mepco?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you stop?
- 24 A. It was--after the bankruptcy was filed we were informed
- 25 by the bankruptcy attorneys that--

Grimm - Direct

1 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 2 That's fine. Don't--MR. DOUGLAS: 3 THE COURT: Sustained. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 By MR. DOUGLAS: 6 Q. Did you make any contributions, political contributions 7 during the program that weren't at the direction of the defendant? 8 9 A. No I did not. 10 In the absence of the directive coming from the 11 defendant, would you have made any of these contributions? 12 I would've probably made some. 13 Q. Would you have made them in the amounts of twenty-five 14 hundred dollars and twenty-six hundreds? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Okay. Since the program has ended have you made any 17 contributions to, for example McKinley? 18 MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 Cross-examination? 23 MR. DOUGLAS: Should I retrieve the exhibits? 24 THE COURT: Yes, please. 25 THE COURT: You may proceed.

Grimm - Cross

285

- 2 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. CARR:

1

4 Q. Mr. Grimm, can you tell me again how long you have been

MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 5 involved in the coal industry?
- 6 A. About thirty-three years.
- 7 Q. And do you actually—during the time period that you
- 8 were at Mepco, 2010 to 2013, which office did you work in?
- 9 A. I worked out of the office at the mine site.
- 10 Q. Did you go underground?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And again your position title while you were at that
- 13 mine?
- 14 A. I started out as a superintendent and then I became vice
- president for Pennsylvania Operations.
- 16 Q. As superintendent would it be correct for the jury to
- 17 understand, you oversee the entire mine operation?
- 18 A. Yes I did.
- 19 Q. Is it fair to say that you are very knowledgeable about
- 20 | issues involving coal mining and the coal industry?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You were actually part of the Pennsylvania Coal
- 23 Association, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And, again, I'm talking here about in the time period

Grimm - Cross

1 2010 to 2013 although you certainly still may be, but you

- were a member of the Pennsylvania Coal Association, correct?
- 3 A. Yes. I was the company representative.
- 4 Q. And you knew that Jim was involved with the West
- 5 Virginia Coal Association?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did you actually live up in Pennsylvania?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And I think you mentioned that part of the mine was in
- 10 Pennsylvania?
- 11 A. All of it was in Pennsylvania.
- 12 Q. The entire mine?
- 13 A. The entire mine.
- 14 Q. A lot of the employees and miners then also lived in
- 15 those congressional districts?
- 16 A. Our employees were from Pennsylvania and West Virginia.
- 17 O. At least some of the miners lived in the various
- 18 | congressional districts in Pennsylvania?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You were never--the requests for donations for certain
- 21 candidates and the amounts, those were never told to you
- 22 that they were mandatory, is that correct?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. There were always suggestions made?
- 25 A. Yes.

286

Grimm - Cross

- 1 Q. And no one ever told you to hide the fact of what
- 2 this--what's been referred to as the second bonus, as to
- 3 | what that was or what it was for?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And it was deposited—it being the second bonus, was
- 6 deposited into your account just like every other deposit
- 7 from Mepco?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And during that time period of 2010 to 2013 did you--was
- 10 | all of your income coming from Mepco?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. If I might ask that 1-51 be pulled up. I'm going to
- 13 retrieve--
- 14 THE COURT: You may. Did you find it?
- MR. CARR: I apologize, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: That's all right. I doubt anything up
- 17 there is chronological at this point--numerical, I should
- 18 say.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 BY MR. CARR:
- 21 Q. This is the invite from Congressman Critz, is that
- 22 | correct? If you can blow that up please. And you forwarded
- 23 that to Jim?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And Congressman Critz is from Pennsylvania, is that

Grimm - Cross

288

1 | correct?

- 2 A. He was.
- 3 A. And he was running--he actually served one of the
- 4 congressional districts?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is it accurate that you and Jim discussed which
- 7 candidates to support in Pennsylvania because you had more
- 8 familiarity with the elected officials than he did since you
- 9 were actually up living in and operating the mine in
- 10 Pennsylvania?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Why would that not be true?
- 13 A. Because I spent most of my time at the coal mine.
- 14 Q. Was it--let me separate the first part out then. Do you
- recall having discussions with Jim in which he would talk to
- 16 you about your thoughts about which Pennsylvania officials
- 17 to be supported?
- 18 A. We would talk about different candidates, but as far as
- 19 support, we would talk about them and he would make the
- 20 | final decision whether or not we would support them.
- 21 Q. I understand.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. I very much understand the answer, Mr. Grimm, but he
- 24 | would in fact ask for your input concerning candidates or
- 25 elected officials in Pennsylvania?

Grimm - Cross

- 1 A. I don't recall having that much really call input. If
- 2 | the mine--I think the mine site was in Critz' district.
- 3 Q. If I could get 1-14 pulled up please. 1-14. Mr. Grimm,
- 4 do you actually remember this e-mail?
- 5 A. I've seen it but I don't remember exactly all the
- 6 particulars about it.
- 7 Q. And when you said you've seen it, have you seen it in
- 8 preparation for your testimony today?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Without having recently seeing the e-mail, do you have
- 11 an independent recollection of this e-mail?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Is it your understanding that you, based upon this
- 14 e-mail, that you believe that you delivered two checks for
- Oliverio for Congress and two checks for McKinley for
- 16 Congress around July 17th of 2010?
- 17 A. It says I wrote--I had four checks written. I was going
- 18 to write four checks.
- 19 Q. Did you write those checks?
- 20 A. I'm sure I did.
- 21 Q. Would it surprise you to learn that Karen Hughes'
- records for 2010 indicate that you did not give Oliverio any
- 23 money?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you have an independent recollection of giving

Grimm - Cross

- 1 Oliverio money?
- 2 A. I thought we did.
- 3 Q. Do you recall having discussions with Jim that you
- 4 | wanted to support McKinley and not Oliverio?
- 5 A. When we had a--a meeting with the--those candidates came
- 6 in and they basically said what they stood for and several
- of us afterwards talked about it and--and we didn't--we
- 8 didn't believe Oliverio would benefit our industry. I
- 9 mentioned that to Jim, as did some others.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that some executives then gave to Oliverio
- 11 and some didn't?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. But you would be surprised if the records indicate you
- 14 | did not give any money to Oliverio?
- 15 A. Yes, I would be.
- 16 Q. And again this was during the--just for the record, the
- 17 2010 time period. Mr. Grimm, Jim never indicated to you at
- any time between 2010 and 2013 that there was anything
- 19 unlawful with the second bonus program, is that correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. And you never indicated to him that you had any reason
- 22 to believe that the second bonus program was unlawful?
- 23 A. I did not.
- MR. CARR: A moment, Your Honor.
- 25 (Pause)

Grimm - Cross

1 MR. CARR: Nothing further at this time, Your 2 Honor. Returning Government Exhibit 52. 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there any 4 redirect of the witness? 5 MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Then Mr. Grimm, you're 6 7 excused as a witness and free to go unless either of the 8 parties wishes to hold him. 9 MR. DOUGLAS: Not the Government, Your Honor. 10 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: You're free to go. 11 12 (Witness excused) 13 THE COURT: And the Government may call its next 14 witness. 15 MR. DOUGLAS: The Government calls Christopher 16 Stecher. 17 THE COURT: Christopher Stecher? 18 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll be 20 taking a midafternoon recess after this witness. If any of 21 you need a seventh inning stretch right now, please feel 22 free to stand up and stretch while we're waiting for the 23 witness. It's gotten a bit warm in here, hasn't it? Yes. 24 We'll try to do--I told you. We'll try to do something 25 about that.

Stecher - Direct

1 (Pause)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

THE COURT: Mr. Stecher, good afternoon. Please approach the Clerk, the standing in front of me with the gray suit on. She will administer the oath to you before you take the witness stand.

CHRISTOPHER STECHER, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Thank you. Have a seat in the witness stand. The witness is Christopher Stecher, S-t-e-c-h-e-r.

THE COURT: All right, Mr. Stecher, if you'll answer in a loud, clear voice and you may certainly move that microphone around to meet your needs in speaking into it clearly. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 15 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 16 Q. Sir, please state your name?
- 17 A. Christopher Stecher.
- 18 Q. At some point did you work for Mepco and the defendant
- 19 Jimmy Laurita?
- 20 A. Yes I did.
- 21 Q. During what time frame did you work for Mepco?
- 22 A. From 2006 through 2012.
- 23 Q. And during your time at Mepco was there a time when you
- began to make political campaign contributions?
- 25 A. Yes there was.

Stecher - Direct

1 Q. How did that start?

- 2 A. Through several conversations we were told that we would
- 3 start getting bonuses in order to make campaign
- 4 contributions.
- 5 Q. And when you say we were told, who was telling?
- 6 A. It was basically Jimmy.
- 7 O. The defendant?
- 8 A. Yes. James Laurita.
- 9 Q. Okay. And at that time had you made a political
- 10 | contribution before?
- 11 A. Never.
- 12 Q. And was it your understanding that spouses were going to
- 13 be asked to contribute as well?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Had your wife ever made a contribution before at that
- 16 | time?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. So generally how would you know to which candidate and
- 19 | how much and if your wife would be asked to contribute?
- 20 A. Sometimes we would have a meeting where of--we would
- 21 have a meeting where we would discuss it or sometimes I
- 22 | would receive an e-mail that had the names and the amount of
- 23 money.
- Q. And if you received an e-mail that had the information,
- 25 | from whom would you be receiving that e-mail?

293

- 1 A. Generally Karen Hughes.
- 2 Q. Okay. And once you either wrote a check or your wife
- 3 wrote the check or you filled out a credit card form, what
- 4 | would you do with that contribution?
- 5 A. Most of the time give it to Karen Hughes. Occasionally
- 6 we would have a slip where we had our credit card
- 7 information on it, we would mail it to the candidate's
- 8 office.
- 9 Q. And did you have any understanding as to whether Karen
- 10 Hughes was also keeping track in some way of the
- 11 | contributions?
- 12 A. Yes she was.
- MR. DOUGLAS: May I approach the witness, Your
- 14 Honor?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- 16 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 17 Q. I'm handing you two exhibits, Government Exhibit 4 and
- 18 Government Exhibit 5. Okay. Would you please start taking
- 19 | a look at Government Exhibit 4? Could you generally
- 20 | identify what Government Exhibit 4 is made up of?
- 21 A. The first page is a wife--is a check written by my wife
- for the McKinley campaign.
- 23 Q. You don't need to identify anything specific about it.
- 24 A. Oh, okay. It's a check to the McKinley campaign and the
- 25 | remaining items are copies of credit card billing slips.

- 1 Q. Okay. And do they relate to this contribution program
- 2 | we've been discussing?
- 3 A. Yes they do.
- 4 MR. DOUGLAS: All right. We move to admit
- 5 Government Exhibit 4.
- 6 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 7 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit 4.
- 9 (Government Exhibit 4 admitted.)
- 10 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 11 Q. We're going to bring up on your computer screen there
- Government Exhibit 4, the first page and we're going to
- enlarge that for you. What does page one of Exhibit 4
- 14 depict?
- 15 A. This is a check written by my wife, Patricia
- Rodrequez-Sanabia on March 10, 2010 to McKinley for Congress
- for five hundred dollars, a political contribution.
- 18 Q. Did you ask your wife to write that check?
- 19 A. Yes I did.
- 20 Q. Did someone ask you to ask your wife to write that
- 21 check?
- 22 A. Yes. It was part--part of us making the contributions,
- 23 she and I.
- Q. Okay. Now--and I don't want to go through each of these
- 25 | credit card statements, okay, but I'm just going to ask you

- generally if there are transactions in there noting
- 2 particular campaign committees, would that be part of the
- 3 program we've been discussing?
- 4 A. Yes it would.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now I would like you to look at Government
- 6 Exhibit 5 please and just leaf through it and tell us
- 7 generally what Government Exhibit 5 consists of. Are these
- 8 monthly statements from your checking account?
- 9 A. Up to now, yes, they all are. I've got three more pages
- 10 to look at. Four more. Yes. These are personal credit
- 11 | card statements on my bank account with BB&T.
- 12 Q. Okay. You said credit card statements but this is a
- checking account, isn't it?
- 14 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I misspoke. I misspoke. I apologize.
- These are bank statements with BB&T.
- 16 MR. DOUGLAS: All right. We move to admit
- 17 Government Exhibit 5.
- 18 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the admission
- 19 of Government Exhibit 5?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: The Court admits the exhibit. Thank
- 22 you.
- 23 (Government Exhibit 5 admitted.)
- BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 25 Q. Now we're going to bring up page one on your screen

- 1 there and I'm going to ask that the top left corner be
- 2 enlarged. Can you tell us the date of this statement
- 3 please?
- 4 A. Yes, March 24th, 2010.
- 5 Q. And now I'm going to have page two of this exhibit,
- 6 Exhibit 5 brought up and the top enlarged--I mean, no, I'm
- 7 sorry. You were enlarging the correct—and I want you to
- 8 | look--draw your attention to March 9 payroll deposit eleven
- 9 thousand four hundred and seventy-three dollars. What does
- 10 | that deposit represent?
- 11 A. That represents a bonus paid to me for the contribution
- 12 program.
- 13 Q. Now in general with regard to your pay that comes in
- 14 | from payroll at Mepco, does it typically consist of two
- 15 salary payments, a tonnage bonus, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. And now if there is another deposit, especially
- 18 | in large amounts, do those relate to the contribution
- 19 program we've been discussing?
- 20 A. Yes they would be larger than what my pay and my--my
- 21 paycheck was and also my bonus check, core bonus.
- 22 Q. All the contributions that were made during this program
- by either you or your wife, were they made at the direction
- of the defendant?
- 25 A. Yes.

Stecher - Cross

- 1 Q. And if you weren't receiving the direction from the
- defendant would you have made any of these contributions,
- 3 both in the exhibits and the ones that are outside the
- 4 exhibits?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 MR. DOUGLAS: One moment, Your Honor?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 (Pause)
- 9 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
- MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 12 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. CARR:
- 14 Q. Sir, would I be correct that all of your income, or the
- vast majority of it, came from Mepco?
- 16 A. No, you would not.
- 17 Q. There were some other deposits. You had another source
- 18 of income?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. A significant portion of it though was paychecks from
- 21 Mepco?
- 22 A. Would you please define significant?
- Q. How about just some of it?
- 24 A. That would work. Yes.
- 25 Q. The--and all that money that came from Mepco was

Stecher - Cross

- deposited into that checking account which you have just
- 2 reviewed the records?
- 3 A. Yes. Self-deposits. I mean automatic deposits.
- 4 Q. All in exactly the same way?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. No one ever--Jim Laurita never told you, nor Karen, nor
- 7 Suzanne Crane, that the donations were mandatory. They were
- 8 always suggested, is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you never disagreed with making any of the
- 11 | contributions?
- 12 A. No I did not.
- 13 Q. Jim Laurita never gave you any indication from 2010 to
- 14 | 2013 that there was anything unlawful with the program?
- 15 A. No he did not.
- Q. Never told you to deny it, hide it, nothing?
- 17 A. No he did not.
- 18 Q. And you did not give Jim Laurita any indication that you
- 19 thought there was anything wrong with it?
- 20 A. No I did not.
- 21 Q. And I just want to clarify because we looked at bank
- 22 | statements which appeared to have deposits and we only
- looked at those in which there appeared to be one for the
- second bonus. Would it be accurate that you received three
- of those in 2010, two in 2011 and one in 2012? Does that

Stecher - Cross

- 1 sound about right?
- 2 A. I can't say for certain. I think it does but not
- 3 absolute.
- 4 Q. Well--go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt you.
- 5 A. I'm just not--I can't be absolutely certain.
- Q. You certainly didn't receive one every month?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Redirect?
- MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. Is the witness subject to
- 12 | recall?
- MR. DOUGLAS: Not by the Government, Your Honor.
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir, you may step
- 16 down. You're excused as a witness and free to go.
- 17 (Witness excused)
- 18 THE COURT: All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, I
 19 promised you an afternoon recess so as soon as the witness
- 20 | is able to leave the courtroom we'll excuse.
- 21 Mr. Stecher, I'd advise coming through the middle here
- 22 to get out and you can leave the exhibits right there and
- 23 Mr. Douglas will take care of that. Thank you.
- 24 All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, let's take
- approximately a fifteen minute recess during which you are

not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone with whom you have contact. We'll see if we can't get the temperature adjusted in here and maybe you all can get some caffeine. And we'll at a quarter till three, 2:45. Okay.

Remember don't discuss the case among yourselves.

Please leave your notebooks face down on your chairs and I
do thank you for your patience and attention.

(Jury out 2:29 p.m.)

2.

2.1

THE COURT: Mr. Douglas, old habits die hard. All right. I want you to pay attention to Alternate Number One because she's really struggling to stay awake. She's trying mightily. She's the lady who works at night and I don't know. I'm going to see what we can do about the temperature but you know you might try to redirect some of the questions in a way that brings her back. I haven't seen her just go out completely but I've seen her struggle all afternoon.

MR. CARR: Respectfully, Your Honor, I believe there's two others that fall in that category.

THE COURT: Well I thought—I thought Juror Number Five resurrected and came back to—pretty alert. Is there another one I missed?

MR. CARR: There appeared to also be another one in the front row, Your Honor. I certainly understand the struggle but I just wanted to respectfully inform the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. So we've got two in the back row

and Juror Number Eleven in the front row. Well, I'll make them take a seventh inning stretch if I see it happening again. Right now Juror Number Five I think was off very briefly but came right back and I didn't see him fall asleep after that, did you? MR. CARR: Not after that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And then as I said Alternate Number One is awake but she's really moving around trying to stay awake. MR. CARR: Your Honor, if I could just ask. I know this doesn't come up very often, but what is the proper way if counsel were to notice that, not wanting to draw attention to it, but--THE COURT: You have to let me know. MR. CARR: How--THE COURT: My staff is watching. They're sending me notes but they--I'm not going to notice it every time and you need to make sure that if you think this is impairing the trial in any way that you let me know. Where we can't keep someone awake, I've had to excuse them. MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: We have four alternates and--but I

THE COURT: We have four alternates and—but I really think it's in major part this afternoon due to the

temperature here in the courtroom which has grown quite

25 warm.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Lafferty - Direct

1 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Court stands in 3 recess until two forty-five. 4 (Recess from 2:30 p.m., until 2:45 p.m.) THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. We can 5 6 bring the jury in. By the way, we think Juror Number Five 7 is taking notes. Depending on his perspective, he's--he's awake. He's attentive. 8 9 (Jury in 2:47 p.m.) 10 THE COURT: You can be seated. Welcome back, 11 Ladies and Gentlemen. We are ready to resume with the 12 Government's next witness. Is that Mr. Douglas? Okay. 13 MR. DOUGLAS: United States calls James Lafferty. 14 THE COURT: James Lafferty. 15 JAMES LAFFERTY, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 16 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please have a seat in the 17 witness stand. The witness is James Lafferty, 18 L-a-f-f-e-r-t-y. 19 THE COURT: I don't think I have to tell you what 20 to do, Mr. Lafferty. You've heard it for two days. So 21 there you go. Okay. You may proceed, Mr. Douglas. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 24 Agent Lafferty, please introduce yourself to the jury. 25 A. My name is Jim Lafferty. I'm a Special Agent with the

1 FBI.

- Q. How long have you been a Special Agent with the FBI?
- 3 A. A little over fifteen years.
- 4 Q. And what type of training do you have to complete before

- 5 you become a Special Agent with the FBI?
- 6 A. At the time it was four months of training in Quantico,
- 7 Virginia and I did that back in 2002.
- 8 Q. Prior to joining the FBI, what were you doing?
- 9 A. I worked for an accounting firm in Charleston, West
- 10 Virginia. I was a CPA.
- 11 Q. Okay. What's a CPA?
- 12 A. Certified Public Accountant.
- 13 Q. And then finally what is your education?
- 14 A. I graduated with a Bachelor's in Business Administration
- with an emphasis in Accounting from West Virginia
- 16 University.
- 17 Q. Does the FBI investigate campaign finance offenses and
- 18 false statement offenses?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Now while you were in the FBI Academy training, did you
- 21 | receive training on white collar crime investigations?
- 22 A. Yes I did.
- 23 Q. What type of training did that include?
- 24 A. It includes training on the familiarity of the law, as
- 25 | well as different techniques we can use to investigate white

Lafferty - Direct

305

1 | collar crime cases.

- Q. Okay. And then once you finished the Academy, have you
- 3 | continued any education with regard to your Certified Public
- 4 Accountant license?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What kind of training does that include?
- 7 A. Every year annually the FBI puts on training for its CPA
- 8 agents--individuals who have their CPA license who are also
- 9 agents so every year I receive training from the Bureau
- related to white collar crimes and other matters that we
- 11 might investigate.
- 12 Q. Did that CPA training include any training regarding
- campaign finance?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What kind of training did that include?
- 16 A. Throughout the years, not every year, but throughout the
- 17 | years we would get information on updates on the law as well
- 18 as case specific information where other agents would come
- in and discuss with us how they investigated their cases and
- 20 things they did right and things they did wrong in there
- 21 investigations.
- MR. CARR: Your Honor, may I have a moment please?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 24 (Pause)
- MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor.

306 Lafferty - Direct

1 THE COURT: All right.

- 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 3 Q. And while at the FBI have you focused on a particular
- 4 type or set of cases?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 0. What has that focus been?
- 7 A. Primarily white collar crime the first five years--the
- 8 first five years of my career I focused more on financial
- 9 crimes, primarily Ponzi schemes but in the last ten years
- more public corruption and other white collar crime matters
- 11 as opposed to financial matters.
- 12 Q. And was it doing this last ten years that you had some
- experience with campaign finance investigations?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now in your capacity as an FBI agent have you been part
- of an investigation involving campaign donations at Mepco?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How did you receive this investigation?
- 19 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
- 20 THE COURT: I'm not sure I see it. Why is that
- 21 | relevant, other than that it's background?
- MR. DOUGLAS: Just a little bit of background we're
- 23 trying to give--
- 24 THE COURT: I think we can move on.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.

- 1 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. Keeping it general, what type of investigative
- 3 techniques did you perform in this case?
- 4 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Again,
- 5 respectfully, at this point in the trial I question the
- 6 | relevancy of this testimony. I certainly understand--if I
- 7 can just briefly continue, Your Honor. I don't like talking
- 8 objections. I know the Court doesn't. I certainly
- 9 understand that the Government intends to bring in some
- documents through Agent Lafferty. We have no issue with
- 11 | that but I'm not quite sure where counsel is going with how
- 12 the investigation was conducted.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Just a very brief explanation of
- where the documents came from.
- 15 THE COURT: Well I think if we can limit it to
- 16 | that, that's fine.
- 17 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. DOUGLAS: I'll just go ahead--may I approach
- 20 the--
- 21 THE COURT: And actually, in order to remain
- focused, you could lead briefly through that so it doesn't
- 23 get far afield.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
- THE COURT: I assume there's no objection to that,

Lafferty - Direct

308

1 Mr. Carr.

- MR. CARR: Absolutely not, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 4 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 5 Q. Has your gathering of evidence included e-mail
- 6 correspondence?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Including to and from the defendant?
- 9 A. Yes. We obtained e-mails from Mepco.
- 10 Q. Has your gathering of evidence included records of the
- 11 personal contributions of the defendant?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Has the gathering of evidence included certain corporate
- 14 records regarding Mepco?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And then has your evidence gathering included these FEC
- 17 reports, reports filed with FEC from certain campaigns?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Your Honor, may I approach the
- 20 witness?
- 21 THE COURT: You may.
- 22 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 23 Q. I'm handing you a stack of exhibits and part of that
- stack is clipped together, if we could start with it and
- 25 | that's going to be--that is 1-2, 1-5, 1-16, 1-17, 1-24,

309 Lafferty - Direct 1-38, 1-50, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-64, 1-68, 1-80, 1-90, 1-107, 1 2 1-139 and finally 1-140. Is that correct? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Generally are those e-mails to and from the defendant on 5 his Mepco account? 6 A. That's correct. 7 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit those exhibits. 8 THE COURT: Is there any objection to the exhibits 9 that the witness has just reviewed? 10 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Then the Court admits the 12 following exhibits, Ladies and Gentlemen. United States 13 Exhibit 1-2, 1-5, 1-16, 1-17, 1-24 1-38, 1-50, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-64, 1-68, 1-80, 1-90, 1-107, 1-139 and 1-140. 14 15 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 (Government Exhibits 1-2, 1-5, 1-16, 1-17, 1-24, 1-38, 17 1-50, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-64, 1-68, 1-80, 1-90, 1-107, 1-139, 1-140 admitted.) 18 19 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 20 Q. Now I want to ask you to look at 18 and 18-1 please, 21 should be the next two exhibits. 22 A. Okay. 23 Q. And first of all, what is Government's Exhibit 18

A. These are checks written by Mr. Laurita to different

24

25

generally?

310 Lafferty - Direct campaigns. 1 2. Q. And does it also include in some instances contribution 3 forms completed with those contributions? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. And is 18-1 just a separate contribution form from 18, 6 just made a separate exhibit, 18-8? 7 I'm sorry. Are you asking about 18-8? 8 Q. Yes, sir. 9 A. That's correct. 10 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government's Exhibit 11 18 and 18-8. 12 THE COURT: Is there any objection? 13 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: The Court admits Government Exhibit 18 15 and Government Exhibit 18-8. 16 (Government Exhibit 18 and 18-8 admitted.) 17 BY MR. DOUGLAS: 18 Q. I'd like to bring up 18-8 and enlarge the payment 19 information section. I'm just going to ask the jury to read 20 that, starting with please check here. 21 A. Are you asking me to read it? 22 Q. No, I'm asking the jury just to take a moment to read

after please check here to themselves. And I'm going to ask

you, Agent Lafferty, is 18-8 something you obtained -- a

record you obtained from this Tom Smith campaign?

23

24

25

- 1 A. It is.
- Q. Okay. Could we bring that down? Now I would like you
- 3 to please take a look at Exhibit 41 and Exhibit 43 please.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Are these organizational records with regard to Mepco
- 6 and its affiliates?
- 7 A. They are.
- 8 Q. Is Exhibit 41 an organizational chart from the
- 9 bankruptcy petition of August 30, 2013?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is Exhibit 43 records obtained from the West
- 12 Virginia Secretary of State with regard to Mepco LLC's
- 13 organization?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government's Exhibit
- 16 41 and 43.
- 17 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Government Exhibit 41 is
- 20 admitted and Government Exhibit 43 is admitted. As to 41,
- 21 | that is the organizational chart?
- MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. You added something about the
- 24 bankruptcy records. Is that--you're just saying that's
- 25 | where you obtained that?

- 1 MR. DOUGLAS: That's where the agent obtained it,
- 2 yes, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: But that's not the bankruptcy file or
- 4 anything like that?
- 5 MR. DOUGLAS: It is only a single page exhibit of
- 6 the chart from the petition.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay.
- 8 (Government Exhibit 41 and 43 admitted.)
- 9 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 10 Q. Now throughout your training and experience have you
- 11 learned about the Federal Election Commission?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What is the Federal Election Commission?
- 14 A. It was established to primarily regulate federal
- 15 | election campaign. There was a Federal Election Campaign
- 16 Act of 1971 that was passed. This FEC was established to
- 17 help regulate that Act.
- 18 Q. Is the Federal Election Commission an agency or
- department of the United States government?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you have awareness as to the FEC's responsibilities
- 22 as an agency through your training and experience?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And what are those responsibilities?
- 25 A. Well, they compile the data from the different federal

- campaigns, the contributions that are being made to those
- 2 campaigns, the expenses those campaigns are making. They
- 3 compile that data that they get from the different campaigns
- 4 and they publish that information publicly to anyone who
- 5 wants to view it.
- Q. Now I would like you to please take a look at the
- 7 remaining exhibits which are Government Exhibits 20, 22, 24,
- 8 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 and if you could generally
- 9 identify what those exhibits are please.
- 10 A. These are the different FEC forms filed by the
- candidates that are in question here in this case.
- 12 Q. And are these every FEC form--
- THE COURT: Wait a minute. I'm sorry. Okay. The
- 14 | Court Reporter's computer just locked up so let's take just
- a couple of minutes to let her fix it.
- 16 (OFF THE RECORD)
- 17 (ON THE RECORD)
- THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record.
- 19 You may proceed.
- 20 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 21 Q. Your Honor, I was just asking the Agent to identify what
- 22 | 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 38 are.
- 23 A. These are forms submitted by the different campaigns to
- 24 the Federal Election Commission.
- 25 Q. And is this exhaustive as to the program or is this just

Q. Do you have an understanding of the term designated

Q. And all of those exhibits we just discussed and were

A. Correct. You would not write a check to Senator Joe

organization formed to take contributions on his behalf.

Manchin. You would write a check to the campaign

admitted, are those the designated campaign committees for

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

campaign committee?

those various federal candidates?

A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. If we could bring up please Government's Exhibit
- 2 | 38 and I'm just going to have you describe very generally
- 3 what we're looking at and the different components of an FEC
- 4 report. If we could enlarge the top half of 38. So do you
- 5 see where it says FEC form 3?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Does that mean it's a federal government form?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. They're not various types of this out there? There's a
- 10 Government issued form?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And then there's a section for the name of
- committee here and this one lists Capito for West Virginia?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. And then do you see a section called type of
- report? What type of report is the one that we are looking
- 17 at? I'm sorry, we're going to need to enlarge another
- 18 | section, if we could take that down and enlarge the middle
- 19 part. Now I'm sorry. We're looking at number four, type of
- 20 report. What type of report is the one that we are looking
- 21 at?
- 22 A. It's the July 15th quarterly report, the second quarter
- of the year.
- Q. Okay. So is it your understanding that campaign
- committees are to--are required to submit these reports for

1 these quarters?

- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And are all the exhibits that we had admitted as

- 4 FEC reports, quarterly reports?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And if we could take that down please and bring
- 7 up the rest of the page one of Exhibit 38. And you see
- 8 number five here, covering period, what does that mean?
- 9 A. That means that the information contained in this
- 10 particular report will cover that time period for the--for
- 11 the campaign.
- 12 Q. Does that mean that this report consists of information
- regarding contributions made during that time frame?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And then you see here a typed name and a
- 16 | signature of a Reed Spangler. What position did Reed
- 17 | Spangler have with the Capito for West Virginia committee?
- 18 A. He was the treasurer.
- 19 Q. And is that common--do you see how it says signature of
- 20 treasurer that it's the treasurer who was submitting these
- 21 FEC form 3's?
- 22 A. It's common in the documents that I reviewed in this
- case.
- Q. Okay. And could you read the part that says I certify
- above his name?

317 Lafferty - Direct

1 A. I certify that I have examined this report and to the

2 best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct and

- 3 complete.
- 4 Q. And do you see that type of language in false statement
- 5 cases that you investigate?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Certification that something is true?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you see down here at the bottom it further
- 10 says the submission of false information could lead to
- 11 penalties?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now let's just take a look at example of the
- content of these reports. Let's look at page four please.
- 15 If we can bring up the second and third contribution
- 16 information sections, there down. So is this an example of
- 17 a contribution made in the names of Karen and Mark Hughes
- and how it appears in this report?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And what type of information is included in these
- 21 reports for each contribution?
- 22 A. The name of the person making the contribution, the
- address of the person, where the person's employed, as well
- as there's a different section for the occupation and then
- 25 | the amount they provided and the date they made that

Lafferty - Direct

- 1 contribution.
- Q. Okay. So then let's look at page six of Exhibit 38
- 3 please, and enlarge just the top contribution please. So
- 4 then this is for Dawn O'Dell. Who's Dawn O'Dell?
- 5 A. That's Mr. O'Dell's wife.
- 6 Q. And you see the information listed for her name of
- 7 employer and occupation. What does it say?
- 8 A. Mon County is the name of the employer. Occupation
- 9 fitness instructor.
- 10 Q. Okay. Then are you saying--from earlier you said in
- general the FEC is going to take this information and
- 12 publish it to the public. Is this information we were
- 13 talking about, they would take Dawn O'Dell and publish this
- 14 to the public as if she made this contribution for
- 15 twenty-six hundred dollars?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- MR. DOUGLAS: One moment, Your Honor, please.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 19 (Pause)
- 20 MR. DOUGLAS: Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 22 Cross-examination?
- 23 (Pause)
- MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize.
- 25 CROSS EXAMINATION

Lafferty - Cross

1 BY MR. CARR:

- 2 Q. If we could pull up Government Exhibit 41 and
- 3 specifically put a square around the name James Lafferty. A
- 4 little bit higher please. Perfect. Agent Lafferty,
- 5 Government Exhibit 41 was the organizational chart, is that
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And it's my understanding--you've been at counsel table
- 9 | all week so I feel like I can ask you this--the Government's
- 10 | counsel table, the purpose of putting this organizational
- 11 | chart in is to show the organizational relationship between
- Mepco and the other entities that have been testified to, is
- 13 that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. There are certain percentages and things like Class A
- 16 and there's also some Class B interests that are on this
- 17 document. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 O. You see those?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You did not independently investigate or confirm and do
- 22 not attest to the accuracy of those numbers, is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Other than the--to answer your question fully, other
- 25 than the organization of Mepco, no.

Lafferty - Cross

- 1 Q. Could you repeat your answer for me please?
- 2 A. Sure. Other than investigation I did of Mepco and it's
- 3 | incorporation, no.
- 4 Q. But as far as percentage ownership interests of various
- 5 entities for other various entities you did not do any
- 6 investigation of that?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And you could not attest to the accuracy of that?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Or if there might have been previous percentages for any
- 11 entity as far as ownership of another or if amended
- 12 | bankruptcy filing was filed which changed those numbers?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Agent Lafferty, as part of your investigation, did you
- 15 | attempt to interview James Laurita?
- 16 A. No.
- MR. CARR: No further questions, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: All right. Agent Lafferty, you may
- 21 step down and return to your seat.
- 22 (Witness excused from stand)
- THE COURT: And the Government may call its next
- 24 witness.
- MR. DOUGLAS: United States calls William Raney.

Polce - Direct

1 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Raney. 2 (Pause) 3 MR. DOUGLAS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Mr. Raney is 4 not here but another witness is. 5 THE COURT: Oh, I see, all right. MR. BERNARD: It looks like we're calling Steven 6 7 Polce, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Steven Polce. All right. 9 MR. DOUGLAS: May I retrieve the exhibits, Your 10 Honor? I'm sorry. 11 THE COURT: Please do. Thank you. 12 (Pause) THE COURT: Sir, if you'll please approach the 13 Clerk here in the front of the courtroom and I would suggest 14 15 you weave your way through the middle here. They you go and 16 then here to the Clerk. She will ask you to raise your 17 right hand and she'll administer the oath to you once you 18 do. Thank you. 19 STEVEN POLCE, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 20 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may have a seat in the 2.1 witness stand. The witness is Steven Polce, P-o-l-c-e. 22 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Bernard. 23 MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. BERNARD:

- 1 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Could you briefly describe to the
- 2 jury your background, employment, where you live, what
- 4 A. Right now I'm unemployed. I'm retired. I worked
- forty-two years in the coal industry, various places. I
- 6 started at Consol, went to Matika, Preston Energy, Mepco and
- 7 finished up--well it would be Mepco with Longview.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk about Mepco specifically. When did
- 9 | you begin your employment with Mepco?
- 10 A. I think 2004.
- 11 Q. Okay. And what was—what was your position?
- 12 A. I was hired as a mine superintendent.
- 13 Q. Who hired you?
- 14 A. Mr. Laurita.
- 15 Q. Okay. Which Mr. Laurita?
- 16 A. Jim Junior.
- 17 O. The defendant in this case?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And again, you said you were a mine superintendent, was
- 20 | that at Prime?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Did you--did your position change throughout the years
- 23 at Mepco?
- 24 A. Yes, I was promoted to vice president.
- Q. Vice president of what?

- 1 A. Mepco, Dana Mining--Dana Mining of West Virginia.
- 2 Q. What were your duties when you were vice president?
- 3 A. Basically the same. We run the Prime Mine and also was
- 4 putting in a new mine in Harrison County called Arco. We
- 5 was putting a new mine in there.
- 6 Q. So you were one of the VPs at the mines, running the
- 7 mine operations?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there a time while at Mepco that you were approached
- 10 | about engaging in political contributions?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How did that come up?
- 13 A. I just really don't recall at all but I know that we had
- 14 | a meeting that we were asked to donate money to politicians.
- 15 Q. Okay. Let's break that down. You said you had a
- 16 meeting?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. With whom?
- 19 A. Jim Junior.
- 20 Q. Who called the meeting?
- 21 A. I believe Jim Junior did.
- Q. And who was at that meeting?
- 23 A. I know I was and some of the other VPs of the operation,
- 24 managers of the--I don't know if they was all there or not
- but I know there was a few of us there.

- 1 Q. Okay. And specifically what did the defendant tell you
- 2 about this--this plan for political contributions?
- 3 A. We wanted to help the coal industry the best we could
- 4 and that we would donate money to them but we would be
- 5 reimbursed for the money we paid.
- 6 Q. Okay. Was that important to you?
- 7 A. Very.
- 8 Q. Why?
- 9 A. Because I wouldn't donate it if I wasn't getting
- 10 reimbursed.
- 11 Q. Okay. Had you ever made a political contribution before
- 12 the Mepco--
- 13 A. Not that I can recall, no.
- 14 Q. What about your wife, had she had ever made a political
- 15 | contribution prior to the Mepco program so to speak?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 17 Q. During the meeting did you receive any instructions
- 18 | from--from the defendant as to whom you should discuss this
- 19 program with or whether you should keep it amongst
- 20 vourselves?
- 21 A. Basically everything we had in there we kept everything
- 22 to ourselves. I know I did personally.
- 23 Q. Now after that meeting how did you get involved with the
- 24 actual contributions? What happened?
- 25 A. We would be instructed who to donate to.

Polce - Direct

- 1 Q. Who would instruct you?
- 2 A. I would either get it via e-mail or a letter or
- 3 | whatever.
- 4 Q. Okay. I quess when I say who, what individuals would
- 5 | tell you or give you the information?
- 6 A. Mrs. Hughes would give me information. Latter part of
- 7 the time would be Suzanne Crane, I believe her last name is
- 8 Crane and I would have--Mr. Clark would drop stuff off at my
- 9 office.
- 10 Q. Do you know or do you have an understanding who
- 11 ultimately was providing the direction to those individuals?
- 12 A. I assume Jim Junior.
- Q. Why do you assume?
- 14 A. Because it was his program the way I looked at it.
- 15 Q. You weren't independently deciding who to contribute to?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Did you--you indicated that you would not have made
- contributions but for the reimbursements?
- 19 A. No, sir, I wouldn't have.
- 20 Q. Did you raise an objection or say, hey, I don't want to
- 21 do this?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Why not?
- 24 A. I really don't know that answer. I would--I always had
- 25 | faith in Jim Junior. I worked for him. He's never steered

Case 1.11-01-400-11-11/10-31-3 - FORMINEH OF FIRM 03/14/10 Page 223 01 2/1 Page 10 #. /40

Polce - Direct

- 1 me wrong and I went with what the man had to say.
- 2 Q. You trusted him?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And he hired you?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. He's the one that promoted you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. He could also demote you?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. He could also fire you?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Now when you received instructions from Ms. Hughes and
- 13 the others, what--what specific information did you receive
- 14 | from them, and I'm talking about political contributions?
- 15 A. That maybe we're going to donate to such and such a
- 16 | candidate on this day; we need the money by Friday or
- 17 Thursday or whatever.
- 18 Q. And let me back up just briefly. With respect to this
- 19 program was it apparent to you that Mr. Laurita, the
- defendant, was aware that you were being reimbursed?
- MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
- MR. BERNARD: It's a conduit contribution case,
- 23 Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Yeah. I'll allow it. Overruled.
- 25 BY MR. BERNARD:

Polce - Direct

- 1 Q. What was your answer?
- 2 A. And the question again, sir?
- 3 Q. Based on your understanding, did you know whether
- 4 Mr. Laurita was aware that you were being reimbursed for the
- 5 | contributions?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you remember some of the--some of the candidates to
- 8 whom you donated?
- 9 A. Tomblin, Manchin, Capito. In going through my records I
- 10 | found one for Snuffer. I don't know who that was. Snyder.
- One was some Snyder. Do I recall them all? No.
- 12 Q. Okay. With respect to the--once you were asked for your
- contribution, whether it be yours or a contribution by your
- 14 | spouse, what happened--well let me ask you first of all.
- What forms did you make those contributions in?
- 16 A. Check.
- 17 Q. Once you made out the check or your wife signed a check,
- 18 what did you do with them next?
- 19 A. I would either give them to Mr. Clark to take to the
- office if he was coming by that way of if we had meetings I
- 21 | would give them to Karen Hughes.
- 22 Q. And to your knowledge do you have any reason to doubt
- 23 that any of the checks that you wrote did not--were not
- 24 given to the campaigns?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. And with respect to the reimbursements, how were
- 2 reimbursements made to you or your wife for that matter?
- 3 A. Through payroll checks.
- 4 Q. Payroll checks or deposits?
- 5 A. Deposits.
- Q. When you say payroll checks, did you receive your
- 7 payroll through direct deposit?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now let me talk about your compensation a little
- 10 bit. You were a salaried employee?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How many times were you paid per month in direct deposit
- 13 | for salary?
- 14 A. Bimonthly.
- 15 Q. Okay. Did you have a so called tonnage bonus and other
- 16 | bonuses that were performance related?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And then during the time frame of the campaign
- contribution program, did you also receive other deposits
- 20 through direct deposit to your bank account?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. If we look at your bank statements would you be able to
- 23 | tell at least in part some of the advances or reimbursements
- 24 | that were made to your account for political contributions?
- 25 A. I would say because two times a month I was being paid

- 1 my salary. One time a month I was being paid a production
- 2 bonus and then if there was anything else in there that has
- 3 to be the reimbursement.
- 4 Q. And--and we'll look at those in a minute but the
- 5 political contribution reimbursements, in many instances
- 6 were they, for lack of a better term, more sizable than your
- 7 other bonus or payroll?
- 8 A. Some of them were, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay. We'll get back to that in a minute. Let me--
- MR. BERNARD: May I approach the witness, Your
- 11 Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: You may.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you.
- 14 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 15 Q. I'm going to sit in front of you what's been marked as
- 16 Exhibit 16 and 17 and I'll ask you to look at Exhibit 16
- first and just tell me generally what Exhibit 16 represents?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. And I mean generally. You don't need to get into
- 20 specifics. What is Exhibit 16?
- 21 A. It has checks on here from my ex-wife donating money to
- 22 McKinley for Congress.
- Q. Okay. Without getting into the specifics yet, what
- 24 generally does that exhibit include? You said checks. Is
- 25 | it fair to say that there are checks from bank accounts that

Case 1.17-cm policientis sees executive to the field to the same and the energy of 271 in tage to ± 250

Polce - Direct

- 1 you and your spouse held?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And is it also fair to say that the records, at least
- 4 those checks that are in there, reflect contributions you
- 5 made pursuant to the Mepco program?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 MR. BERNARD: I'd ask that Exhibit 16 be admitted,
- 8 Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Government 16 is admitted.
- MR. BERNARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 13 (Government Exhibit 16 admitted.)
- 14 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 15 A. Could I say something?
- 16 O. Yes.
- 17 A. Also there's other stuff on here--these are other checks
- on here too that does not pertain to all that.
- 19 Q. Okay. And my question should have said, in part do they
- 20 | reflect contributions?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Thank you. Thank you for that clarification. Looking
- 23 at page one, for instance, if you could pull up the first
- 24 | check. I believe it's been admitted. Did I not ask for it
- 25 to be admitted?

- 1 THE COURT: 16 is in.
- 2 MR. BERNARD: Yes. Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. BERNARD:
- 4 Q. The top check please. Can you tell me what that is?
- 5 A. Yes. It's a check my wife wrote to McKinley for
- 6 | Congress for five hundred dollars.
- 7 Q. Okay. And that check that she wrote, was that at the
- 8 direction of the defendant that that check be made out to
- 9 McKinley for five hundred dollars?
- 10 A. That would have been a redirect through me that she
- 11 wrote that check.
- 12 Q. Who directed you to make the check?
- 13 A. Mr. Laurita, Jim.
- 14 Q. Okay. And was that check--who ultimately paid for that
- donation?
- 16 A. Out of our checking account.
- 17 Q. Okay. And who ultimately put money in your checking
- 18 account to pay for that check?
- 19 A. Jim Junior.
- 20 Q. Looking at page two and there are a series of four
- 21 | checks and I'll see if I can streamline this. Two to
- 22 Oliverio for Congress. Looks like you signed one and your
- wife signed one and then two checks for McKinley for
- Congress and they are all dated June 25th, 2010 and again
- 25 the McKinley for Congress appear to be a check signed by you

Polce - Direct

332

1 and Victoria. Were those checks made pursuant to the Mepco

- 2 political campaign contribution program?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Were those ultimately paid for by the defendant?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's go to page three. The check on the bottom is an
- 7 August 16th, 2010 check to Manchin for West Virginia for a
- 8 thousand dollars. Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Is that a check that you were directed to make by the
- 11 | defendant?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And is that a check that was ultimately paid for by the
- 14 defendant?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And without going through each and every check on this
- 17 exhibit, would it be fair to say with respect to any check
- 18 | in Exhibit 16 made out to a political campaign like
- 19 McKinley, like Manchin, like Tomblin, Snuffer and McKinley
- 20 as well, that all those checks contained in Exhibit 16
- 21 | would've all been pursuant to the direction of the
- defendant?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And would have been paid for by the defendant?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 17 please. And again in
- 2 | general just tell me what is contained in Exhibit 17. Take
- 3 | a few seconds to leaf through it please.
- 4 A. My bank statements. Mine and my ex-wife's bank
- 5 statements.
- Q. Okay. And at least in part would those bank statements
- 7 contain deposits into your account for political
- 8 contributions, deposits by the defendant or Mepco?
- 9 A. Can you please repeat that?
- 10 Q. Yes. Does Exhibit 16, 17 I'm sorry, 17 contain bank
- 11 statements which would reflect in part deposits being made
- pursuant to the political contribution reimbursement program
- we've been talking about?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. BERNARD: I would ask that Exhibit 17 be
- 16 admitted, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- 18 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 17 is admitted.
- 20 (Government Exhibit 17 admitted.)
- 21 BY MR. BERNARD:
- Q. Let's pull up page one please, a March 9th deposit.
- 23 Thank you. You see on March 9th and I believe at the top of
- 24 the statement in indicates this is 2010. Do you see a
- fourteen thousand four hundred and fourteen dollar and three

- 1 cent deposit?
- 2 A. You mean eleven thousand four hundred and sixty-three?
- 3 Q. You're right. I don't know what I'm looking at right at
- 4 the moment. I think I flipped, looking on the screen up
- 5 there and not the exhibit. Yeah. Eleven thousand four
- 6 hundred and sixty-three dollars. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Was that a deposit that Mepco made for political
- 9 contributions to your knowledge?
- 10 A. To my knowledge that would either be a contribution or
- 11 that would have been a bonus check for the production of
- 12 that and the start up of the Arco Mines. I'm not exactly
- 13 sure.
- 14 Q. Okay. But you're sure at least as you sit here today
- 15 that Mepco did make deposits into your account for political
- 16 | contributions?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. But if I went through each page, would your answer be
- 19 | the same? I'm not really sure. It could be or it could be
- 20 | another bonus? Do you know what I'm saying?
- 21 A. But what I'm saying is I was reimbursed for all my money
- 22 that I put in through a political campaign; yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Right. But to go through this statement and have you
- 24 | identify specific deposits, would you know which ones are
- 25 | political campaign contribution deposits versus other

- 1 bonuses?
- 2 A. No, not unless I really broke it down and had to look
- 3 when my two paychecks would fall and all that stuff, sir.
- 4 Q. I don't think we need to do that. What you said is
- 5 everything that you made a donation for was reimbursed by
- 6 the defendant in this program?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And it was reimbursed by deposits to your
- 9 account?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Did you ever raise an objection during this program to
- 12 any particular candidates or say I really don't want to give
- 13 to that guy or person?
- 14 A. I would talk that I never really cared for Joe Manchin,
- 15 to contribute money to Joe Manchin. Did I personally say to
- Jim Junior that I don't want to donate to him? No, sir, not
- 17 | that I can recall.
- 18 Q. Well if you didn't want to do it, why did you do it?
- 19 A. That's what our program was for, to do it.
- 20 Q. But if you didn't want to do it, why did you feel the
- 21 | need to do it?
- 22 A. As I said, that's our program to do. We did it because
- 23 I worked for Mr. Laurita. I trusted Jim Junior and I did
- 24 what the man asked me to do.
- 25 Q. Even though you personally didn't want to do it, didn't

Polce - Direct

1 want to give to this candidate, you did so because--

2 MR. CARR: Objection. Leading.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

4 MR. BERNARD: I'll withdraw.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. BERNARD:

5

6

- Q. Did anybody at Mepco advise you or tell you that you
- 8 could use any of the monies given to you for political
- 9 | contributions, either advance or reimbursements, so-called
- 10 reimbursements--did anybody at Mepco ever tell you you could
- 11 use that for anything other than political contributions?
- 12 A. No. The way it worked is I always wrote the checks
- first before I was reimbursed the way I recall so it was my
- 14 money that was going out to be paid to them but it was being
- 15 | put back into my account so it's money then when it comes
- 16 back.
- 17 Q. So it was dollar for dollar, you weren't out any money?
- 18 A. Not that I'm aware of because I didn't keep track of it
- 19 personally myself. Mrs. Hughes kept track of everything and
- 20 | if she said I was square, I was square.
- 21 Q. Did you make any money, to your knowledge, on this
- 22 program—under this program?
- 23 A. Not that I recall.
- MR. BERNARD: That's all the questions I have.
- 25 Thank you, sir.

Polce - Cross

- 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination?
- 3 MR. CARR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 6 Q. Is it Polce, am I pronouncing that right?
- 7 A. That's fine. Polce, whatever. Yeah, anything works.
- 8 Q. If I heard you correctly you--your memory is that you
- 9 wrote the check first out of your funds and then a lump sum
- 10 payment would be made occasionally in approximately the
- amount of what you reported you contributed. Is that right?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. It wasn't that initially you got a deposit into your
- 14 account and then you kind of kept writing checks out of that
- 15 bank account?
- 16 A. Not always. I don't know when I was square and I don't
- 17 know when I was behind because I didn't keep track of all
- 18 that.
- 19 Q. The majority of your income, perhaps the vast majority,
- 20 | came from your employment at Mepco?
- 21 A. The years we're talking about from--
- 22 O. 2010 to 2013?
- 23 A. Yes. Yes.
- 24 Q. And did you get some type of a three thousand dollar
- 25 | consulting bonus too, Arco consulting fees?

Polce - Cross

- 1 A. Yeah. I mentioned that a while ago that Arco--I had a
- 2 three thousand dollar a month bonus for starting up the Arco
- 3 | Mines, yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And that was a discretionary bonus awarded by Jim to
- 5 you?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And all that money that was paid to you went into the
- 8 same account, in the same way all during this time period?
- 9 it just got direct deposited into your account?
- 10 A. It got direct deposited but it was different. Some of
- 11 it was Dana Mining. Some of it was Mepco.
- 12 Q. There was payments and the jury will see that on the
- 13 bank statements for Dana Mining?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. But the salary, tonnage bonus and what's been referred
- 16 to as bonus two were--are labeled Mepco, is that right?
- 17 A. Yeah. I don't know what you mean by bonus two. What do
- 18 you mean?
- 19 Q. They're talking--it's been referred to as a bonus for
- 20 | the political contributions?
- 21 A. Okay. Yeah, they was all direct deposited into my
- 22 account.
- 23 Q. Under the name Mepco?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Other than you mentioned Joe Manchin, you didn't have

Polce - Cross

- 1 any disagreements with any of the candidates or the amounts
- 2 that were suggested to you, is that right?
- 3 A. Any disagreement or any what now with what?
- 4 Q. Any disagreement with any of the candidates or the
- 5 amounts to contribute that were suggested to you?
- A. I can answer that a couple ways. I wouldn't have
- 7 | contributed to them if I wasn't being reimbursed for them if
- 8 | that's what you're asking me.
- 9 Q. But when you were asked to contribute to them, you
- 10 voiced concern, at least among some of the execs about
- 11 | contributing to Manchin, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You didn't do that any other time?
- 14 A. Not that I recall.
- 15 Q. And nobody told you that it was mandatory that you did
- 16 that. There were suggestions as far as which candidate and
- 17 | how much to contribute?
- 18 A. Well we had a meeting that says we want to donate money
- 19 to these guys to help them, these ladies to help them, and
- 20 that's what we did.
- 21 Q. You agreed to it?
- 22 A. Yeah, when I was getting reimbursed I agreed to it.
- 23 Q. You never got any indication from Jim Laurita in any
- 24 | way, shape or form that there was anything unlawful about
- 25 | the program, did you?

Polce - Cross

1 A. No. 2. And you didn't indicate to him any concern about the 3 program being unlawful? A. I didn't know it was unlawful. 4 5 Q. That's what the jury is here to decide. You, though, 6 during the time period 2010 to 2013 did not communicate any 7 concern to Jim? 8 A. No. 9 MR. CARR: I apologize for the comment just a 10 moment ago, Your Honor. May I have a moment? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 (Pause) 13 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Is there any redirect? 15 MR. BERNARD: There's not, Your Honor, and the 16 witness may be excused from the United States' stand point. 17 MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Polce, you can step 19 down. You're excused as a witness and free to go. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: I'd make my way out through the middle 22 of the courtroom rather than try to do the side. 23 (Witness excused) 24 THE COURT: Government's next witness? 25 MR. DOUGLAS: The United States calls again William

```
1
       Raney. Hopefully I'm not oh for two.
 2.
                THE COURT: Okay. Is someone checking to see if
 3
      he's there?
 4
               MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
                THE COURT: All right. Any exhibits? Thank you.
               MR. BERNARD: You're welcome.
 6
 7
           (Pause)
               MR. DOUGLAS: Apparently I am oh for two, Your
 8
 9
      Honor. Could we have a brief recess just to check and see
10
       the status of where this witness is? He was on his way and
11
      was expected to be here ten minutes ago. He is the last
12
      witness.
13
                THE COURT: All right. You might want to check to
14
       see if he went to the state courthouse. That often happens
15
       with people who aren't familiar with where our federal
16
       courthouse is.
17
          All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll take a brief
18
      recess. Let me say this to you about our schedule. We're
19
      moving very quickly and obviously the Government would like
20
       to get Mr. Raney in today and then you have?
2.1
               MR. DOUGLAS: Then that is actually it, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: You might rest after that?
23
               MR. DOUGLAS: Yes.
24
                THE COURT: Okay. And then the defendant would be
25
      ready to begin tomorrow?
```

1 MR. CARR: Of course, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll talk--I'll talk to the 3 lawyers further about the schedule but if Mr. Raney does 4 make an appearance, I think it's worth making sure that you 5 stay for that so that -- you'll be out in time to go to your 6 job tonight. I can guarantee you that, but let's take a 7 brief recess. Let's see if we can find out if Mr. Raney 8 cruising around wonderful downtown Clarksburg looking for 9 the federal courthouse and I'll bring you back in as soon as 10 we know something. All right. Thank you. I think the case 11 is--keep your notebooks face down on your chairs. The case 12 is moving faster than the parties had expected and I think 13 that we will find that tomorrow may be the end of the 14 evidence. Okay. Thank you. 15 (Jury out 3:42 p.m.) 16 THE COURT: All right. If you can try to find out 17 where Mr. Raney is. 18 MR. DOUGLAS: Immediately, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. 20 MR. CARR: Your Honor, if I may ask--2.1 THE COURT: Court stands in--oh, I'm sorry. 22 MR. CARR: Do you believe that we would be--that it 23 would be at least ten minutes before we come back in. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MR. CARR: So we could step out. Thank you.

```
1
                THE COURT: Oh, yes, definitely.
 2
                 (Recess from 3:45 p.m., until 3:55 p.m.)
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I understand
 3
 4
       that Mr. Raney is on crutches--
 5
               MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: -- and needs to come in and take the
 6
 7
       stand before--he can take the oath from here. It's not a
 8
      problem.
9
               MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. United States calls
10
      William Raney.
11
                THE COURT: All right. And when the jury comes in
12
       I'll explain it to them.
                MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
14
                THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Raney.
15
      Would you please come forward and I think--
16
                MR. Raney: Oh, through the middle here.
17
                THE COURT: Yeah, you're going to have to thread
18
      the needle on that and is it comfortable for you to stand
19
       there in front of the Clerk to take the oath? Can you raise
20
       your right hand or would you rather be in the chair?
2.1
                THE WITNESS: I'm fine.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Raise your right
23
      hand please.
24
                WILLIAM RANEY, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
25
                THE CLERK: Thank you. Have a seat in the witness
```

1 stand. 2. THE COURT: All right. Now can I ask Court 3 Security to assist Mr. Raney to get up those steps? 4 Okay. Now you can adjust that microphone so that it 5 will work just fine for you. With that, we can bring the 6 jury in. 7 (Jury in 3:58 p.m.) THE COURT: All right, Ladies and Gentlemen, 8 9 welcome back and when you come in just be seated. All 10 right. Ladies and Gentlemen, before you came in, Mr. Raney, 11 who is on crutches, came into the courtroom and he actually 12 took the oath which I think I should ask him to take again 13 in front of you but we escorted him to the chair so that 14 with all the confusion here in the courtroom it was a trap 15 for the unwary and I was fearful. 16 So, Mr. Raney, I know you've already been sworn once but 17 I'm going to ask the Clerk to do it again in front of the 18 jury. Thank you. 19 MR. Raney: Yes, ma'am. 20 WILLIAM Raney, GOVERNMENT'S WITNESS, SWORN 2.1 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. The 22 witness is William Raney, R-a-n-e-y. 23 THE COURT: All right. Okay. You may proceed, 24 Mr. Douglas. 25 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Raney - Direct

345

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 3 Q. Sir, could you please introduce yourself to the jury?
- 4 A. Yes, sir, I'm Bill Raney.
- 5 Q. And how are you employed, sir?
- 6 A. I am employed by the West Virginia Coal Association.
- 7 Q. What is the West Virginia Coal Association?
- 8 Q. We represent the coal industry here in West Virginia as
- 9 | well as the companies that support the coal industry, the
- mining of coal, the processing of coal, transportation and
- 11 use of coal here.
- 12 Q. Is the Association also involved in politics, including
- having a Political Action Committee?
- 14 A. We do have a Political Action Committee, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And you said you're the President of the Coal
- 16 Association?
- 17 A. I am, sir.
- 18 Q. How long have you been the president?
- 19 A. Oh, Lord, I think since 1982, would that be right. 92.
- Let's do 92, how's that. I think that's what it is.
- Q. Okay. Do you know Jim Laurita, Jr. the defendant
- 22 | sitting here at this table next to me on the right?
- 23 A. I do, yes, sir.
- Q. How long have you known the defendant?
- 25 A. Well I've known the defendant probably most of his life

- and I've known his father. I don't think I knew him before
- 2 Jim was born but I've known both of them.
- 3 Q. How do you know the Laurita family?
- 4 A. Through the coal industry. It's--you know, I worked up
- 5 in the Morgantown and Fairmont area as an inspector for the
- 6 Department of Natural Resources back in the early 70s and
- 7 Mr. Laurita was mining coal at that point and I inspected
- 8 his jobs. I got to know him through--through that
- 9 relationship and then of course as Jim came along and I was
- 10 | with--first with the Mining Reclamation Association and now
- with the Coal Association and that's just kind of a natural
- 12 progression.
- 13 Q. Thank you. At some point was the defendant a member of
- 14 | the West Virginia Coal Association Board?
- 15 A. He was, sir, yes.
- 16 Q. What time frame was he on the Board?
- 17 A. Well I'm going to say it was between 2000--probably from
- 18 2005, in the early 2000's up through, you know,
- 19 | last--probably four years ago.
- 20 Q. Okay. And was there a certain time frame where he was
- 21 Chairman of the West Virginia Coal Association Board?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What time frame was that?
- 24 A. I want to say that was 2012 to 14.
- 25 Q. Generally have you known the defendant to be involved in

- 1 politics in any time that you've known him?
- 2 A. Only--I mean only in the sense of being an interested
- 3 | citizen and you know no more so than anyone else that's a
- 4 member of the Association.
- 5 Q. Okay. Has that involvement by the defendant in politics
- 6 included permitting his Mepco offices to be used for any
- 7 political events as far as you know?
- 8 A. Well the one event that I recall was when he was--and,
- 9 again you're dealing with a memory here, it's liable to be a
- 10 little sketchy so that--but he was the Chairman of the
- Government Affairs Committee and I think at the time there
- was a race going on for the First Congressional District and
- as I recall, I think it was open at that point, but I think
- 14 Mike Oliverio and I think Dave McKinley was running, Sarah
- Minear was running, Mac Warner, and because it was in
- 16 Morgantown, because it was convenient to all the candidates
- 17 he invited whoever the members of the Association wanted to
- 18 | participate in an interview process--it wasn't his idea. It
- 19 was a collective idea of the Association to bring in the
- 20 candidates and talk with them as it regards their view of
- 21 the coal industry.
- 22 Q. All right. And so would that have been in 2010 if
- 23 you're talking about Oliverio and McKinley?
- 24 A. Yes, sir, it sounds like you know what year it was.
- 25 Q. I'm asking you.

- 1 A. Well as best I recall, that was when it was.
- 2 Q. All right. Have you known the defendant to attend any
- 3 | campaign fundraisers, yes or no?
- 4 A. Yes, I know he attended some. I can't tell you when or
- 5 where but most of the other members have as well.
- 6 Q. Have you known the defendant to host or sponsor any
- 7 campaign fundraisers?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And, again, you'll ask me if you want the dates and I'm
- 11 | not sure I can give them to you.
- 12 Q. Have you ever witnessed any campaign representative
- asking the defendant to follow up with any of his employees
- 14 about donations?
- MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Respectfully
- with the relevance of this witness' knowledge of
- 17 Mr. Laurita's political activity.
- 18 THE COURT: There's no foundation for the question.
- 19 I'll sustain it.
- MR. DOUGLAS: Okav.
- 21 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 22 Q. Have you been copied on any e-mails from campaigns to
- 23 the defendant regarding fundraisers?
- 24 A. That's the ones, as I recall, ones I got copies of, yes.
- Q. And in those e-mails that you're saying you recall, did

- any of those include the campaign asking the defendant to
- follow up with any employees of his about donations?
- 3 A. You know, I don't recall exactly the wording of the
- 4 thing. I think it was a call from--it was McKinley's
- 5 daughter I think that was running the campaign for him and
- 6 saying, you know, we'd like to follow up with everybody that
- 7 had talked about perhaps giving a contribution.
- 8 Q. Okay. Does the Coal Association Board hold meetings?
- 9 A. We do, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And do board members ever discuss politics at those
- 11 meetings?
- 12 A. Usually we adjourn when we do that but there's always an
- 13 interest of course.
- 14 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard the defendant discuss
- politics at or after adjournment of those meetings?
- 16 A. Only in the sense of participating in what I'd call a
- 17 general conversation among the folks that are in the room.
- 18 Q. Okay. Have you ever discussed campaign limitations with
- 19 | the defendant?
- 20 A. Haven't discussed them but I mean we've exchanged
- 21 | e-mails, as I recall there was some e-mails.
- MR. DOUGLAS: All right. May I approach the
- witness, Your Honor, please?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 BY MR. DOUGLAS:

Raney - Direct

- 1 Q. I'm handing you a number of exhibits here.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. If you could just briefly take a look at those and we'll
- 4 start with the first one on top which is marked as
- 5 Government Exhibit 1-15. Generally do you recognize this
- 6 e-mail or do you recognize this exhibit?
- 7 A. I do; yes, sir.
- 8 Q. What is it in general?
- 9 A. It looks like an e-mail chain that talked about an event
- 10 that was held during our annual meeting which we always have
- 11 an annual meeting in August.
- 12 Q. And is it addressing campaign limitations, donation
- 13 limitations between you and including the defendant?
- 14 A. It's including several people. It came from Sandi
- 15 Davison.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you see that, for example, at the top you're
- sending an e-mail to the defendant, do you see that?
- 18 A. Oh, okay. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. And do you see how you're talking about number--dollar
- 20 amounts for limitations?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit Government Exhibit
- 23 1-15.
- 24 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.

- 1 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-15 is admitted.
- 2 (Government Exhibit 1-15 admitted.)
- 3 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 4 Q. If we could please bring it up. It's going to be on
- 5 your computer screen up there and we are going to enlarge
- 6 the bottom half, just the bottom e-mail first. Further
- 7 down. The entire bar. Completely down. Yes. Do you see
- 8 this e-mail here at the bottom from Sandi Davison?
- 9 A. Yes. You're asking me I presume, right?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Yes, sir, I do see it.
- 12 Q. And who is Sandi Davison?
- 13 A. Sandi was--she was the assistant we had at the
- 14 Association. She was there for like forty-two years.
- Q. And do you see, if you focus on the third to last
- 16 | sentence, the sentence starting with since this is a federal
- 17 election?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Could you read that sentence for us please?
- 20 A. Yes, sir. It says, since this is a federal election
- 21 individuals can give a maximum of forty-eight hundred
- dollars which is twenty-four hundred dollars for each the
- 23 primary and the general elections. Political Action
- 24 | Committees can give a maximum of ten thousand dollars, being
- 25 | five thousand each for the primary and the general. An

- 1 invitation is attached.
- 2 Q. So is this an example of Sandi Davison sending out
- 3 information about federal campaign limitations?
- 4 A. No. It's--it's more--it's more an invitation to
- 5 an event that is being held. As I said as part of our--it
- 6 | wasn't part of our annual meeting but it was during our
- 7 annual meeting and Sandi had been asked probably, this had
- 8 been provided to her I would suspect and she sent it out
- 9 to--I don't know who all it went to. It doesn't indicate
- 10 that.
- 11 Q. Okay. Well let's then focus on another part. We're
- 12 going to look at the top part of this e-mail please. Do you
- 13 see the e-mail from the defendant to you on July 29th, 2010
- 14 at 10:10 a.m?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Could you read that please?
- 17 A. So I should conclude that we can only give a maximum of
- 18 | twenty-four hundred dollars for the primary next Thursday
- and it's premature to give forty-eight hundred dollars.
- 20 Q. Okay. Did you then answer his question in the next
- 21 e-mail or attempt to?
- 22 A. I attempted to.
- Q. All right. What does it say?
- 24 A. It says you can give both for a total of forty-eight
- 25 | hundred dollars. It is reported in the appropriate cycle

- 1 for the individual elections.
- 2 Q. What did you mean by it was reported in the appropriate
- 3 | cycle for the individual elections?
- 4 A. No it is reported. If you do in fact give forty-eight
- 5 hundred dollars then you would report it in the--whether
- 6 it's a primary or general election cycle.
- 7 Q. Where did you learn this information?
- 8 MR. CARR: Objection. Relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 11 A. The--I think it's just a matter of just through the
- 12 | course of experience I think and any time I get a question
- 13 like that if I don't really know the answer then I will ask
- 14 either lawyers or practitioners that know something more
- 15 about it than I do.
- 16 Q. Okay. We'll get to that in a second actually. Did the
- defendant ever tell you that he was using company money to
- 18 | fund campaign contributions made in the names of his
- 19 employees?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Did the defendant ever ask you whether it was legal,
- 22 | lawful, right, permitted to do that?
- 23 A. No, sir. I've never been asked that question.
- 24 Q. All right. Now you started to talk about how you would
- 25 | find out information. I think you mentioned lawyers. Does

Raney - Direct

- 1 | the Coal Association have attorneys available?
- 2 A. We do. I mean they're available to everyone but we
- 3 happen to use--attorneys at Jackson Kelly are usually our
- 4 | counsel for Association business.
- 5 Q. Okay. So is there a counsel to the Board, a specific
- 6 counsel to the Board?
- 7 A. Louis Southworth typically has served over the years as
- 8 | a counsel to the Board of Directors.
- 9 Q. Do you have experience with Louis Southworth as an
- 10 attorney?
- 11 A. I do, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. What quality of an attorney is he?
- 13 A. I think he's pretty good. I keep using him.
- 14 Q. Have you asked him questions about campaign laws before?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Did he seem pretty knowledgeable to you on campaign
- 17 | finance laws?
- 18 A. Yes, sir. Again, I think, you know, if he's not
- 19 familiar then, you know, we gather up the people that we
- 20 | feel like that are familiar and try to get the correct
- 21 answer.
- 22 Q. Okay. Has the defendant ever requested that you ask
- Louis Southworth a legal question about campaign donations?
- 24 A. Well as I recall and looking through I think these
- 25 | e-mails, I'm not--I'm not exactly sure the genesis of the

355 Raney - Direct 1 question, where it came from. 2 Q. Then let's take a look at Government Exhibit 1-18 3 please. What generally is Government's Exhibit 1-18? Is 4 this an e-mail from the defendant to you? 5 A. Yes. 6 Is he asking you to ask a question of Louis Southworth? 7 Yes, it's regarding--Α. 8 Q. Don't read it. I'm just asking you. 9 A. Yes, it is. 10 Q. And is that question pertaining to campaign laws? 11 A. Yes, sir. 12 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We move to admit Government 13 Exhibit 1-18. 14 THE COURT: Is there any objection? 15 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Government Exhibit 1-18 is admitted. 16 17 (Government Exhibit 1-18 admitted.) BY MR. DOUGLAS: 18 19 Q. Please bring up page one of 1-18 and please enlarge the 20 top e-mail. Is this an e-mail from the defendant to you on 21 August 10, 2010 at 5:44 a.m.? 22 A. Yes, sir. 23 Q. Okay. Could you please read it? 24 It says, Bill, I wanted to clear something up, and

thought you might possibly have answer for me. I've seen on

Raney - Direct

1 | a few invitations of late that donations to political

- 2 | campaigns from LLC's are permissible. I do not have Louis'
- 3 e-mail address but felt maybe you could ask him for me.
- 4 Thanks, Jim Laurita.
- 5 Q. Did that indicate to you that the defendant was reading
- 6 | invitations?
- 7 MR. CARR: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
- 8 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 9 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- 10 Q. What did you understand the defendant was wanting you to
- do in this e-mail--because of this e-mail?
- 12 A. Best I can tell is he wanted to know if campaign
- contributions from LLC's are permissible.
- 14 Q. And how was he suggesting in the e-mail that you find
- 15 out for him?
- 16 A. He suggested I ask Louis.
- 17 O. Is that Louis Southworth?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Is that the counsel to the Board?
- 20 A. Yes sir.
- 21 Q. Is that an attorney at Jackson Kelly?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Did the defendant ever explain to you why he was asking
- 24 you to ask this question?
- 25 A. No, sir.

Raney - Direct

- 1 Q. Did you have any knowledge as to whether Mepco was an
- 2 LLC?
- 3 A. No, sir, I had no idea.
- 4 Q. Okay. Let's take a look please in the paper stack there
- 5 at Government's Exhibit 1-19. Does Government's Exhibit
- 1-19 include responses from you and then also response in 6
- 7 there from Louis Southworth?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, appears to be.
- 9 Q. As part of a chain in the e-mail that we were just
- 10 reviewing?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 MR. DOUGLAS: We moved to admit 1-19.
- 13 THE COURT: Is there any objection?
- 14 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: The Court admits Exhibit 1-19.
- 16 (Government Exhibit 1-19 admitted.)
- 17 BY MR. DOUGLAS:
- Q. If we could just enlarge the top half of the first page 18
- 19 of Exhibit 1-19. Could you please read your response to the
- 20 defendant?
- 21 A. That would be the second--
- 22 Q. Starting with Louis indicated--
- 23 A. Louis indicated if the LLC is a partnership it is
- 24 permissible, but if it is a corporation, it is not.
- 25 Q. And then could you please read what Louis Southworth

Case 1:17-cr-00051-IMK-JES Document 61 Filed 03/14/18 Page 257 of 271 PageID #: 778 358 Raney - Direct 1 added to that response? 2. A. Yeah. If LLC is treated as a partnership for tax 3 purposes, a contribution is allocated among the partners 4 according to their ownership. 5 Q. And is the defendant copied on Louis Southworth's e-mail 6 as well there at the top? 7 A. Yes, sir. It appears so. 8 Q. Okay. Did the defendant ever discuss with you Mr. Southworth's answer? 9 10 A. No, I recall no discussion about that. 11 Q. Did you have any knowledge as to whether Mepco was treated as a partnership or a corporation? 12 13 A. No, sir. 14 Then lastly I'll ask you to look at Exhibit 1-21. 15 this a further e-mail chain from the same e-mail? 16 A. It appears to be, yes sir. 17 MR. DOUGLAS: We move to admit 1-21. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Government Exhibit 121--1-21, excuse 21 me, is admitted.

22 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you.

23 (Government Exhibit 1-21 admitted.)

BY MR. DOUGLAS: 24

25 Q. If we could bring up page one of 1-21 and just enlarge

Raney - Direct

- 1 the top two e-mails. If you could start with the bottom
- 2 e-mail, is that an e-mail from Louis Southworth to both you
- 3 and the defendant?
- 4 A. Where it reads also the partners?
- 5 Q. Yes, sir?
- 6 A. Yes, it appears to be.
- 7 Q. And could you read what it says please?
- 8 A. Also the partners must be individuals.
- 9 Q. Okay. And then do you see at the top where the
- defendant is then chiming in at the top of this chain,
- 11 | thanks, Louis?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Has the defendant ever requested that
- 14 | you ask Louis Southworth whether it was legal to use company
- money to fund campaign contributions made in the names of
- 16 his employees?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- 18 Q. Are you aware of whether the defendant ever asked Louis
- 19 Southworth this question on his own?
- 20 A. No. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Has the defendant ever asked you to ask anyone this
- 22 question?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you aware of the defendant ever asking anyone to ask
- 25 anyone else this question?

360 Raney - Cross 1 A. No, sir. 2 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Nothing further, Your 3 Honor. 4 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination? 5 MR. CARR: May I have a moment, Your Honor? 6 (Pause) 7 MR. CARR: Briefly, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 CROSS EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. CARR: Q. Mr. Raney, would you agree with me that at times when 11 12 Jim Laurita had a -- had a question -- had any question about 13 legality of something, he would ask you or e-mail you and 14 Louis and ask the question? 15 A. Yes. I mean I presume based on these e-mail chains. I 16 don't know that it's every time, sir, but, you know, 17 certainly there were these times. Q. During the 2010 time period, did the industry and the 18 19 Coal Association perceive that there was a war on coal? A. Yes sir. 20 21 Q. And did the political activism, for lack of a better

term, increase across the industry?

A. It did. The interest rose certainly. I mean it--it

was--yeah we had a tough eight years from 2008 to 2016.

Q. How did that compare to the efforts as it relates to

22

23

24

Raney - Cross

- 1 elected officials and individuals running for political
- 2 campaigns as to the previous years?
- 3 A. You know, there was perhaps an increased interest but I
- 4 | don't know that it was appreciably increased. There's
- 5 always been an interest among the industry, among the people
- 6 that are in the industry, as it regards those that are
- 7 leading the state either in the legislature or in Congress.
- 8 Q. Certainly here in West Virginia?
- 9 A. Clearly, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. What was--when you said you had a--it was a hard eight
- 11 years, what did you mean by that?
- 12 A. It was very difficult. I don't know whether you're
- 13 supposed to get political from the witness stand or not but
- we had a pretty tough time with the fellow that was running
- 15 the country didn't care much about the coal industry and
- that philosophy seemed to be reflected in the agencies that
- were controlling whether you get permits and whether you
- 18 | continue operations, whether you keep power plants open
- 19 and-and so forth so markets were dissipating and it was
- 20 becoming more difficult to mine coal and continue to mine
- 21 | coal so we had a --we had a difficult eight years.
- 22 Q. Did it threaten the industry?
- 23 A. It did. Yes, sir, it sure did. It's--in 2016, for
- 24 instance, we had the lowest production in this state that we
- 25 | had had in forty years and that was--you know that's

Raney - Cross

1 concerning when you have that. 2 MR. CARR: Your Honor, may I have just one more 3 moment please? THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 (Pause) MR. CARR: Nothing further. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any redirect? 8 MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Can we provide Mr. Raney 10 some assistance to get down from the steps there? Thank 11 you. You're excused as a witness, Mr. Raney and Mr. Douglas 12 will retrieve that exhibit. Please leave it up there. 13 Thank you. 14 (Witness excused) 15 THE COURT: All right. Government may call its 16 next witness. 17 MR. DOUGLAS: There are no further witnesses. The 18 Government rests. 19 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, the 20 Government has rested. Now that doesn't mean they're going 21 to take a nap. It means that they have finished the 22 evidence in their case--in its case in chief. All right. 23 So it also means that you're going to get to go home a 24 little early this afternoon. The--I did not have a chance 25 to discuss with counsel in detail what the schedule tomorrow

2.

2.1

may hold but, counsel, my expectation would be that we might finish all the evidence tomorrow. Does the Government agree?

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Does the defendant agree?

MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So if that is likely for tomorrow and given what the weather was like this morning, counsel, would that change if I brought the jury in at nine o'clock instead of eight-thirty?

MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor.

MR. CARR: Not at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Ladies and

Gentlemen, why don't we adjourn until nine o'clock tomorrow

morning and at which time we'll resume the evidence in the

case. The defendant will have an opportunity to put on his

evidence in his case in chief but please recall he's under

no obligation to put on any evidence whatsoever. Under the

law, our Constitution, he's presumed to be innocent of all

the charges and so he's therefore under no obligation to put

on any evidence at all. That was part of my preliminary

instructions to you, but assuming that he will put on some

evidence then we will begin at nine o'clock and you should

be prepared to come into the courtroom to hear that.

Now tonight, as you leave, recall my instructions from

2.

2.1

yesterday which I'm about to give you again, that it that you are not to discuss the case among yourselves or with any third party with whom you may have contact between now and nine o'clock tomorrow morning. That includes your family. How many of you had to tell your family—you don't have to raise your hands, put just the family I cannot discuss what I'm doing other than to tell you I'm a juror in a case in Clarksburg. So if that were to arise again tonight, you must give that same response.

In addition you are not to review any media coverage of the case, whether on the TV, radio or in the newspaper and you are not to undertake any independent examination or investigation of any issues that have arisen in the case, whether by means of your phone or on a tablet or by going to the library.

And in addition if a third person approaches you and attempts to initiate a discussion about the case, you need to tell them you're not allowed to talk to them about the case. If they persist you need to walk away and bring that to my attention through Court Security or Debbie at your earliest opportunity.

I cannot tell you at this time whether you will get the case tomorrow or not. I think it depends on how much evidence there is and we don't know that at this point. So I can only tell you that I'm sure the evidence will finish

tomorrow. Okay. So that's as much as I can tell you right now.

With that I wish you a safe journey home. I hope--I haven't been out so I don't know if it warmed up at all but we'll see you at nine o'clock tomorrow morning. Please leave your notebooks face down on your chairs. Thank you for your attention.

(Jury out 4:25 p.m.)

2.

2.1

THE COURT: We are attempting to get a draft charge out to you. I don't think we're going to have a hard copy to hand to you but we should be able to PDF or Word—a document by Word or PDF to you this evening, but with the caveat that it's an early draft and the—there are some issues that we'll need to take up. My suspicion will be that when you conclude your evidence maybe we should let the jury go, have the charge conference, let you all prepare for closing argument and then begin fresh on Thursday morning. Does that make sense?

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor, especially given the amount of evidence and documents that came in today. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. I don't have a problem with that and I really don't think the jury will have a problem with that. Do you have a rough estimate of how long--you

```
1
       said you thought a half a day but I don't want to hold you
 2.
       to that obviously.
 3
                MR. CARR: Your Honor, if I can respectfully ask,
 4
       is the Court concerned that it would be more or less than
 5
      that?
 6
                THE COURT: No. I do think--I hate to send the
 7
       jury home if we're only going to be here for a half an hour.
 8
       You know what I'm saying.
 9
                MR. CARR: I apologize, Your Honor. I understand.
10
                THE COURT: Yes. Have an obligation not to impose
11
       on them.
12
                MR. CARR: Absolutely, Your Honor. I fully
13
       understand now the Court's question. It will be at least a
14
      half a day and I could see it going into the afternoon.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Thank you. That--that
16
      makes we worry less about the fact that the jury would no
17
      more show up than they'd have to leave. I have had that
18
      happen before and I always said I'd never let it happen
19
      again.
20
           So with the understanding that -- do you all have access
2.1
       to a printer to print out something tonight if we send it to
22
      you?
23
                MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.
24
               MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.
25
                THE COURT: Okay. Good. Then I think we'll have
```

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

something out to you and then we will conduct -- I will conduct a charge conference at the conclusion of the evidence in the case entirely tomorrow. All right. You should be prepared to stay for that and then finally, if you have any additions that you want--added instructions that you want in the charge and you've got a version you can e-mail tonight, we'd love to have it. Okay. I think--and with regard to the 404(b) that will not be the heading on it, but with regard to that evidence I am assuming you want that in before we get to the substantive charge on the counts. In other words, as part of the boilerplate as opposed to afterwards at the very end. MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor, and actually counsel have discussed this issue and defense counsel requested that it be generic as opposed to the more tailored--THE COURT: Right. MR. DOUGLAS: And we have no objection to it being generic. THE COURT: All right. So it would then be in what I tend to call the boilerplate but which can change with every case, those generic instructions before we get to the substantive counts. MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now there is a question--you can

2.

2.1

be seated for this. There is a question. I believe—was it the Government who submitted the consciousness of guilt instruction? I'm not certain—on consciousness of guilt, I'm not certain that I think that it's warranted based on the evidence I have. I'm sure the Government will make an argument why it is. We're going to include it but that doesn't mean I've decided. Okay. It's just for purposes of discussion on that question. I don't know what else may be an instruction that—a particular instruction in the charge that you want to argue but if you want to let us know, Judge, this instruction is problematic from the defense perspective. Judge this is problematic from the plaintiff's—from the Government's perspective, that's—that's fine. It will help us prepare.

The other thing is I don't have any theory of the case instruction from the defendant. Obviously you're entitled to that in the charge. Are you planning on submitting something after the close of the evidence?

MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The Government is--you--you've got the--the Indictment in essence in the charge. If you're going to submit anything else on theory of the case, you need to let me know.

I would not typically in this case since one of the counts has been dismissed, submit the Indictment per se to

2.

2.1

the jury, although you have a right to have it. As the Government did submit in its proposed instructions the entire Indictment is in there as it stands right now so if the defendant wants the whole thing to go back, I can send that back. I will certainly do it, but we're going to have to explain to them about Count Five at that point. So do you all want to think about that and let me know. I will be reading every single count in its entirety to the jury in the charge.

MR. DOUGLAS: If we could think about that.

THE COURT: Sure and we'll--I'll try to estimate how long that charge is going to take. It's close to fifty so I know we're well at an hour. We could be beyond that. It could be a little bit more than that and given that, when--just for preparing for your argument, what I would suggest we do is after I charge the jury, which is before you argue, we give them a break. Then we come back in and you argue the case in its entirety and the next time they go out is to begin deliberations. Is that acceptable to the Government?

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What about the defendant?

MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Possible thoughts about the timing or the length of closing argument?

1 MR. DOUGLAS: No longer than thirty minutes. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. CARR: I apologize, Your Honor. I was a little 4 bit surprised by the brevity of the Government's response. 5 I had expected, given the documents and the testimony, as 6 well as quite frankly the somewhat complexity of the counts, 7 I would request at least an hour. 8 THE COURT: I was prepared to give up to an hour. 9 Think about is some more but certainly given a case like 10 this I would have allowed and will allow an hour per side, 11 whether you use it or not. 12 MR. DOUGLAS: And we're going to reserve some time 13 for rebuttal as well. 14 THE COURT: Well that was included in my question 15 initially so--you would just moving right on through with 16 rebuttal. So you were thinking thirty minutes for your 17 opening? 18 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes. THE COURT: Now I don't allow the same amount of 19 20 time for the Government's rebuttal. I think Mr. Bernard can 2.1 fill you in on that but so you know a fair effort. If you 22 have a thirty minute initial closing statement then probably 23 no more than fifteen for a rebuttal. 24 MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. But each side will have an hour

and as long as you allocate that fairly on the Government's side, whether you use it or not is up to you.

MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.

2.1

MR. CARR: Yes, Your Honor, and I would note at least at this point the defendant—the defense does not believe that there has been any evidence whatsoever of consciousness of guilt. I had a discussion with the Government when the jury instructions were first submitted. I understood that they had thought about introducing a certain piece of testimony. That has not come in. I do not think that there's any consciousness of guilt and I will discuss the matter with the Government but if—I also do not think that that—not to raise issues that haven't been raised yet, Your Honor, but I also don't think that eliciting that testimony would be appropriate so—but we'll discuss it.

THE COURT: Well I don't know if there's going to be any rebuttal case. I guess that depends on what you hear in the defendant's case in chief. I'm just telling you right now I don't see it. It's going to be in the charge but that doesn't mean I have decided to include it. It's for purposes of discussion.

All right. That's what I needed to say. Is there anything else from the Government tonight?

1 MR. DOUGLAS: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Anything else from the defendant? MR. CARR: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you. Court stands adjourned 4 5 until nine a.m. tomorrow morning. 6 (Trial adjourned at 4:35 p.m.) 7 ***************** 8 9 CERTIFICATE 10 I, Linda L. Bachman, Official Reporter of the United 11 States District Court for the Northern District of West 12 Virginia, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 13 correct transcript of the proceedings had in the above styled action on January 30, 2018, as reported by me by 14 15 stenomask. 16 I certify that the transcript fees and format comply 17 with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial 18 Conference of the United States. 19 Given under my hand this 15th day of February, 2018. 20 21 /s/ Linda L. Bachman Linda L. Bachman, CCR, CVR-M 22 Official Reporter, United States District Court for the Northern 23 District of West Virginia 2.4 25